Research Paper

Characterization of unique *PMEPA1* gene splice variants (isoforms *d* and *e*) from RNA Seq profiling provides novel insights into prognostic evaluation of prostate cancer

Shashwat Sharad^{1,2,3,*}, Allissa Amanda Dillman^{1,3}, Zsófia M. Sztupinszki⁴, Zoltan Szallasi^{4,5,6}, Inger Rosner^{1,2,7}, Jennifer Cullen^{1,2,3}, Shiv Srivastava¹, Alagarsamy Srinivasan^{1,3} and Hua Li^{1,2,*}

¹Center for Prostate Disease Research, Department of Surgery, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences and the Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland, 20814, USA

²John P. Murtha Cancer Center, Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland, 20814, USA

³Henry Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine (HJF), Bethesda, Maryland, 20817, USA

⁴Danish Cancer Society Research Center, Copenhagen, 2100, Denmark

⁵Computational Health Informatics Program, Boston Children's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, 02115, USA

⁶SE-NAP Brain Metastasis Research Group, 2nd Department of Pathology, Semmelweis University, Budapest, 1085, Hungary

⁷Urology Service, Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland, 20814, USA

^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence to: Hua Li, email: hli@cpdr.org

Shashwat Sharad, **email**: ssharad@cpdr.org

Keywords: prostate cancer; PMEPA1; gene isoform; splice variant; TGF- β

Received: October 17, 2019 Accepted: December 02, 2019

2019 **Published**: January 28, 2020

Copyright: Sharad et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 3.0 (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

Prostate cancer is a disease with heterogeneity of multiple gene transcriptomes and biological signaling pathways involved in tumor development. The prostate transmembrane protein, androgen induced 1 (PMEPA1), a multifunctional protein played critical roles in prostate tumorigenesis. The pleiotropic nature of PMEPA1 in modulating and rogen and TGF- β signaling as well as splice variants mechanisms for functional regulations of cancer-associated genes prompted us to investigate the biological roles of PMEPA1 isoforms in prostate cancer. In addition to 4 reported PMEPA1 isoforms (a, b, c and d), one novel isoform PMEPA1-e was identified with RNA Seq analysis of hormone responsive VCaP, LNCaP cells and human prostate cancer samples from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) dataset. We analyzed the structures, expressions, biological functions and clinical relevance of PMEPA1-e isoform and less characterized isoforms c and d in the context of prostate cancer and AR/TGF- β signaling. The expression of PMEPA1-e was induced by androgen and AR. In contrast, *PMEPA1-d* was responsive to TGF- β and inhibited TGF- β signaling. Both *PMEPA1-d* and *PMPEA1-e* promoted the growth of androgen independent prostate cancer cells. Although *PMEPA1-c* was responsive to TGF- β , it was found to have no impacts on cell growth and and rogen/TGF- β signaling. The TCGA data analysis from 499 patients showed higher expression ratios of PMEAP1-b versus -d or -e strongly associated with enhanced Gleason score. Taken together, our findings first time defined the prostate tumorigenesis mediated by PMEPA1-d and -e isoforms, providing novel insights into the new strategies for prognostic evaluation and therapeutics of prostate tumor.

INTRODUCTION

Prostate cancer is the most commonly diagnosed male malignancy and second leading cause of cancer related deaths in USA [1]. The aberrant activations of AR and TGF- β signaling executed critical functions in malignant growth of prostate and cancer metastasis [2, 3]. The precise diagnosis and prognosis of prostate cancer remained a big challenge and the identifications of novel biomarkers and therapeutic targets were constantly warranted. Our group identified PMEPA1 gene coding for a protein of 252 amino acids (aa) (PMEPA1-b) in androgen treated LNCaP cells [2]. PMEPA1 also shared homology with C18orf1 gene mainly expressed in brain [4, 5]. Further, another transcript described as solid tumor-associated 1 protein (STAG1)/transmembrane prostate androgen induced protein (TMEPA1) with 287 aa (PMEPA1-a) in renal cell carcinoma [6]. These findings were followed by the discoveries of additional two isoforms in colon and lung cancers, coding for 237 aa (PMEPA1-c) [7] and 259 aa (PMEPA1-d), respectively [8]. Further, the PMEPA1 gene locus was denoted on the human chromosome 20, absolute position 56286592-56234606. The first reported PMEPA1 gene isoform (PMEPA1-b) was defined as an androgen inducible, and all other isoforms including PMEPA1-a (287 aa), PMEPA1-c (237 aa) and PMEPA1-d (259 aa) were found in nonandrogenic cellular contexts [1, 6–10].

Our previous study defined the direct AR binding sites within the promoter of *PMEPA1* gene by GREF GATA model [11]. Additionally, the androgen responsive PMEPA1 protein negatively regulated the protein level of AR through a feedback loop by recruiting E3 ubiquitin ligase NEDD4 [12, 13]. Quantitative-PCR (Q-PCR) analysis in matched prostate normal/tumor tissues showed that decreased expression of *PMEPA1* in approximately 65% of prostate tumors, which also strongly associated with higher pathologic stage and serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) [13]. It was shown that the methylation of PMEPA1 gene promoter accounted for the silencing of PMEPA1 in prostate cancer cells in vitro and in vivo [14, 15]. The PMEPA1 silencing conferred the development of resistance to AR inhibitors in vitro, as well as promoted the androgen independent xenograft growth of prostate tumor in nude mice [16]. PMEPA1 was also reported as a TGF- β regulated gene in context of both prostate cancer and non-prostate solid tumors including colon, lung and breast cancers [7, 8, 10]. Subsequent studies indicated that PMEPA1 participated in a negative feedback loop to control TGF-β/SMAD signaling [17-20]. Our earlier study revealed that PMEPA1 inhibited the growth of both hormone dependent and independent prostate cancer cells [12, 13]. In contrast, PMEPA1 was also reported to promote the proliferation of AR negative PC3 cells by suppressing p21 expression through a negative feedback loop with TGF- β [21]. Further, a recent study showed

tions of Cumulatively, these findings underscored the multi-function features of *PMEPA1* gene, and further suggested its expressions and biological functions were

independent way [3].

suggested its expressions and biological functions were dependent on the cellular context centering androgen and TGF- β signaling. The alternative splicing variant mechanism had also been shown to be important for diversifying functions of tumor-associated genes. The RNA splicing mechanism across the tumors allowed the expressions of multiple RNA and protein isoforms from one gene, serving as a major contributor to diversities of transcriptomes and proteomes [22, 23]. The previous studies had implied splicing variants mechanism accounted for the formation of PMEPA1 gene isoforms and its multi-functional features in tumorigenesis. Further, earlier studies from our and other groups explored PMEAP1 gene isoforms (a and b) in the initiation and development of prostate tumors via interrupting AR and/or TGF- β signaling. Here, we focused on defining the expressions, regulations and biological behaviors/functions of understudied PMEPA1 isoforms (d and e) in the context of both and rogen and TGF- β signaling, and further exploration of the clinical significances and relevance of these isoforms in prostate tumor.

that the loss of membrane-anchored PMEPA1 protein facilitated metastasis of prostate cancer via activating TGF- β signaling by sequestering SMAD2/3 in proteasome

RESULTS

Structures and expressions of PMEPA1 isoforms (c, d and e) in prostate cancer cells

