Association of single nucleotide polymorphism rs6983267 with the risk of prostate cancer

Yuan Yang^{1,2}, Wenjing Wang^{1,3}, Liangcai Zhang⁴, Shihua Zhang⁵, Guiyou Liu⁶, Yingcui Yu⁷, Mingzhi Liao¹

¹College of Life Sciences, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi, China

²School of Life Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai, China

³Research Center for Translational Medicine, East Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

⁴Department of Statistics, Rice University, Houston, TX, USA

⁵Department of Biostatistics, School of Science, Anhui Agricultural University, Hefei, China

⁶Genome Analysis Laboratory, Tianjin Institute of Industrial Biotechnology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Tianjin, China

Published: March 18, 2016

⁷College of Natural Resources and Environment, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi, China

Correspondence to: Mingzhi Liao, e-mail: liaomingzhi83@163.com

Yingcui Yu, **e-mail:** yuyingcui110@126.com

Keywords: prostate cancer, rs6983267, meta-analysis, risk, association

Received: January 10, 2016 **Accepted:** March 04, 2016

ABSTRACT

Many studies have investigated the association between single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) rs6983267 and the risk of prostate cancer. However, results of these studies are inconsistent. Therefore, we summarised available data and performed a meta-analysis to determine this association. Relevant articles were identified by searching the PubMed, Web of Science and Embase database. Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated using random effects model. We used dominant model (GG + TG vs TT), recessive model (GG vs TG + TT) and additive model (GG +TT vs TG) to determine the association between the rs6983267 polymorphism and risk of prostate cancer. Summary, 9 studies involving 8726 participants were included in this meta-analysis. Overall, though no association was observed between the rs6983267 polymorphism and risk of prostate cancer, subgroup analysis according to ethnicity showed a significant association between the rs6983267 polymorphism and risk of prostate cancer among white European men [recessive model: GG vs TG + TT, OR=1.21, (95% CI: 1.03, 1.42), P=0.02]. Our results indicate that the GG genotype of the rs6983267 polymorphism will increase individual susceptibility to prostate cancer in white European men.

INTRODUCTION

Genetic epidemiology of prostate cancer is complex, and its incidence varies significantly among ethnic groups. This variation may be because of an association between genetic and environmental factors [1, 2]. However, the genetic epidemiology of prostate cancer is unclear.

Prostate cancer is the most frequently diagnosed cancer in many developing countries [3]. The incidence of prostate cancer was low in the past few decades. However, better living conditions and population aging have increased the incidence of prostate cancer rapidly. According to the WHO statistics, prostate cancer is the sixth leading cause of death due to cancer in men, with an estimated 258000 deaths in 2008 [4]. It's reported that age, ethnicity and familial history of prostate cancer increase the risk of prostate cancer [5]. Genome-wide association studies (GWASs) have shown that some single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are risk factors of prostate cancer [6, 7]. Therefore, it is important to elucidate the presence of these risk alleles in different populations [8]. Polymorphism rs6983267 which locates on chromosome 8q24 is a G/T single-nucleotide variation [9] and is associated with prostate cancer.

There are many studies which focus on the relationship between this SNP and Prostate Cancer. In 2007, Zheng *et al.* suggested that the rs6983267 polymorphism was associated with prostate cancer in European–American men [10]. In 2008, Fletcher *et al.* showed an association between the rs6983267 polymorphism and the risk of

prostate cancer in English and Scottish men, and Cheng et al. confirmed this association in European-American men [11, 12]. In 2009, Miao Liu et al. observed this association in Japanese men [13]. In 2012, Joung et al., Chan et al. and Ho et al. did not observe any significant association between this polymorphism and the risk of prostate cancer [14–16], which was consistent with the findings of Branković et al. and Oskina et al. in 2013 [17, 18]. These studies included Siberian, Serbian, Scottish, Korean, Chinese, Japanese, European Americans and English men. Besides this SNP, we also found that there are more SNPs associated with Prostate Cancer [19, 20]. Thought these researches are all based on experiment results, they always do not show consistent results and the roles rs6983267 plays in Prostate Cancer is unclear. Therefore, there is a need to make it clear whether this polymorphism is associated with Prostate Cancer.

