Research Paper

Systemic immune-inflammation index, SII, for prognosis of elderly patients with newly diagnosed tumors

Chan Li^{1,5,6,*}, Wei Tian^{3,8,*}, Feng Zhao^{3,7,*}, Meng Li^{5,6}, Qin Ye⁴, Yuquan Wei⁷, Tao Li^{1,2} and Ke Xie^{3,4,5,6}

¹Department of Oncology, Southwest Medical University, Luzhou, Sichuan 646000, P.R. China

²Department of Radiation Oncology, Sichuan Cancer Hospital and Institute, Chengdu, Sichuan 610041, P.R. China

³Department of Operation Management, Sichuan Academy of Medical Sciences & Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital, Chengdu 610072, P.R. China

⁴Department of Biomedical Engineering, School of Medicine, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, Sichuan 610054, P.R. China

⁵Department of Oncology, People's Hospital of Xinjin, Chengdu, Sichuan 611430, P.R. China

⁶Department of Oncology, Xinjin Precision Tumor Hospital, Chengdu, Sichuan 611430, P.R. China

⁷State Key Laboratory of Biotherapy and Cancer Center, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan 610041, P.R. China

⁸School of Medicine, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 611731, P.R. China

These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence to: Tao Li, email: litaoxmf@126.com Ke Xie, email: 840246753@qq.com

Keywords: systemic immune-inflammation index; predictive value; elderly patients; newly diagnosed; solid tumors

 Received:
 October 29, 2017
 Accepted:
 January 02, 2018
 Published:
 October 19, 2018

Copyright: Li et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 3.0 (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

Background: Cancer in the elderly has become a common problem due in part to the increase in life expectancy. Compared to younger counterparts, the biological characteristics of tumors and their responsiveness to therapy may differ in elderly patients, and the elderly also can have a decreased tolerance to anticancer therapy. In addition, there is less evidence from clinical trials to guide physicians in treating aged patients with solid tumors. Thus, we often face a dilemma as to how actively to treat these patients and it would be highly useful to have a simple and powerful indicator of their prognosis. In this paper we evaluated the predictive value of the Systemic Immune-inflammation Index, SII, in determining the one-year survival and tumor differentiation status in elderly patients with newly diagnosed solid tumors.

Results: A high SII > 390×10^9 cells/L was correlated with poor tumor differentiation ($\chi^2 = 9.791$, P = 0.002) and poor one-year survival ($\chi^2 = 7.658$, P = 0.006). Patients with low SII had improved survival and better tumor differentiation (Stage I-II). The SII was not associated with Ki-67 expression.

Materials and Methods: Data from 119 patients, 70 to 89 years of age with newly diagnosed solid tumors in 2014 were retrospectively analyzed. The patients were divided into two groups according to age: (1) 70-75 years of age and (2) over 75 years of age. We calculated SII from the equation, SII = $P \times N/L$, where P, N and L are the preoperative peripheral blood platelet, neutrophil and lymphocyte counts per liter respectively. The optimum cutoff point for SII for a favorable prognosis was determined to be $390 \times 10^{\circ}$ cells/L. For evaluation of SII as a prognostic indicator, the patients were divided into high SII (> $390 \times 10^{\circ}$ cells/L) and low SII ($\leq 390 \times 10^{\circ}$ cells/L) groups. Individual values were used to determine the relationship between SII and one-year survival, tumor differentiation and Ki-67 expression in the two age groups.

Conclusions: SII was a robust indicator of tumor differentiation and one-year survival in elderly patients with newly diagnosed solid tumors. Patients in the high SII group showed poor tumor differentiation and poor prognosis compared to patients with a low SII score.

INTRODUCTION

Cancer is a major international public health problem [1] and the incidence of cancer in the elderly has been increasing in part because of greater life expectancy. Studies have shown that by 2013 in the United States nearly 70% of all cancers will be diagnosed in adults 65 years or older [2]. Furthermore, compared to younger individuals, the physiological characteristics of cancers and their responsiveness to therapy are different in the elderly, and older patients can have a decreased tolerance for anticancer therapy [3]. Therefore, treating aged patients with solid tumors requires that doctors use a different set of predictors for therapeutic decisions based on NCCN (National Comprehensive Cancer Network) and ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines. The data upon which these guidelines are based were drawn from classical clinical trials that are different from real world research. Since elderly tumor patients were seldom included in classical clinical trials it became apparent that therapeutic decisions based on such trials might not provide the necessary prognostic accuracy for effective treatment of aged patients with solid tumors. As physicians, we must balance the benefits of aggressive cancer treatment against the risks, and there is an urgent need to find a simple, accurate and robust prognostic indicator to evaluate the efficacy of a particular therapeutic regimen in elderly patients.