RNA-Sequencing approach was utilized to analyze PMEPA1 gene splice variants and their relative expression ratios in hormone responsive prostate cancer cells (LNCaP and VCaP cell lines) as well as The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) dataset comprising of 130 malignant and 55 benign human prostate samples (https://portal.gdc.cancer. gov/projects/TCGA-PRAD v10.0). In addition to the most abundant isoforms PMEPA1-a and PMEPA1-b, isoforms PMEPA1-c (open reading frame (ORF) 237 aa), PMEPA1-d (ORF 259 aa) with lower level of expression were also detected (Table 1). A novel unreported isoform with an ORF of 344 aa was identified and designated as PMEPA1-e in accordance with the current nomenclature of reported PMEPA1 isoforms (PMEPA1-a, -b, -c and -d) (Figure 1A and Table 1). As PMEPA1 was predicted to be a type 1b membrane protein, three domains designated as N-terminus (luminal), membrane-spanning and C-terminus cytoplasmic domains were shown in PMEPA1 protein (Figure 1C). The predicted amino acid sequence alignment showed striking variations at the N-terminus and a high homology at the C-terminus of the PMEPA1 isoforms (Figure 1B). The N-terminus of PMEPA1-a, -b and -d isoforms contained

PMEPA1 Isoforms	Open Reading Frame	Ref Seq	Consensus Coding Sequence	Tumor (N = 499) Expression Mean Log2 TPM
PMEPA1-c	237 amino acid	NM_199171.2	CCDS13464	4.848
PMEPA1-d	259 amino acid	NM_001255976.1	None	0.28735
PMEPA1-e	344 amino acid	ENST00000395819.3	None (intron retention)	1.4356

Table 1: PMEPA1 isoforms assessed in the RNA Seq dataset of TCGA-PRAD v10.0

40 aa, 5 aa and 12 aa, respectively. Interestingly, the newly discovered PMEPA1-e isoform contained the longest N-terminus of 97 aa. Additionally, PMEPA1 isoforms a, b, d and e shared a highly conserved membrane spanning domain of 23 aa. In contrast, PMEPA1-c isoform lacked N-terminus luminal domain and contained a truncated membrane spanning domain of 13 aa. A conserved intracytoplasmic domain of 224 aa was detected in all PMEPA1 isoforms (Figure 1B and 1C).

Analysis of exon-intron structures of PMEPA1 isoforms

The database searches showed that *PMEPA1* gene sequence was within the genomic clones designated as RP5-1059L7 (AL21913), RP4-718J7 (A1035541) and RP5-1007E6 (AL161943). *PMEPA1* gene was located on chromosome 20q13.2–q13.33 between D20S183 and D20S173 micro satellite marker 1, 3 and 4 with





approximately 62 kb in length and the coding region containing 6 exons and 5 introns. The exon-intron structures of the isoforms were presented in Figure 2A. *PMEPA1* isoform a, b and d contained 4 exons and 3 introns, whereas isoform c contained 3 exons and 2 introns. Isoform e contained 4 exons and 3 introns. A close inspection of RNA Seq data of *PMEPA1-e* isoform further revealed an additional 57 aa in comparison to *PMEPA1-a* which may be due to a partial intron retention between exon 2 and 4 maintaining the open reading frame (Figure 2B). As a result, the amino acids from residue 37 to 93 were unique to PMEPA1-e (Figure 2B). The translation initiation site of *PMEPA1-e* remained the same as *PMEPA1-a*, and the amino acid sequences were identical up to 36 residues.

Distinct regulations of expressions of PMPEA1 isoforms (d and e) by androgen or TGF- β in prostate cancer cells

To explore the transcript levels of *PMEPA1* isoforms (c, d and e) in prostate cancer cells, isoform specific primers were designed to differentiate each isoform by their unique 5' sequences transcribed. The mRNA levels of *PMEPA1* isoforms were analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR in androgen dependent LNCaP, VCaP, LAPC4 cells and TGF- β responsive DU145

and PC3 cells. The transcript levels of *PMEPA1-c* and *PMEPA1-d* were detected in both androgen and TGF- β responsive prostate cancer cells. In contrast, the mRNA of *PMEPA1-e* was only detectable in AR positive VCaP and LAPC4 cells (Table 2). Despite its presence by RNA Seq data, LNCaP cells failed to yield positive result for detecting *PMEPA1-e* isoform mRNA which may be due to low level.

PMEPA1-a was reported as TGF- β inducible isoform whereas *PMEPA1-b* had been identified as an androgen responsive isoform with prostate abundance [2, 12, 13]. However, the responsiveness of *PMEPA1-c*, d and e isoforms to androgen or TGF- β were still unclear. The transcript level of PMEPA1-e showed dose-dependent increases in response to androgen treatment in LNCaP cells, consistent with its restricted expression pattern in androgen dependent prostate cancer cells. On the contrary, no responses to synthetic hormone R1881 treatment were detected for PMEPA1 isoforms c and d in LNCaP cells (Figure 3A). Both of *PMEPA1* isoforms c and d were up-regulated by TGF- β in PC3 cells. Whereas, the transcript level of *PMEPA1-e* was not induced by TGF- β treatment (Figure 3B). As expected, heterologous expression of wild-type and T877A mutant AR induced the expression of *PMEPA1-e* in LNCaP cells. However, ectopic AR had no impact on the transcript levels of isoform c and d (Figure 3C).



Figure 2: *PMEPA1* splice variants (isoforms) exon-intron structure. (A) Genome schematic representation of *PMEPA1* isoforms. The panel indicated the structures of *PMEPA1* isoforms and respective intron-exon corresponding to *PMEPA1* gene. (B) Schematic representation of partial intron retention of *PMEPA1-e* isoform. The panel indicated the intron was retained between exon 2 and 3 of *PMEPA1-e* isoform.

Cell Line	Signaling	PMEPA1-c	PMEPA1-d	PMEPA1-e
LNCaP	Androgen sensitive	1	0.13	No
VCaP	Androgen sensitive	1	0.02	Yes
LAPC4	Androgen sensitive	1	0.01	Yes
DU145	AR (–) TGF- β signaling (+)	1	0.80	None
PC3	AR (–) TGF- β signaling (+)	1	0.76	None

Similarly, ectopic TGF- β receptor I (*TGFRI*) enhanced the mRNA levels of *PMEPA1-c* and *-d* in PC3 cells (Figure 3D). Additionally, knockdown of AR had no effect on the transcript levels of isoform *c*, *d* and *e* in LNCaP cells (Figure 3E). Consistently, silencing of *TGFRI* led to down-regulation of transcript levels of isoforms *c* and *d* in PC3 cells (Figure 3F). The transcript level of *PMEPA1-e* was also not impacted by ectopic *TGFRI* (Figure 3D). Taken together, our findings rendered *PMEPA1-e* as androgen responsive and *PMEPA1-c* and *PMEPA1-d* as TGF- β responsive in prostate cancer cells.

PMEPA1 isoform d and e promoted the growth of TGF-β responsive prostate cancer cells

Further, we explored the impacts of PMEPA1 isoform (c, d and e) on the growth of AR/PSA negative but TGF-B signaling positive PC3 cells. The only TGF- β responsive *PMEPA1* isoforms (c and d) transcripts were detected, and the mRNA level of androgen responsive PMEPA1-e isoform was undetectable. Our cell growth assay data indicated that both *PMEPA1-d* and *-e* promoted the growth of PC3 cells (Figure 4A). Consistently, knockdown of PMEPA1-d resulted in the inhibition of cell growth (Figure 4B). These observations were further supported by the results of cell plating efficiency (Figure 4C and 4D) and soft agar colony formation assays (Figure 4E and 4F). PMEPA1-c isoform was not shown to have significant impacts on the cell growth, cell plating efficiency and colony formation capacity in soft agar of PC3 cells. Our study also revealed that overexpression of exogenous TGFBRI led to the growth inhibition of the PC3 cells. Moreover, the accelerated cell growth mediated by PMEPA1-d was abolished in TGFRI-depleted PC3 cells. In contrast, the effects of ectopic PMEPA1-e on the cell growth were not affected by TGFRI silencing (Figure 4G and 4H). Of note, the colonies formed by PC3 in soft agar were smaller, which made the vision effects of colony formation assay images less sharpened and contrasted. Quantitative image analysis data shown with bar-graph demonstrated that ectopic PMEPA1-d and -e significantly increased the colony numbers of

PC3 cells (*t*-test, P < 0.01). Consistently, knockdown of *PMEPA1-d* isoform with siRNA significantly decrease the colony numbers (*t*-test, P < 0.01). These findings underscored that the effects of *PMEPA1-d* on cell growth was TGF- β signaling dependent, while *PMEPA1-e* promoted prostate cancer cell growth in TGF- β signaling independent way. Additionally, the growth of both AR positive and negative prostate cancer cells was unaffected by *PMEPA1-c* isoform harboring truncated N-terminal extra-cellular and transmembrane anchoring domains, suggesting that these two domains were essential to maintain cell growth regulating effects of *PMEPA1* isoforms in prostate cancer cells.