In the present study, we conducted a meta-analysis based on previously published studies on the association between the rs6983267 polymorphism and risk of prostate cancer to clarify the impact of this polymorphism. As an article about meta-analysis on the disease genetic study, we focus on the relationship between single-nucleotide variation and Prostate Cancer. Based on the integrated analysis about previous researches, we did found SNP rs6983267 has significantly correlation with Prostate Cancer in European population. Considering the effects of meta-analysis, this finding should be the most robust and believable for the related research currently. As to the location and function about the related genes of SNP rs6983267, we will follow up the latest research progress and take deep research in future.

RESULTS

Literature search

A flow diagram for the study selection process is shown in Figure 1. In all, 50 studies were identified using the search strategy. Of these, 40 studies were excluded because they did not have sufficient data and 10 studies were screened further. Of the 10 studies, 1 study was excluded because the genotype of the case-control group did not satisfy the Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE). Finally, 9 studies were included in the meta-analysis.

Study characteristics

The primary characteristics of the 9 studies are summarised in Table 1. In all, 8726 participants (5008 cases and 3718 controls) were included in the metaanalysis. The studies were divided into 2 groups based on the ethnicity of study participants, i.e., studies involving white European men (6 studies) and those involving men from East Asia countries (3 studies).

Association between the rs6983267 polymorphism and risk of prostate cancer

Forest plot of overall and subgroup analyses with different models on the association between the rs6983267 polymorphism and risk of prostate cancer is shown in Figure 2.

Relative risk of the association between GG genotype of the rs6983267 polymorphism and risk of prostate cancer was analysed by performing overall and subgroup analyses.



Figure 1: Flow diagram of the process for study selection.

Author (Publication Date)	Country -	Case			Control			Susceptibility ^a	P value
		GG	TG	TT	GG	TG	TT		of HWE ^b
Natalia A. Oskina (2013)	Siberian	114	186	89	87	177	77	Ν	0.471
Ana S. Branković (2013)	Serbian	53	80	17	25	49	26	Ν	0.842
СКМ Но (2012)	Scottish	42	104	70	46	136	66	Ν	0.102
Jae Y. Joung (2012)	Korean	46	92	56	31	86	51	Ν	0.618
Jason Yongsheng Chan (2012)	Chinese	63	136	89	23	74	47	Ν	0.493
Miao Liu (2009)	Japanese	25	151	147	59	181	151	Y	0.694
S. Lilly Zheng (2007)	European-American	495	771	285	142	299	132	Υ	0.293
Olivia Fletcher (2008)	English and Scottish	408	734	338	371	653	312	Υ	0.404
Iona Cheng (2008)	European-American	126	215	76	105	206	106	Υ	0.807

^a "Y" indicates an association between the rs6983267 polymorphism and risk of prostate cancer; N indicates no association between the rs6983267 polymorphism and risk of prostate cancer.

^b HWE, Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; P > 0.05 indicates that the participants in the control group met the HWE.

In dominant model [overall: OR = 1.10 (95% CI: 0.91, 1.34); test for overall effect, P = 0.30; heterogeneity, $I^2 = 66\%$; white European men: OR = 1.19 (95% CI: 0.94, 1.51); test for overall effect, P = 0.14; heterogeneity, P = 71%; East Asian men: OR = 0.91 (95% CI: 0.71, 1.18); test for overall effect, P = 0.48; heterogeneity, $I^2 = 25\%$]. In recessive model [overall: OR = 1.16 (95% CI: 0.95, 1.41); test for overall effect, P =0.14; heterogeneity, $I^2 = 65\%$; white European men: OR = 1.21 (95% CI: 1.03, 1.42); test for overall effect, P = 0.02; heterogeneity, P = 44%; East Asian men: OR = 0.98 (95% CI: 0.47, 2.04); test for overall effect, P = 0.96; heterogeneity, $I^2 =$ 84%]. In additive model [overall: OR = 1.04 (95% CI: 0.95, 1.13); test for overall effect, P = 0.38; heterogeneity, $I^2 = 0\%$; white European men: OR = 1.03 (95% CI: 0.94, 1.14); test for overall effect, P = 0.52; heterogeneity, P = 0%; East Asian men: OR = 1.08 (95% CI: 0.88, 1.32); test for overall effect, P = 0.49; heterogeneity, $I^2 = 0\%$].