It is well known that cancer and inflammation are linked and the cellular immune system is key to the inflammatory response [4]. An increase in the neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio has been associated with an adverse prognosis in patients with cancer [5-11]. In addition, tumor cell survival and metastasis are both influenced by platelets [12-14]. A prognostic indicator based on counts of neutrophils, lymphocytes and platelets, then, is expected to be more robust than one based on only a single factor. In 2014, Hu et al. [15] developed an indicator that they called the Systemic Immune-inflammation Index, SII, to predict prognosis of patients after curative resection for hepatocellular carcinoma. The SII was calculated from preoperative counts of peripheral blood platelets (P), neutrophils (N) and lymphocytes (L) per liter according to the equation:

 $SII = P \ge N/L$

The researchers tested the hypothesis that a high SII score (SII > 330×10^9 cells/L) indicated poor outcome in these patients [15] and found it to be an accurate predictor of one-year survival and tumor differentiation. Other researchers questioned whether this SII cut-off value was

appropriate for predicting prognosis in all cancer patients. Recent published evidence [16-19] suggests that SII is a useful and accurate independent prognostic indicator for all kinds of tumor patients.

Since 2014, we have been focusing on SII in aged patients with newly diagnosed solid tumors. To the best of our knowledge, no studies have reported the prognostic significance of the SII in elderly patients with newly diagnosed solid tumors. In this retrospective analysis, we evaluated the predictive value of SII for one-year survival and tumor differentiation in these patients.

RESULTS

Patients' characteristics at baseline are shown in Table 1. The patients' ages ranged from 70 to 89 years and 49 (41.2%) were female and 70 (58.8%) were male. All patients had a body-mass index (BMI) of 18.5 kg/m² or more. According to the Seventh Edition of the AJCC [20], 61 (51.26%) patients were in stages I-II and 58 (48.74%) patients were in stages III-IV. Comorbidities included diabetes mellitus, hypertension and coronary heart disease. We divided the patients into two groups according to age: 70 to 75 years of age and over 75 years of age. Patients in the two age groups were all comparable for gender, tumor number, comorbidity, ability to care for themselves (ECOG PS), tumor differentiation, one-year survival, blood albumin level and tumor resection. There were no significant differences of these parameters between the two age groups (all P > 0.05).

Association of SII with tumor differentiation

Tumor differentiation (stage) was here defined according to the Seventh Edition of the AJCC [20]. As has been shown in many studies, the efficacy of anticancer treatment is directly related to tumor differentiation, so correct identification of tumor stage is critical for optimal therapeutic outcomes [23]. We examined whether there was a relationship between SII and tumor differentiation by using the Chi-square test or Fisher Exact test to compare the data from the two groups of elderly patients--high SII (> 390×10^9 cells/L) and low SII (\leq 390×10⁹ cells/L). The better tumor differentiation (I-II) was 28 (71.79%) in patients in the low-SII group and 33 (41.25%) in patients in the high-SII group. In contrast, the poorest tumor differentiation (III-IV) was seen in only 11 (28.21%) of the low-SII patients while 47 (58.75%) of the high-SII patients were in stage III-IV. Table 2 shows that patients with low

| Parameter - | $70 \leq Age \leq 75$ | Age >75 | Total | ת |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------------|-------|
| | N (%) | N (%) | N (%) | — P |
| Sex | | | | 0.403 |
| Male | 36(55.4%) | 34(63.0%) | 70 (58.8%) | |
| Female | 29(44.6%) | 20(37.0%) | 49 (41.2%) | |
| Tumor number | | | | 0.136 |
| Single | 63 (96.9%) | 45(88.2%) | 108 (93.1%) | |
| Multiple | 2(3.1%) | 6(11.8%) | 8 (6.9%) | |
| Comorbidity | | | | 0.208 |
| Yes | 34(52.3%) | 22(40.7%) | 56(47.1%) | |
| No | 31(47.7%) | 32(59.3%) | 63(52.9%) | |
| ECOG PS | | | | 0.243 |
| 0-1 | 63(56.2%) | 49(43.8%) | 112 | |
| ≥2 | 2(28.6%) | 5(71.4%) | 7 | |
| Tumor differentiation | | | | 0.085 |
| I-II | 38(62.3%) | 23(37.7%) | 61 | |
| III-IV | 27(46.6%) | 31(53.4%) | 58 | |
| One-year survival | | | | 0.105 |
| Alive | 55(57.9%) | 40(42.1%) | 95 | |
| Dead | 9(39.1%) | 14(60.9%) | 23 | |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | | | | |
| <18.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| ≥18.5 | 64(55.2%) | 52(44.8%) | 116 | |
| Alb (g/l) | | | | 0.076 |
| <35.0 | 6(35.3%) | 11(64.7%) | 17 | |
| ≥35.0 | 59(58.4%) | 42(41.6%) | 101 | |
| Tumor resection | | | | 0.077 |
| Yes | 63(57.3%) | 47(42.7%) | 110 | |
| No | 2(22.2%) | 7(77.8%) | 9 | |