PMEPA1-d isoform inhibited TGF-β signaling in prostate cancer cells

PMEPA1 had been shown to inhibit TGF-β signaling by sequestering R-SMADs [8, 19]. The Smad binding domain involved in TGF-B regulatory feedback loop was localized within the intra-cellular domains of PMEPA1 isoform proteins. Several studies including ours showed that *PMEPA1-a* isoform functioned as TGF- β signaling inhibitor and *PMEPA1-b* had no impact on TGF- β signaling in prostate cancer and other solid tumors. However, whether other *PMEPA1* isoforms (c, d and e) could perturb TGF- β signaling in prostate cancer cells remained unclear. Along these lines, our Q-RT-PCR data showed that ectopic *PMEPA1-d* down-regulated the transcript levels of TGF- β responsive genes COL1A1, NEDD9 and THBS1 in PC3 cells (Figure 5A). Consistently, knockdown of isoform d resulted in increased transcript levels of these TGF- β / SMAD downstream regulated genes (Figure 5B). In contrast, PMEPA1-c and PMEPA1-e had no impacts on the transcript levels of TGF- β responsive genes. Further, we measured the transcriptional activation of SMADs by cotransfecting PC3 cells with the expression vectors of each PMEPA1 isoform c, d and e and luciferase reporter vector under the control of SMAD-inducible promoter-enhancer cassette. The luciferase reporter assay revealed robust inhibition of SMAD promoter activity by PMEPA1-d in PC3 cells (Figure 5C). On the other hand, overexpression of PMEPA1-c or PMEPA1-e had no impacts on SMAD transcriptional activation.

PMEPA1 isoform (d and e) had no impacts on the growth of androgen dependent prostate cancer cells and androgen signaling

PMEPA1 had also been shown to negatively regulate the protein levels of AR via recruiting E3 ubiquitin ligase NEDD4 in proteasome dependent way through a negative feedback loop [12, 13]. Additionally, it was further revealed that *PMEPA1-b* isoform as an AR signaling inhibitor and *PMEPA1-a* has no impact on AR signaling in prostate cancer cells. Nevertheless, the roles of other *PMEPA1* isoforms (c, d and e) in the context of AR signaling were not fully understood in prostate cancer cells. Towards this, the androgen dependent prostate cancer cell line LNCaP cells were used to assess the impacts of *PMEPA1* isoforms (c, d and e) on androgen signaling and cell growth, cell plating efficiency and colony formation capacity in soft agar. Surprisingly, no *PMEPA1* isoforms was found to have impacts on the growth of androgen responsive LNCaP cells (Figure 6A). Our data further revealed that ectopic *PMEPA1* isoforms (c, d and e) could not alter the cell plating efficiency of LNCaP cells significantly (Figure 6B). The assessment of the anchorage-independent growth capacity in soft agar of LNCaP cells also displayed similar results (Figure 6C). As expected, knockdown of *PMEPA1* isoforms (c and d) had no impacts on the cell growth, cell plating efficiency



Figure 3: The *PMEPA1* isoforms (*c*, *d* and *e*) were categorized into two subgroups in prostate cancer cells: androgen responsive and TGF- β responsive. The transcript levels of *PMEPA1* isoforms (*c*, *d* and *e*) were assessed by Q-PCR in androgen responsive LNCaP cells and TGF- β responsive PC3 cells. (A) LNCaP cells treated with R1881 at linear dosages of 0, 0.1 and 1.0 nM for 24 hours. (B) PC3 cells treated with TGF- β at linear dosages of 0, 5 and 25 ng/ml for 24 hours. (C) LNCaP Cells were transfected with pCMV-XL5-wild-type AR, -T877A mutant AR and pCMV-XL5 as control. (D) LNCaP Cells were transfected with AR siRNA and scramble siRNA as control. (E) PC3 cells were transfected with pcDNA3.1-TGFRI and pcDNA3.1 as control. (F) PC3 cells were transfected with TGFRI siRNA and non-target siRNA as control.

and colony formation capacity in soft agar of LNCaP cells (Figure 6D–6F). Along these lines, overexpression and knock down of *PMEPA1-c* and *d* in LNCaP cells were also found to have on impacts on the transcript and protein levels of AR and androgen responsive gene, *KLK3/*(PSA). Moreover, the ectopic *PMEPA1-e* expression had no effects on AR and androgen signaling in LNCaP cells (Figure 6G–6I). The impacts of other PMEPA1 isoforms including isoform a and b on AR and PSA were shown in Supplementary Figure 1. Taken together, our data showed that both AR regulated *PMEPA1* isoform *e* and TGF- β responsive isoforms *c* and *d* could not suppress cell growth of hormone responsive prostate cancer cells and interrupt androgen signaling.

The decreased mRNA ratios of PMEPA1 isoforms (d and e) indicated higher Gleason score in prostate cancer patients

Alternative usage of transcript isoforms from the same gene had been hypothesized as an important feature in cancers. One of the aims of our study was to investigate the clinical and molecular characteristics roles of *PMEPA1* isoforms and their expression ratios in prostate tumorigenesis. As a result of the absence of regulation functions on AR/TGF- β signaling of *PMEPA1-c* isoform in prostate cancer cells, we focused on the study of clinical significance and relevance of *PMEPA1* isoforms (*d* and *e*) in prostate cancer patients. We analyzed the TCGA RNA Seq data of unmatched 499 malignant and 50 benign prostate samples. Our analysis showed that the expressions of *PMEPA1* isoforms d and e were significantly increased in prostate tumor tissue compared to benign tissue (Table 3), consistent with the findings that both *PMEPA1* isoforms (*d* and *e*) promoted the growth of AR negative prostate cancer cells, further suggesting their potential roles in prostate tumorigenesis. Surprisingly, the enhanced transcript level of PMEPA1-d or PMEPA1-e was not found to be correlated to enhanced Gleason score of prostate cancer individually (Figure 7A-7B). Further, we investigated the transcript ratios between TGF- β associated PMEPA1 isoforms (a and d) and AR associated isoforms (b and e) to measure the alternative splicing ratio as an independent mechanism to control the disease progression. Our findings showed that a decreased ratio of two TGF-β associated PMEPA1 isoforms (PMEPA1-a versus PMEPA-d) associated with increased disease Gleason scores (score 7 compared to 8-10, P = 0.0035) (Figure 7C). Similarly, the decreased ratio of androgen associated PMEPA1-b isoform versus PMEPA1-d was strongly correlated to higher Gleason score (score 6 or score 7 compared to score 8-10, P = 0.0065 and P < 0.01, respectively) (Figure 7D). Further, the expression ratio of PMEPA1-a versus PMEPA1-e was not associated with Gleason score of prostate cancer (Figure 7E). Whereas, the decreased ratio between transcript levels of *PMEPA-b* and *PMEPA1-e* was highly correlated to increased Gleason score (Gleason score 6 or 7 compared to Gleason score 8-10, P = 0.025 and P = 0.012, respectively) (Figure 7F).