Of the 9 studies, 4 reported an association between the rs6983267 polymorphism and risk of prostate cancer while 5 did not. The meta-analysis did not show any association between the rs6983267 polymorphism and risk of prostate cancer [recessive model: GG vs TG + TT, OR = 1.16 (90% CI: 0.98, 1.36), P = 0.14]. However, subgroup analysis showed a significant association between the rs6983267 polymorphism and risk of prostate cancer in white European men [recessive model: GG vs TG + TT, OR = 1.21 (90% CI: 1.06, 1.39), P = 0.02].

Assessment of publication bias

Funnel plots were used to assess publication bias in different models. The shapes of the funnel plots showed a slight asymmetry (Figure 3). If we include the study who has the same research population, there shouldn't have publication bias.

DISCUSSION

Our meta-analysis, which included 5008 cases and 3718 controls, assessed the association between the rs6983267 polymorphism and risk of prostate cancer. Our results indicated that the GG genotype of the rs6983267 polymorphism was a risk factor for prostate cancer [OR = 1.21 (95% CI: 1.03, 1.42), P = 0.02) in white European men. However, no association was observed after performing meta-analysis for all of the ethnicities (95% CI: 0.95, 1.41), P = 0.58, especially for Asian people (95% CI: 0.47, 2.04), P = 0.96).

There are some problems which are needed to pay attention to in future. First, according to the criterion of meta-analysis about the inclusion and exclusion of the previous study, other SNPs were not selected in this metaanalysis partly because the lack of sufficient studies which were used to meta-analysis, on the other hand due to the lack of enough data among the total studies. Second, in this study, we included 9 studies that 6 focus on the White European and the rest as the East Asia country. Considering the concentration, we conducted subgroup analysis on the basis of their study population which means we divided 9 studies into White European subgroup and East Asian subgroup, or you can call the later Non-White European subgroup. This process will reduce the heteroplasmy influence of different population and lead to more reliable and refined results. However, the participants included in these studies which were conducted in Europe did not belong to the same country. Third, only 3 studies performed

in East Asia countries were included in the meta-analysis, which is not sufficient for the process of meta-analysis in strict criterion. Therefore, more independent case-control studies should be performed in different countries to obtain more comprehensive results. Besides, their study population was so small and different in the later subgroup that we can't give precise result according to the statistical result of the later subgroup analysis. Overall, though the GG genotype of the rs6983267 polymorphism is not a risk factor of prostate cancer for all of the ethnicities, presence of this genotype in white European men will increase their susceptibility to prostate cancer. Because the results were obtained by sampling statics and because statistical difference is not the same as clinical difference, these results can be used for clinical



Figure 2: Forest Plot of different model. A. Dominant model (GG + TG vs TT); **B.** Recessive model (GG vs TG + TT); **C.** Additive model (GG +TT vs TG).



Figure 3: Funnel Plot of different model. The shapes of the funnel plots show a slight asymmetry. **A.** Dominant model (GG + TG vs TT); **B.** Recessive model (GG vs TG + TT); **C.** Additive model (GG +TT vs TG).

reference but not for diagnosing prostate cancer. Further detailed studies involving larger number of participants should be performed worldwide to clarify the role of this polymorphism in the risk of prostate cancer. The interplay between rs6983267 and other risk genetic factors about prostate cancer should also be investigated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Search strategy

A comprehensive literature search for suitable studies published before 2015 was conducted in PubMed, Web of Science and Embase database by using keywords "rs6983267" and "prostate cancer". Studies that investigated the association between the rs6983267 polymorphism and risk of prostate cancer were included in this meta-analysis. Studies had to be published as a full paper.