Table 1: Patients'(n=119) characteristics

Abbreviations: ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; PS, performance status; BMI, body mass index; Alb, albumin.

SII had a significantly better tumor differentiation than patients with high SII ($\chi^2 = 9.791$, P = 0.002). Thus, our data show that SII provides a robust indicator of tumor differentiation potentially useful in creating a therapeutic regimen.

Correlation between SII and one-year survival

The correlation between the pre-therapeutic SII and one-year survival is shown in Table 3. One-year survival

was calculated from the date at which the tumor was diagnosed. Elderly patients (70 to 75 yoa and >75 yoa) with newly diagnosed solid tumors (n = 118) were divided into two groups using the SII cut-off of 390×10^{9} cells/L). There were 39 (33.05%) patients with low SII and 79 (66.95%) patients with high SII. The difference between the two groups was analyzed by Chi-square and Fisher Exact test ($\chi^2 = 7.658$, P = 0.006). The one-year survival rate was 94.87% in low-SII patients and 73.42% in high-SII patients, the high-risk group. These results further

| Parameter | SII(×10 ⁹ cells/L) | | T- 4-1 | . 2 | D |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|---------|----------|-------|
| | ≤390 (Low) | >390 (High) | — Total | χ^2 | Р |
| Tumor differentiation | | | | 9.791 | 0.002 |
| I-II | 28(71.79%) | 33(41.25) | 61 | | |
| III-IV | 11(28.21%) | 47(58.75%) | 58 | | |
| Total | 39 | 80 | 119 | | |

Table 2: SII and tumor differentiation

demonstrate that SII is a sensitive and useful clinical parameter to predict the one-year survival of patients 70 yoa or older with newly diagnosed solid tumors.

Correlation between SII and Ki-67 expression

As a cell proliferation marker, Ki-67 has prognostic value in human malignancies independent of other parameters [22]. The relationship between the pre-therapeutic SII and Ki-67 was investigated, and the data shown in Table 4. The *t* test was used to compare the means of the two groups. SII was found to be not associated with Ki-67 (t = 0.282, P = 0.778).

Correlation between SII and age

We wanted to know whether or not the >75 yearold patients showed an age-related difference in SII. The Chi-square test was used to compare the means of the two groups, and there was no significant difference in SII results for the two age groups (Table 5, $\chi^2 = 0.443$, P = 0.505).

DISCUSSION

The goal of an anticancer therapeutic regimen is to treat the cancer as aggressively as necessary to eliminate it without undue risk to the patient. This is difficult with the elderly cancer patient because the clinical studies physicians use as guides often do not include them. One critical piece of information that would aid in creating an optimal treatment program would be the individual patient's prognosis. In this paper, we explored for the first time the value of the SII in predicting one-year survival and tumor differentiation in elderly patients with newly diagnosed solid tumors. A prognostic indicator called the systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) was developed in 2014 [15] and is calculated from peripheral blood counts of platelets, neutrophils and lymphocytes prior to treatment using the equation:

SII = $P \ge N/L$ where P, N and L are the cell counts per liter of peripheral blood for platelets, neutrophils and lymphocytes.

It was found that cancer patients with SII >330 x 10⁹ cells/L had a poorer prognosis than those with a lower SII score [15]. The physiological processes underlying the SII are not fully understood but may involve a number of factors. Neutrophils are associated with angiogenesis-regulating chemokines, growth factors and proteases and this could affect the tumor's blood supply and growth rate [24-27]. One of the main factors in a poor prognosis is metastasis of tumor cells. Circulating tumor cells, CTCs, may associate with platelets as they travel through the blood and this interaction can protect the CTCs from hemodynamic shear forces and NK cell killing [28, 29, 30]. Platelets can also secrete chemokines and cytokines that promote proliferation of metastasized tumor cells [31]. Circulating lymphocytes can inhibit proliferation and metastasis and an elevated preoperative lymphocyte count was recognized as a better outcome in resected pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma [32, 33].