Figure 4: *PMEPA1* **isoform** *-d* **and** *-e* **promoted the growth of PC3 cells.** PC3 cells were transfected with pcDNA3.1-*PMEPA1-c*, *-d* and *-e* individually and pcDNA3.1 as control. The assays of cell counting assay (**A**), cell plating efficiency assay (**C**) and colony formation assay in soft agar (**E**) were used to detect the effects of ectopic *PMEPA1* isoforms (*c*, *d* and *e*) on the proliferation of PC3 cells. PC3 cells were transfected with specific siRNA targeting *PMEPA1-c* and *-d* and scramble siRNA as control (The endogenous isoform *e* was not detected in PC3 cells). Similarly, our data revealed effects of knockdown of endogenous *PMEPA-d* on the cell growth rate (**B**), cell plating efficiency (**D**) and soft agar colony formation capacity (**F**) of PC3 cells. Furthermore, the PC3 cells were co-transfected with *TGFRI* siRNA and *PMEPA1* isoforms as indicated. Our data detected the effects of knockdown of endogenous TGFRI on the cell growth promoting effects mediated by *PMEPA1* isoform *a* and *d* in PC3 cells with cell growth assay (**G**) and cell plating efficiency assay (**H**).

Moreover, the ratio of transcript levels of isoform *e* versus *d* was found to be associated with increased Gleason score (score 7 to 8–10, P = 0.0061) (Figure 7G). The further study of the associations between transcript levels of *PMEPA1* isoforms (*d* and *e*) and disease progressions including biochemical recurrence (BCR), metastasis as well as progression free survival rate was warranted.

DISCUSSION

Through RNA Seq technology for unbiased detection of transcripts, we comprehensively analyzed *PMEPA1* gene isoforms in androgen responsive VCaP, LNCaP prostate cancer cell lines and TCGA dataset of primary prostate tumor tissues. The notable features of the RNA Seq methodologies include the abilities to quantify RNA species including novel non-coding splice variants, RNA at baseline level and a wider coverage of dynamic

range of signal [24–26]. Additionally, RNA sequencing technologies had also been applied in biomarker discovery by enabling profiling of alternatively spliced transcripts with high frequency in cancers. Our analysis identified five *PMEPA1* isoforms encoding 287 aa (*PMEPA1-a*), 252 aa (*PMEPA1-b*), 237 aa (*PMEPA1-c*), 259 aa (*PMEPA1-d*) and 344 aa (PMEPA1-e). This study focused on one novel isoform *PMEPA1-e* and two less characterized isoforms (*PMEPA1-c*, and *PMEPA1-d*). The amino acid sequence alignment of PMEPA1 revealed the differences among five isoforms only at the N-terminus of protein. On the other hand, both membrane spanning and the C-terminal regions are highly conserved in all the isoforms. The newly identified PMEPA1-e isoform contains a long N-terminal region of 97 aa. As shown in Figure 2A, *PMEPA1* isoforms *a* and *e* were found to utilize the same translation initiation codon. A unique stretch of 57 aa was found in PMEPA1-e isoform in comparison to all other



Figure 5: *PMEPA1-d* **isoform inhibited TGF-\beta signaling in PC3 cells.** (A) PC3 cells were transfected with expression plasmids of *PMEPA1* isoform *c*, *d* and *e* as indicated. And the transfected cells were harvested 72 hours post transfection. The transcript levels of TGF- β responsive genes *COL1A1*, *NEDD9* and *THBS1* were detected with Q-PCR assay. (B) PC3 cells were transfected with specific siRNA against *PMEPA1* isoform *c*, *d* and *e*. Q-PCR was used to detect the transcript levels of *COL1A1*, *NEDD9* and *THBS1*. (C) The SMAD luciferase reporter assay was applied to assess the effects of *PMEPA1* isoforms (*c*, *d* and *e*) on TGF- β signaling in PC3 cells.

AR-Response Knock Down Over Expression A LNCaF (×10⁵) 3.8 (×10⁵) 3 Numbers (Cell Numbers 3.4 Cell 0 3 PMEPA1-d si Contro PMEPA1-c PMEPA1-d PMEPA1-e NTsi PMEPA1-c si в Е LNCaP-Cell Plating LNCaP-Cell Plating 400 300 Number Imbe 200 200 Colony Colony 100 100 PMEPA1-d si NTsi PMEPA1-c si PMEPA1-0 PMEPA1. R. 324 S dan 1 PMEPA1-c s NT s PMEPA1-d si С LNCaP-Colony Formation LNCaP-Colony Formation 400 400 300 300 200 Colony 200 100 100 Con PMEPA1 PMEPA1-NTs PMEPA1-c s PMEPA1-d si 08 % 0. PMEPA1-c PMEPA1-d EV PMEPA1-e NTsi PMEPA1-d si PMEPA1-c si G н LNCaP Cells- PSA/ (KLK3) LNCaP Cells- PSA/ (KLK3 1.2 1.2 Fold Change Chanc 0.8 0.6 Relative Fold Relative 0.4 0.4 0.2 0 PMEPA1-c PMEPA1-d PMEPA1-e NT si PMEPA1-c s PMEPA1-d s T ì. 49 kl 38 kDa 28 kl GAPDH

Figure 6: *PMEPA1* isoforms (c, d and e) had no impacts on the cell proliferation and androgen signaling in LNCaP cells. LNCaP cells were transfected with pcDNA3.1-*PMEPA1-c*, -d and -e individually and pcDNA3.1 as control. And the effects of *PMEPA1* isoforms (c, d and e) on the cell proliferation were assessed with (**A**) counting assay, (**B**) cell plating efficiency assay and (C) colony formation assay in soft agar. In contrast, LNCaP cells were transfected with specific siRNA targeting *PMEPA1-c* and -d and non-target siRNA as control. Similarly, cell growth rate (**D**), cell plating efficiency (**E**) and colony formation capacity in soft agar (**F**) were utilized to study the impacts of knockout of *PMEPA1* isoforms (c, d and e) on cell proliferation of LNCaP cells. In addition, Q-PCR assay was applied to detect the transcript level of PSA (*KLK3*) in LNCaP cells with over-expression of *PMEPA1* isoform c, d and e (**G**) and depletion of *PMEPA1* isoforms (c and d) (**H**). (**I**) Immunoblotting assay was used to assess the protein levels of PMEPA1 isoforms c, d and e, AR and PSA in LNCaP cells which were transfected with pcDNA3.1-*PMEPA1-c*, -d and -e individually as indicated and pcDNA3.1 as control. The sizes of PMEPA1 isoform e, d and c were around 49 kDa, 30 kDa and 28 kDa, respectively.

DMEDA1 Isoforms Normal Expression Mean Leg? TDM Tumor Expression Mean Leg? TDM						
eq dataset of TCGA-PRAD v10.0						
Table 3: Tumor and normal mRNA expression levels of <i>PMEPA1</i> isoforms c, d and e in the RNA						

PMEPA1 Isoforms	Normal Expression Mean Log2 TPM	Tumor Expression Mean Log2 TPM
PMEPA1-d	0.1187	0.28735
PMEPA1-e	0.9785	1.4356

isoforms. Such amino acid sequence variation might be the result of alternative splicing of the exon and/or retention of the intron in the mRNA. The blast analysis of the nucleotide sequence corresponding to unique aa showed that stretch was present in the same locus with a conserved GT and AG at the 5' and 3' end, respectively. These features suggested that the long luminal region in PMEPA1-e may be due to the retention of intron. Intron retention was one of the alternative splicing mechanisms requiring both suboptimal 5' and 3' splice sites, which was often overlooked or interpreted as splicing mistake where intron was not spliced out [23, 27, 28]. Enhanced levels of retained introns were usually noted in cancer cells, leading to higher diversity in cancer transcriptomes [22]. Minor introns embedded in genes execute functions in signal transduction, cell cycle, DNA damage and information relay [29]. Several tumor suppressors and oncogenes had been reported to possess cancer-related alternative splicing and intron retention [30-35]. Here, we discovered that PMEPA1-e hosted a partial intron retention between

exon 2 and 4. Our findings suggested that alternative splicing events involving *PMEPA1* gene contribute to the transcriptional diversity and may be the basis for the multi-functional attributes of *PMEPA1* gene in prostate cancer.