Criterion for study selection

Two independent reviewers screened titles and abstracts to identify relevant studies. Full-text articles of these studies were then read to select eligible studies. Studies were included in the meta-analysis if (1) they were case-control studies, (2) they evaluated the association between the rs6983267 polymorphism and risk of prostate cancer, (3) they provided the number of genotypes in casecontrol groups for calculating odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidential intervals (CIs), (4) genotypes of participants in control groups satisfied Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) and (5) they were not included in other metaanalyses.

Data extraction

Following data were extracted from each study included in the meta-analysis by 2 independent reviewers: name of the first author, publication date, country of study participants and number of genotypes in case-control groups. In addition, information on the association between the rs6983267 polymorphism and risk of prostate cancer and P value according to the HWE were extracted from the included studies.

Statistical analysis

The meta-analysis was performed using Cochrane Collaboration Review Manager 5.3. ORs with 95% CIs were calculated to evaluate the association between the rs6983267 polymorphism and risk of prostate cancer. In addition, subgroup analysis was performed according to the race of study participants. Q and I^2 statistical magnitudes were used to assess heterogeneity. Theoretically speaking, we choose random effect model if there are heterogeneity. Otherwise, we choose fixed effect model. Different studies showed different degrees of heterogeneity. CIs calculated using random effects model were larger than those calculated using fixed effects model. Moreover, results obtained with the random effects model were more conservative. Therefore, the random effects model was chosen. Publication bias was analysed using funnel plots. Z test was used to verify the diversity between case and control groups, and the diversity was considered evident at P < 0.05.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Fund of Northwest A&F University; Natural Science Basic Research Plan

in Shaanxi Province of China (Grant no. 2014JQ3110); the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant nos. 31301938, 31301248 and 81300945); Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant no. 2452015077)

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

REFERENCES

- Narod S. Genetic epidemiology of prostate cancer. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Reviews on Cancer. 1999; 1423:F1-F13.
- Lichtenstein P, Holm NV, Verkasalo PK, Iliadou A, Kaprio J, Koskenvuo M, Pukkala E, Skytthe A and Hemminki K. Environmental and heritable factors in the causation of cancer—analyses of cohorts of twins from Sweden, Denmark, and Finland. New England journal of medicine. 2000; 343:78-85.
- Eeles RA, Al Olama AA, Benlloch S, Saunders EJ, Leongamornlert DA, Tymrakiewicz M, Ghoussaini M, Luccarini C, Dennis J and Jugurnauth-Little S. Identification of 23 new prostate cancer susceptibility loci using the iCOGS custom genotyping array. Nature genetics. 2013; 45:385-391.
- Ferlay J, Shin HR, Bray F, Forman D, Mathers C and Parkin DM. Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008. International journal of cancer. 2010; 127:2893-2917.
- Crawford ED. Understanding the epidemiology, natural history, and key pathways involved in prostate cancer. Urology. 2009; 73:S4-S10.
- Sun J, Zheng SL, Wiklund F, Isaacs SD, Purcell LD, Gao Z, Hsu F-C, Kim S-T, Liu W and Zhu Y. Evidence for two independent prostate cancer risk–associated loci in the HNF1B gene at 17q12. Nature genetics. 2008; 40:1153-1155.
- Thomas G, Jacobs KB, Yeager M, Kraft P, Wacholder S, Orr N, Yu K, Chatterjee N, Welch R and Hutchinson A. Multiple loci identified in a genome-wide association study of prostate cancer. Nature genetics. 2008; 40:310-315.
- Waters KM, Le Marchand L, Kolonel LN, Monroe KR, Stram DO, Henderson BE and Haiman CA. Generalizability of associations from prostate cancer genome-wide association studies in multiple populations. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention. 2009; 18:1285-1289.
- Guo Y, Fang J, Liu Y, Sheng H-H, Zhang X-Y, Chai H-N, Jin W, Zhang K-H, Yang C-Q and Gao H-J. Association between polymorphism rs6983267 and gastric cancer risk in Chinese population. World J Gastroenterol. 2011; 17:1759-1765.