Additional research has confirmed that the SII can serve as an important prognostic marker in patients with other types of tumor such as small-cell lung cancer, renal cell cancer, metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer, squamous cell carcinoma of the esophagus [16-19]. This means that the SII can be used as a prognostic indicator in 'basket' trials in which several different types of cancer all having the same gene mutation are studied [34]. Thus we can use SII with a certain targeted therapy to treat a variety of tumors.

Balancing the costs and benefits of a tumor therapy program is important and the fact that SII can be easily determined in a clinic or testing lab from routine blood work makes it a simple and inexpensive addition to the patient work-up. However, several limitations in this study need to be addressed before widespread use of SII can be accepted. The data were drawn retrospectively from a relatively small sample. We plan to repeat the study prospectively using a much larger population and expanding the age range. This will provide a more accurate and statistically verifiable data pool to test the clinical predictive value of SII.

Table 3: SII and one-year survival

| Parameter | SII(×10 ⁹ cells/L) | | | T-4-1 | . 2 | D |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|---------|----------|-------|
| | ≤390 (Low) | >390 (Hig | gh) | – Total | χ^2 | Р |
| One-year survival | | | | | 7.658 | 0.006 |
| Alive | 37(94.87%) | 58(73.429 | 58(73.42%) | | | |
| Dead | 2(5.13%) | 21(26.58 | 21(26.58%) | | | |
| Total | 39 | 79 | 79 | | | |
| Fable 4: Ki-67 and SII | | | | | | |
| Parameter | SII (×10 ⁹ cells/L) | Ν | Mean | SD | t | Р |
| | | | | | 0.282 | 0.77 |
| Ki-67 | ≦390 (Low) | 39 | 0.472 | 0.274 | | |
| | >390 (High) | 80 | 0.459 | 0.253 | | |
| Table 5: SII and age | | | | | | |
| 1Parameter | $70 \leq Age \leq 75$ | Age >75 | Tot | al | χ^2 | Р |
| SII(×10 ⁹ cells/L) | | | | | 0.443 | 0.505 |
| ≤390(Low) | 23(35.38%) | 16(29.63%) | 39 | | | |
| >390(High) | 42(64.62%) | 38(70.37%) | 80 | | | |
| Total | 65 | 54 | 119 |) | | |

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Selection/exclusion criteria

Data from a group of 119 patients, aged 70 to 89 years, with newly diagnosed solid tumors in 2014 were used in this retrospective analysis. The following were set as the inclusion criteria of the study: age \geq 70 years and newly diagnosed solid tumor by pathology without history of cancer. Exclusion criteria of this study were: age < 70 years, history of cancer, recurrent tumors, or hematologic disease.

Systemic immune-inflammation index

The SII was defined as follows: SII = $P \times N/L$, where P, N, and L were the pre-therapeutic peripheral blood platelet, neutrophil, and lymphocyte counts in cells/L in the elderly patients with newly diagnosed solid tumors, respectively [15]. The SPSS software was used for analysis of the data to determine the optimal cutoff value of SII, which was found to be 390×10^9 cells/L. Consequently, the patients were divided into high SII (> 390×10^9 cells/L) and low SII ($\leq 390 \times 10^9$ cells/L) groups for evaluating the prognostic usefulness of SII.

Clinical parameters

We compared high and low SII groups in term of one-year survival, tumor differentiation, and Ki-67 expression. Tumor differentiation (stage) was defined according to the Seventh Edition of the AJCC [20]. The one-year survival was assessed by the medical records and we also noted the patients' living conditions through the ID card number. The Ki-67 protein is a cellular marker for proliferation [21] that is present during the cell cycle in G₁, S, G₂, and mitosis but is absent from resting cells in G₀ [21]. Ki-67 has independent prognostic value in human malignancies [22]. We measured Ki-67 levels by immunohistochemistry [monoclonal antibody kit and ZSGB-BIO, Origene] on pathology specimens. All these data were used to determine the relationship between SII and tumor differentiation, the one-year survival and Ki-67 expression.