Our data confirmed the inherent correlations between the expressions of *PMEPA1* isoforms and androgen/ TGF- β signaling in prostate cells. Isoform *PMEPA1-e* was androgen responsive, consistent with the observations that *PMEPA1-e* was only detectable in AR positive prostate cancer cells. In contrast, *PMEPA1-c* and *PMEPA1-d* were TGF- β responsive. The *PMEPA1* isoform *c* and *d* were detected in both androgen and TGF- β signaling positive prostate cancer cells although it was only responsive to TGF- β treatment. It has been reported the mutually exclusive expressions of TGF- β and EGF were inhibited by androgen. Then endogenous androgen signaling in hormone dependent prostate cancer cells resulted in the decreased TGF- β and activated EGF signaling, which could ultimately enhance the expression of *PMEPA1* gene [36]. The study



Figure 7: *PMEPA1* isoforms (*d* and *e*) collectively associated with increased Gleason score of prostate cancer. The RNAsequencing data from TCGA dataset with 499 prostate tumors and unmatched benign prostate tissue was used for analysis the expressions of *PMEPA1* isoforms (*d* and *e*) as well as their associations with Gleason score. The correlations between the transcript levels of individual isoform (*d* or *e*) or the ratio of *PMEPA1* isoforms (*a* vs *d*, *a* vs *e*, *b* vs *d*, *b* vs *e* and *e* vs *d*) and Gleason scores (score 6, 7 and 8 to 10) were analyzed (A–G).

of the regulation of *PMEPA1* isoforms expression by EGF signaling was further needed.

It was noted that the cell growth stimulating effects mediated by *PMEPA1-e* was independent of TGF-β signaling in PC3 cells. Furthermore, PMEPA1-e had no impacts on the transcript levels of TGF-β responsive genes and SMAD luciferase activity. All these findings explicitly outlined newly discovered PMEPA1-e isoform as a gene promoting prostate tumor growth without manipulating classic and rogen and TGF- β signaling in prostate tumorigenesis, and the cell growth promoting mechanism of PMEPA1-e needed further elucidation. On the other hand, PMEPA1-d promoted the growth of AR negative prostate cancer cells in TGF-β signaling dependent way. Consistently, PMEPA1-d was found to inhibit TGF- β signaling including decreasing the transcript levels of TGF- β responsive genes, as well as suppressing SMAD-dependent reporter activity. Meanwhile, PMEPA1-d was not found to promote the growth of androgen responsive LNCaP cells, further highlighting the interactions between intronic TGF-B signaling and PMEPA1-d. Of note, PMEPA1-c had no impacts on the growth of prostate cancer cells and AR or TGF-β signaling. No extra-cellular was detected in PMEPA1-c protein, and the shorter truncated transmembrane domain was defined in PMEPA1-c protein. In addition, the different protein sequences at N-terminus of PMEPA1-d and -e isoforms were detected. All these findings underlined the importance of N-terminal extracellular domains mediating the distinct functions of PMEPA1 isoforms.

To identify transcriptomic biomarkers for prostate cancer prognosis, we used TCGA dataset to test the clinical relevance and significance of PMEPA1 isoforms (*d* and *e*). Although dysfunctions of AR/TGF- β signaling had been reported to play critical roles in prostate cancer progression, the transcript levels of individual PMEPA1 isoforms (d and e) were not found to associate with disease aggressiveness such as Gleason score in TCGA dataset of human prostate cancer patients. Along these lines, we further investigated the associations between expression ratios of *PMEPA1* isoforms (b versus d and e, as well as a versus d and e) and clinical-pathological features. Our data revealed that the decreased ratios of transcript levels of isoform b versus d or e strongly correlated to higher Gleason score groups (score 6, 7 versus 8-10), which was further supported by the findings that the increased expression levels of PMEPA1 isoforms d and e as well as lower transcript level of *PMEPA1-b* in tumor tissue compared to normal prostate. Meanwhile, the similar analysis of TGF-B associated isoforms PMEPA-a, and -d and androgen regulated isoform *e* revealed the associations between higher Gleason score (score 7 versus 8-10) and increased expression ratios of *PMEPA1* isoforms (isoform *a* versus d, isoform a versus e). Such findings further indicated the interplay between androgen and TGF-β signaling in the disease progression, also the de-regulation of TGF- β signaling tended to happen in later stage of disease. Our results supported the conception that gene isoform



Figure 8: Model for biological function categorization of *PMEPA1* isoforms (c, d and e) in the context of prostate cancer. Our study suggested a model where evaluation of *PMEPA1* isoforms revealed a potentially new mechanism of prostate cancer cell adaptation from androgen dependent to hormone independent, TGF- β controlled cell growth. *PMEPA1-e* were androgen responsive whereas the *PMEPA1* isoform c and d were TGF- β responsive and only isoform d inhibited TGF- β signaling.

expressions as a rich resource for biomarkers predictive of prostate cancer risk stratification. Therefore, the biomarker candidate features of *PMEPA1* isoforms (d and e) were worthwhile being further validated with different prostate cancer cohorts.

Taken together, our study provided new insights into the modulations of AR and TGF- β signaling through understudied isoforms (d and e) of PMEPA1 gene, providing the new understandings of gene networks centering AR/TGF- β signaling regulation in the context of prostate cancer. These observations highlighted that both cellular context/PMEPA1-isoforms were critical for either AR or TGF-β signaling (Figure 8). Evaluation of *PMEPA1* isoforms c, d and e revealed a potentially new mechanism of prostate cancer cell adaptation from androgen dependent to TGF-B dependent cell growth. Moreover, our study indicated that gene isoform ratio could potentially predict the gene functional consequences and disease progression. Future studies of PMEPA1 isoforms in prostate and other cancers will greatly benefit the utility of disease prognostic determination and therapeutic stratification.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines and culture

The cell lines LNCaP, VCaP, PC3 and DU145 were obtained from ATCC and cultured in medium under conditions as the supplier suggested. The LAPC4 cell line was the generous gift from Dr. Charles Sawyers' lab (University of California at Los Angeles and Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY) and grown in Iscove's Modified Dulbecco's Medium with 15% FBS. For androgen and TGF-B treatment experiments, the cells were first pre-treated with 10% charcoal-stripped serum (Gemini Bio-Products, West Sacramento, CA) supplemented medium for 120 hours. Then, the linear dosages of synthetic androgen, R1881 (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA) (0, 0.1 and 1.0 nM) or human recombinant TGF-β (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) (0, 5.0 and 25.0 ng/mL) was added to the 10% charcoal-stripped serum supplemented fresh medium for 24 hours.