- Zheng SL, Sun J, Cheng Y, Li G, Hsu F-C, Zhu Y, Chang B-L, Liu W, Kim JW and Turner AR. Association between two unlinked loci at 8q24 and prostate cancer risk among European Americans. Journal of the National Cancer Institute. 2007; 99:1525-1533.
- Fletcher O, Johnson N, Gibson L, Coupland B, Fraser A, Leonard A, dos Santos Silva I, Ashworth A, Houlston R and Peto J. Association of genetic variants at 8q24 with breast cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention. 2008; 17:702-705.
- Cheng I, Plummer SJ, Jorgenson E, Liu X, Rybicki BA, Casey G and Witte JS. 8q24 and prostate cancer: association with advanced disease and meta-analysis. European Journal of Human Genetics. 2008; 16:496-505.
- Liu M, Kurosaki T, Suzuki M, Enomoto Y, Nishimatsu H, Arai T, Sawabe M, Hosoi T, Homma Y and Kitamura T. Significance of common variants on human chromosome 8q24 in relation to the risk of prostate cancer in native Japanese men. BMC genetics. 2009; 10:37.
- 14. Joung JY, Park S, Yoon H, Lee SJ, Park WS, Seo HK, Chung J, Kim SY, Hong SH and Lee YS. Association of common variations of 8q24 with the risk of prostate cancer in Koreans and a review of the Asian population. BJU international. 2012; 110:E318-E325.
- Chan JY, Li H, Singh O, Mahajan A, Ramasamy S, Subramaniyan K, Kanesvaran R, Sim HG, Chong TW and Teo Y-Y. 8q24 and 17q prostate cancer susceptibility loci in a multiethnic Asian cohort. Urologic Oncology. 2013; 31:1553-1560.

- Ho C, Halley L, Wei J and Habib F. Analysis of prostate cancer association with four single-nucleotide polymorphisms from genome-wide studies and serum phyto-estrogen concentrations. Prostate cancer and prostatic diseases. 2012; 15:365-368.
- Branković AS, Brajušković GN, Mirčetić JD, Nikolić ZZ, Kalaba PB, Vukotić VD, Tomović SM, Cerović SJ, Radojičić ZA and Savić-Pavićević DL. Common variants at 8q24 are associated with prostate cancer risk in Serbian population. Pathology & Oncology Research. 2013; 19:559-569.
- 18. Oskina NA, Boyarskikh UA, Lazarev AF, Petrova VD, Ganov DI, Tonacheva OG, Lifshits GI and Filipenko ML.A replication study examining association of rs6983267, rs10090154, and rs1447295 common single nucleotide polymorphisms in 8q24 region with prostate cancer in Siberians. Urologic Oncology. 2014; 32:37.e7-12.
- Cropp CD, Robbins CM, Sheng X, Hennis AJ, Carpten JD, Waterman L, Worrell R, Schwantes-An TH, Trent JM and Haiman CA. 8q24 risk alleles and prostate cancer in African-Barbadian men. The Prostate. 2014; 74:1579-1588.
- Nikolić ZZ, Branković AS, Savić-Pavićević DL, Preković SM, Vukotić VD, Cerović SJ, Filipović NN, Tomović SM, Romac SP and Brajušković GN. Assessment of Association between Common Variants at 17q12 and Prostate Cancer Risk—Evidence from Serbian Population and Meta-Analysis. Clinical and translational science. 2014; 7:307-313.