RNA sequencing

The RNA Seq was carried out on total RNA extracted from VCaP and LNCaP cells. The quality and quantity of RNA were determined by fluorescencebased Qubit RNA HS Assay Kit on Qubit Fluorometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Poly (A)+ RNA was further purified from total RNA samples. Then, the cDNA library was synthesized using TruSeq Stranded mRNA Library Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA) per the manufacturer's protocol. RNA quality indicator (RQI) > 9.0 was used as input for library preparation. Six different libraries were run on a single flow cell lane of an Illumina Hi-Seq 2000 sequencer generating 50 bp paired end reads for VCaP and single end reads for LNCaP cells. PCR based quantification of sequencing libraries were done by KAPA Library Quantification Kit for NGS (Kapa, Wilmington, MA). The raw sequencing data was de-multiplexed (DEMUX) by using bcl2fastq2 Conversion Software 2.17 before alignment. Only quality filtered reads were aligned to the reference human genome (hg19) using TopHat2 [37, 38]. The RNA Seq analysis of TCGA dataset human prostate cancer samples and VCaP, LNCaP cells was used to determine the expression levels of PMEPA1 isoforms. Fastq files were aligned to the human reference genome (hg19) using Tophat (v2.0.8b) and Bowtie (1.0.1) applying the ensemble gtf option with the GRCh37.59 gtf to build bowtie indexes [37, 38]. Reads were annotated and quantified to a given gene using the Python module HT-SEQ. For gene counts the same ensemble gtf mentioned above was used to provide reference boundaries. The R/Bioconductor package DEXSeq was applied to normalize for library size and perform a variance-stabilizing transformation. Multiple testing was corrected by using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure to reduce the false discovery rate.

Plasmids and siRNAs

The pcDNA3.1-HA-PMEPA1 expression vectors (PMEPA1 isoform c, d, and e) were generated by GenScript (Piscataway, NJ). The gene was bounded by HindIII and XhoI restriction site at 5' and 3', respectively. In addition, pCMV-XL5, pCMV-XL5-AR were described previously (14). The pcDNA3.1-TGFBR1 plasmid was purchased from GeneScript. Specific siRNA targeting *PMEPA1* isoform c, d, and e and scramble control (D-001810-10-05) were purchased from Dharmacon/ Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA). The siRNA sequences targeting *PMEPA1* isoforms were: *PMEPA1-c* siRNA: 5'-GAACAAGCCTCCTGGTCTTTCTG-3'; PMEPA1-d siRNA: 5'-GTGATATACACTCCTTATTTAA-3' and PMEPA1-e siRNA: 5'-CCTGCACGTGCAACTGC AAACGC-3'. The sequence of siRNAs targeting AR (GeneID:367) were: the 1:1 mixture of siRNA1: 5'-GCAAAGGTTCTCTGCTAGA-3' and siRNA2: 5'-TCGAGGCCCTGTAACTTG-3. The sequences of siRNA targeting TGFBR1(GeneID:7046) were: the 1:1:1 mixture of siRNA1 5'-GACAUCUAUGCAAU GGGCUUAGUAU-3', siRNA2 5'-GCAUCUCACUCAU GUUGAUGGUCUA-3' and siRNA3 5'-AGUAAGACA UGAUUCAGCCACAGAU-3'.

RNA Isolation and quantitative RT- PCR

RNeasy RNA Isolation Kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD) was applied to isolate the total RNA from cell pellets. Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized using total RNA (2 μ g per reaction) with Omniscript

times and data were analyzed by using MxPro v.3.2 software (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). The changes of transcript levels of genes were determined using the Δ Ct approach. The sequences of Q-PCR primers used for PMEPA1 isoforms were listed as follows: *PMEPA1-c*: 5'-GGATGAATTCGCTCTGGTCTAG-3' (forward), 5'-ACCACCATCACCATCATCAC-3'(reverse): *PMEPA1-d*: 5'-ACAGGCGAAAAGTCAAAATGC-3' (forward), 5'-ACCACCATCACCATCATCAC-3' (reverse); PMEPA1-e: 5'-CTTCCCCGTGTGCAAGAG-3' (forward), 5'-CTGGATCCTCAGCCACTG-3' (reverse). The PCR primers for COL1A1, NEDD9, and THBS1 [2] and AR, PSA (KLK3) and GAPDH [15] were described previously. Cell counting, cell plating efficiency assay and colony formation assay The cells were seeded into 6 cm culture dishes at the density of 2 \times 10⁵ cells/dish. The transfections were mediated by lipofectamine 2000 according to manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA).

reverse transcriptase and oligo (dT)-12 primers (Qiagen,

Germantown, MD). SYBRTM Green PCR Master Mix

(Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) was used to

amplify the targeted genes in cDNA samples on the

Stratagene Mx3000P Real-Time PCR system (Agilent

Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). GAPDH mRNA was

used as endogenous control to normalize each sample.

Each experiment was performed independently for three

For cell counting, the cells were treated with trypsin (0.25% trypsin plus EDTA, Life Technology, Carlsbad, CA), collected, re-suspended into 10 ml regular medium, and the single cell suspension was analyzed on hemocytometer for cell counting with trypan blue (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). For cell plating efficiency assay, the colonies were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, stained with crystal violet (0.5% w/v), and counted under inverted microscope and evaluated for their survivability. For colony formation assay, each 6-well plate was loaded with 2 ml 0.5% agar as base layer of soft agar (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) first, and 2 ml 0.3% agar top layer mixed with the transfected prostate cancer (LNCaP or PC3) cells (0.5×10^4 cells and 5×10^4 cells/ml). The colonies formed in soft agar were counted under inverted microscope after 10 days of transfections. The cellular colonies composed of more than 10 cells were counted as positive colonies.

SMAD reporter assay

The Cignal SMAD Reporter (luc) Kit (SA Biosciences, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was used for the dual luciferase assay. The HEK293 cells were transfected with SMAD reporter, negative control, positive control and PMEPA1 isoforms expression vectors (pcDNA3.1-*PMEPA1-c*, pcDNA3.1-*PMEPA1-d*, and pcDNA3.1-*PMEPA1-e* plasmids) according to manufacturer's

instructions. After 24 hours of transfection, serum free medium was changed to assay medium (Opti-MEM + 0.5% FBS + 0.1 mM NEAA + 1 mM Sodium pyruvate + 100 U/ml penicillin + 100 µg/ml streptomycin) and cells were treated with different doses of human recombinant TGF β 1 (0 and 5.0 ng/mL) for 18 hours. Dual Luciferase assay was performed, and promoter activity values were presented as arbitrary units using a Renilla reporter for internal normalization. Experiments were done in triplicates, and the standard deviations were indicated.

Analyses of PMEPA1 isoform structure, expression levels and correlation with Gleason scores

The cohort from TCGA database (v10.0) used for analysis of correlations between expressions of PMEPA1 isoforms and tumor Gleason scores included 499 prostate cancer cases and 50 unmatched normal prostate tissues. The numbers of each Gleason scores group of 499 prostate tumor cases were listed as: 45 cases for score 6, 249 cases for score 7, 64 cases for score 8, 137 cases for score 9, and 4 cases for score 10. Kallisto program was used to estimate the transcript levels of documented *PMEPA1* isoforms (isoform *a*, *b*, *c* and *d*). To identify and assess the mRNA level of unreported isoform, we used the HISAT2 (alignment), StringTie (assembler of RNA Seq alignments into potential transcripts), and Ballgown (annotation) programs. The expression values of *PMEPA1* isoforms in benign and malignant prostate tissues were shown as Log2 Transcripts per Million reads mapped (TPM). The correlations of transcript levels of PMEPA1-d or PMEPA1-e to Gleason scores were further analyzed. In addition, the expression ratios of PMEPA1-a versus PMEPA1-d, PMEPA1-a versus PMEPA1-e, PMEPA1-b versus PMEPA1-d, PMEPA1-b versus PMEPA1-e, PMEPA1-e versus PMEPA1-d were used to analyze the correlations to Gleason scores of prostate cancers.

Statistical analysis

Significance was calculated using an unpaired *t*-test or ANOVA-test. Two-tailed Student's *t* test was used to compare between specific groups within a dataset. P <0.05 was considered statistically significant difference. Data were presented as mean ±SEM or +SEM.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, this study identified a novel androgen response specific, *PMEPA1-e* isoform and addressed the conundrum of *PMEPA1* driven regulation of androgen or TGF- β signaling. This report provided new insights into differential regulations of AR or TGF- β signaling by different isoforms *PMEPA1-d* and *PMEPA1-e* in prostate cancer. Further, specific isoform ratio provided the new platform for future investigations in deciphering their

utility as prognostic markers and therapeutic targets in a given cancer type and/or biological context.

Abbreviations

PCa: prostate cancer; PMEPA1: prostate transmembrane protein, androgen induced 1; TCGA: The Cancer Genome Atlas; AR: androgen receptor; TGF-β: Transforming growth factor beta 1; TMEPA1: transmembrane prostate androgen induced protein; STAG1: solid tumor-associated 1 protein; GREF: glucocorticoid responsive element matrix family; GATA: family of transcriptional regulatory proteins; QRT-PCR: quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction; ATCC: American Type Culture Collection; ORF: open reading frame; TGFRI: Transforming growth factor beta receptor I; COL1A1: collagen type I alpha 1 chain; NEDD9: Neural Precursor Cell Expressed, Developmentally Down-Regulated 9; THBS1: Thrombospondin 1; KLK3: Kallikrein Related Peptidase 3; PSA: Prostate-specific antigen; BCR: biomechical recurrence; NEDD4: E3 Ubiquitin Protein Ligase NEDD4; RNA Seq: RNA sequencing (Ribonucleic acid sequencing); mRNA: messenger RNA; EGF: Epidermal growth factor receptor; GAPDH: Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; $\Delta\Delta$ Ct: delta delta cycle threshold; cDNA: Complementary DNA; hg19: human reference genome; HT-SEQ: Highthroughput sequencing; hTGFb1: human transforming growth factor β1 protein; HISAT2: hierarchical indexing for spliced alignment of transcripts 2; TPM: Transcripts per Million reads mapped; SEM: standard error of the mean; UTR: untranslated region; siRNA: Small interfering RNA.

Author contributions

S. Sharad. and H. Li. contributed equally to this work; conception and designed the experiments: H. Li., S. Sharad., A. Srinivasan., performed research work: S. Sharad. and H. Li; acquisition of data: S. Sharad, H. Li; analytical tools, bioinformatics, *in silico* analysis and methodology development: A. Dillman., Z. Sztupinszki., Z. Szallasi., S. Sharad, H. Li; suggestions and guidance: J. Cullen, I. Rosner, S. Srivastava, A. Srinivasan; interpretation of data: S. Sharad, H. Li; administrative, technical, or material support: S. Srivastava, J. Cullen, I. Rosner, H. Li; manuscript writing, reviewing and/or revision: H. Li. S. Sharad, A. Srinivasan and S. Srivastava; Study supervision: S. Sharad, H. Li.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Dr. Albert Dobi at the Center for the Prostate Disease Research (CPDR), Department of Surgery, Uniformed services University of Health Sciences, Bethesda, USA for the critical editing of the manuscript, and Col. (Ret.) (Dr.) David G. McLeod for providing administrative, technical, editing, material and funding support. The authors are grateful to all members of the CPDR, USU, HJF, and especially Dr. Taduru L. Sreenath for stimulating discussions and Ms. Chantal Falade for administrative support. We are thankful to Dr. Clifton L. Dalgard, Laboratory Core Director, The Collaborative Health Initiative Research Program (CHIRP) at Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USU), Drs. Roopa Biswas and Parmeet Kumar, Department of Anatomy, Physiology & Genetics, USU for providing LNCaP RNA Seq data.

Data availability

All reagents and data are available on request.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have no competing and financial interests to disclose.

FUNDING

This research was supported by the CPDR-USU program HU0001-10-2-0002 to I.L. Rosner.

Disclaimer

The contents of this publication are the sole responsibility of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views, opinions or policies of Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USUHS), The Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine, Inc., the Department of Defense (DoD), the Departments of the Army, Navy, or Air Force. Mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

REFERENCES

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2019. CA Cancer J Clin. 2019; 69:7–34. <u>https://doi.org/10.3322/</u> caac.21551. [PubMed]
- Xu LL, Shanmugam N, Segawa T, Sesterhenn IA, McLeod DG, Moul JW, Srivastava S. A novel androgen-regulated gene, PMEPA1, located on chromosome 20q13 exhibits high level expression in prostate. Genomics. 2000; 66:257– 63. <u>https://doi.org/10.1006/geno.2000.6214</u>. [PubMed]
- Fournier PG, Juárez P, Jiang G, Clines GA, Niewolna M, Kim HS, Walton HW, Peng XH, Liu Y, Mohammad KS, Wells CD, Chirgwin JM, Guise TA. The TGF-β Signaling Regulator PMEPA1 Suppresses Prostate Cancer Metastases to Bone. Cancer Cell. 2015; 27:809–21. <u>https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ccell.2015.04.009</u>. [PubMed]

- Yoshikawa T, Sanders AR, Esterling LE, Detera-Wadleigh SD. Multiple transcriptional variants and RNA editing in C18orf1, a novel gene with LDLRA and transmembrane domains on 18p11.2. Genomics. 1998; 47:246–57. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1006/geno.1997.5118</u>. [PubMed]
- Nakano N, Maeyama K, Sakata N, Itoh F, Akatsu R, Nakata M, Katsu Y, Ikeno S, Togawa Y, Vo Nguyen TT, Watanabe Y, Kato M, Itoh S. C18 ORF1, a novel negative regulator of transforming growth factor-β signaling. J Biol Chem. 2014; 289:12680–92. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.558981</u>. [PubMed]
- Rae FK, Hooper JD, Nicol DL, Clements JA. Characterization of a novel gene, STAG1/PMEPA1, upregulated in renal cell carcinoma and other solid tumors. Mol Carcinog. 2001; 32:44–53. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/mc.1063</u>. [PubMed]
- Brunschwig EB, Wilson K, Mack D, Dawson D, Lawrence E, Willson JK, Lu S, Nosrati A, Rerko RM, Swinler S, Beard L, Lutterbaugh JD, Willis J, et al. PMEPA1, a transforming growth factor-beta-induced marker of terminal colonocyte differentiation whose expression is maintained in primary and metastatic colon cancer. Cancer Res. 2003; 63:1568–75. [PubMed]
- Vo Nguyen TT, Watanabe Y, Shiba A, Noguchi M, Itoh S, Kato M. TMEPAI/PMEPA1 enhances tumorigenic activities in lung cancer cells. Cancer Sci. 2014; 105:334–41. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1111/cas.12355</u>. [PubMed]
- Xu LL, Su YP, Labiche R, Segawa T, Shanmugam N, McLeod DG, Moul JW, Srivastava S. Quantitative expression profile of androgen-regulated genes in prostate cancer cells and identification of prostate-specific genes. Int J Cancer. 2001; 92:322–28. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.1196. [PubMed]
- Giannini G, Ambrosini MI, Di Marcotullio L, Cerignoli F, Zani M, MacKay AR, Screpanti I, Frati L, Gulino A. EGFand cell-cycle-regulated STAG1/PMEPA1/ERG1.2 belongs to a conserved gene family and is overexpressed and amplified in breast and ovarian cancer. Mol Carcinog. 2003; 38:188–200. https://doi.org/10.1002/mc.10162. [PubMed]
- Masuda K, Werner T, Maheshwari S, Frisch M, Oh S, Petrovics G, May K, Srikantan V, Srivastava S, Dobi A. Androgen receptor binding sites identified by a GREF_ GATA model. J Mol Biol. 2005; 353:763–71. <u>https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jmb.2005.09.009</u>. [PubMed]
- Xu LL, Shi Y, Petrovics G, Sun C, Makarem M, Zhang W, Sesterhenn IA, McLeod DG, Sun L, Moul JW, Srivastava S. PMEPA1, an androgen-regulated NEDD4-binding protein, exhibits cell growth inhibitory function and decreased expression during prostate cancer progression. Cancer Res. 2003; 63:4299–304. [PubMed]
- Li H, Xu LL, Masuda K, Raymundo E, McLeod DG, Dobi A, Srivastava S. A feedback loop between the androgen receptor and a NEDD4-binding protein, PMEPA1, in prostate cancer cells. J Biol Chem. 2008; 283:28988–95. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M710528200</u>. [PubMed]
- 14. Richter E, Masuda K, Cook C, Ehrich M, Tadese AY, Li H, Owusu A, Srivastava S, Dobi A. A role for DNA

methylation in regulating the growth suppressor PMEPA1 gene in prostate cancer. Epigenetics. 2007; 2:100–09. https://doi.org/10.4161/epi.2.2.4611. [PubMed]

- Sharad S, Ravindranath L, Haffner MC, Li H, Yan W, Sesterhenn IA, Chen Y, Ali A, Srinivasan A, McLeod DG, Yegnasubramanian S, Srivastava S, Dobi A, Petrovics G. Methylation of the PMEPA1 gene, a negative regulator of the androgen receptor in prostate cancer. Epigenetics. 2014; 9:918–27. <u>https://doi.org/10.4161/epi.28710</u>. [PubMed]
- Li H, Mohamed AA, Sharad S, Umeda E, Song Y, Young D, Petrovics G, McLeod DG, Sesterhenn IA, Sreenath T, Dobi A, Srivastava S. Silencing of PMEPA1 accelerates the growth of prostate cancer cells through AR, NEDD4 and PTEN. Oncotarget. 2015; 6:15137–49. <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.3526</u>. [PubMed]
- Itoh S, Thorikay M, Kowanetz M, Moustakas A, Itoh F, Heldin CH, ten Dijke P. Elucidation of Smad requirement in transforming growth factor-beta type I receptor-induced responses. J Biol Chem. 2003; 278:3751–61. <u>https://doi. org/10.1074/jbc.M208258200</u>. [PubMed]
- Watanabe Y, Itoh S, Goto T, Ohnishi E, Inamitsu M, Itoh F, Satoh K, Wiercinska E, Yang W, Shi L, Tanaka A, Nakano N, Mommaas AM, et al. TMEPAI, a transmembrane TGFbeta-inducible protein, sequesters Smad proteins from active participation in TGF-beta signaling. Mol Cell. 2010; 37:123–34. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2009.10.028</u>. [PubMed]
- Singha PK, Pandeswara S, Geng H, Lan R, Venkatachalam MA, Saikumar P. TGF-β induced TMEPAI/PMEPA1 inhibits canonical Smad signaling through R-Smad sequestration and promotes non-canonical PI3K/Akt signaling by reducing PTEN in triple negative breast cancer. Genes Cancer. 2014; 5:320–36. <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/ genesandcancer.30</u>. [PubMed]
- Singha PK, Yeh IT, Venkatachalam MA, Saikumar P. Transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-beta)-inducible gene TMEPAI converts TGF-beta from a tumor suppressor to a tumor promoter in breast cancer. Cancer Res. 2010; 70:6377–83. <u>https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-1180</u>. [PubMed]
- Liu R, Zhou Z, Huang J, Chen C. PMEPA1 promotes androgen receptor-negative prostate cell proliferation through suppressing the Smad3/4-c-Myc-p21 Cip1 signaling pathway. J Pathol. 2011; 223:683–94. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/path.2834</u>. [PubMed]
- Dvinge H, Bradley RK. Widespread intron retention diversifies most cancer transcriptomes. Genome Med. 2015; 7:45. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-015-0168-9</u>. [PubMed]
- El Marabti E, Younis I. The Cancer Spliceome: Reprograming of Alternative Splicing in Cancer. Front Mol Biosci. 2018; 5:80. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/</u> <u>fmolb.2018.00080</u>. [PubMed]
- Nakano N, Itoh S, Watanabe Y, Maeyama K, Itoh F, Kato M. Requirement of TCF7L2 for TGF-beta-dependent transcriptional activation of the TMEPAI gene. J Biol

Chem. 2010; 285:38023–33. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.</u> <u>M110.132209</u>. [PubMed]

- Li W, Dai C, Kang S, Zhou XJ. Integrative analysis of many RNA-seq datasets to study alternative splicing. Methods. 2014; 67:313–24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.</u> ymeth.2014.02.024. [PubMed]
- Wang Z, Gerstein M, Snyder M. RNA-Seq: a revolutionary tool for transcriptomics. Nat Rev Genet. 2009; 10:57–63. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2484</u>. [PubMed]
- Goodison S, Yoshida K, Churchman M, Tarin D. Multiple intron retention occurs in tumor cell CD44 mRNA processing. Am J Pathol. 1998; 153:1221–28. <u>https://doi. org/10.1016/S0002-9440(10)65666-0</u>. [PubMed]
- Michael IP, Kurlender L, Memari N, Yousef GM, Du D, Grass L, Stephan C, Jung K, Diamandis EP. Intron retention: a common splicing event within the human kallikrein gene family. Clin Chem. 2005; 51:506–15. https://doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2004.042341. [PubMed]
- Turunen JJ, Niemelä EH, Verma B, Frilander MJ. The significant other: splicing by the minor spliceosome. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA. 2013; 4:61–76. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/wrna.1141</u>. [PubMed]
- Surget S, Khoury MP, Bourdon JC. Uncovering the role of p53 splice variants in human malignancy: a clinical perspective. Onco Targets Ther. 2013; 7:57–68. <u>https://doi. org/10.2147/OTT.S53876</u>. [PubMed]
- Tejedor JR, Papasaikas P, Valcárcel J. Genome-wide identification of Fas/CD95 alternative splicing regulators reveals links with iron homeostasis. Mol Cell. 2015; 57:23– 38. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2014.10.029</u>. [PubMed]
- Okumura N, Yoshida H, Kitagishi Y, Nishimura Y, Matsuda S. Alternative splicings on p53, BRCA1 and PTEN genes involved in breast cancer. Biochem Biophys Res

Commun. 2011; 413:395–99. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.</u> bbrc.2011.08.098. [PubMed]

- Agrawal S, Eng C. Differential expression of novel naturally occurring splice variants of PTEN and their functional consequences in Cowden syndrome and sporadic breast cancer. Hum Mol Genet. 2006; 15:777–87. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddi492</u>. [PubMed]
- Vitting-Seerup K, Sandelin A. The Landscape of Isoform Switches in Human Cancers. Mol Cancer Res. 2017; 15:1206–20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-16-0459</u>. [PubMed]
- Pan Q, Shai O, Lee LJ, Frey BJ, Blencowe BJ. Deep surveying of alternative splicing complexity in the human transcriptome by high-throughput sequencing. Nat Genet. 2008; 40:1413–15. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.259. [PubMed]
- 36. Azami S, Vo Nguyen TT, Watanabe Y, Kato M. Cooperative induction of transmembrane prostate androgen induced protein TMEPAI/PMEPA1 by transforming growth factor-β and epidermal growth factor signaling. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2015; 456:580–85. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j. bbrc.2014.11.107</u>. [PubMed]
- Kim D, Pertea G, Trapnell C, Pimentel H, Kelley R, Salzberg SL. TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. Genome Biol. 2013; 14:R36. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-4-r36. [PubMed]</u>
- Trapnell C, Roberts A, Goff L, Pertea G, Kim D, Kelley DR, Pimentel H, Salzberg SL, Rinn JL, Pachter L. Differential gene and transcript expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with TopHat and Cufflinks. Nat Protoc. 2012; 7:562–78. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2012.016</u>. [PubMed]