Statistical analysis

We divided the 119 aged patients with newly diagnosed solid tumors into two groups according to age: 70-75 years of age and over 75 years of age. The Chi-square test or Fisher Exact test was used to compare the data from the two groups and determine whether differences were statistically significant. The SPSS 17.0 statistical software was used for analysis of the data to determine the optimal cutoff value of SII for a favorable prognosis, which was found to be 390×109 cells/L. Using this cutoff value, we divided the patients into two SII groups: low, SII $\leq 390 \times 10^9$ cells/L or high, SII $> 390 \times 10^9$ cells/L for subsequent analysis. To determine the relationship between SII and one-year survival or tumor differentiation, the data from the two groups were analyzed statistically by Chi-square or Fisher Exact test. Association between Ki-67 expression and SII was determined using the t test and $\alpha < 0.05$ was taken as evidence of a statistically significant difference.

CONCLUSIONS

Our data verified that SII could be used as an independent prognostic factor and significantly correlate with tumor differentiation and one-year survival in a population of newly diagnosed elderly cancer patients. Thus SII is proposed as a convenient and low-cost bloodderived prognostic test in aged patients with newly diagnosed solid tumors.

Author contributions

Chan Li, Wei Tian and Feng Zhao contributed equally to the work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge all participating colleagues to share their data and knowledge. We are grateful for their efforts and the time they have spent supporting the study. The manuscript was edited and corrected by On the Mark Scientific Editing (http://scientable.com/onthemark. html) and we would like to express our gratitude for the continuing help of Dr. G. T. Bentley of the University of South Florida College of Medicine.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

FUNDING

This work was supported by grants from the Chinese NSFC (No.81672867).

REFERENCES

- 1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer Statistics, 2017. CA Cancer J Clin. 2017; 67:7-30.
- Smith BD, Smith GL, Hurria A, Hortobagyi GN, Buchholz TA. Future of cancer incidence in the United States: burdens upon an aging, changing nation. J Clin Oncol. 2009; 27:2758-65.
- Balducci L. Management of cancer in the elderly. Oncology (Williston Park). 2006; 20:135-43.
- 4. Mantovani A, Allavena P, Sica A, Balkwill F. Cancer-related inflammation. Nature. 2008; 454:436-44.
- Stotz M, Gerger A, Eisner F, Szkandera J, Loibner H, Ress AL, Kornprat P, Al-Zoughbi W, Seggewies FS, Lackner C, Stojakovic T, Samonigg H, Hoefler G, et al. Increased neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio is a poor prognostic factor in patients with primary operable and inoperable pancreatic cancer. Br J Cancer. 2013; 109:416-21.
- Halazun KJ, Hardy MA, Rana AA, Woodland DC 4th, Luyten EJ, Mahadev S, Witkowski P, Siegel AB, Brown RS Jr, Emond JC. Negative impact of neutrophillymphocyte ratio on outcome after liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma. Ann Surg. 2009; 250:141-51.
- Dan J, Zhang Y, Peng Z, Huang J, Gao H, Xu L, Chen M. Postoperative neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio change predicts survival of patients with small hepatocellular carcinoma undergoing radiofrequency ablation. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e58184.
- Gomez D, Farid S, Malik HZ, Young AL, Toogood GJ, Lodge JP, Prasad KR. Preoperative neutrophil-tolymphocyte ratio as a prognostic predictor after curative resection for hepatocellular carcinoma. World J Surg. 2008; 32:1757-62.
- Halazun KJ, Aldoori A, Malik HZ, Al-Mukhtar A, Prasad KR, Toogood GJ, Lodge JP. Elevated preoperative neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio predicts survival following hepatic resection for colorectal liver metastases. Eur J Surg Oncol. 2008; 34:55-60.
- Kishi Y, Kopetz S, Chun YS, Palavecino M, Abdalla EK, Vauthey JN. Blood neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio predicts survival in patients with colorectal liver metastases treated with systemic chemotherapy. Ann Surg Oncol. 2009; 16:614-22.
- Zhang Y, Peng Z, Chen M, Liu F, Huang J, Xu L, Zhang Y, Chen M. Elevated neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio might predict poor prognosis for colorectal liver metastasis after percutaneous radiofrequency ablation. Int J Hyperthermia. 2012; 28:132-40.
- Gasic GJ, Gasic TB, Stewart CC. Antimetastatic effects associated with platelet reduction. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1968; 61:46-52.
- 13. Gasic GJ, Gasic TB, Galanti N, Johnson T, Murphy S. Platelet-tumor-cell interactions in mice: the role of platelets

in the spread of malignant disease. Int J Cancer. 1973; 10:704-18.

- Jin DK, Shido K, Kopp HG, Petit I, Shmelkov SV, Young LM, Hooper AT, Amano H, Avecilla ST, Heissig B, Hattori K, Zhang F, Hicklin DJ, et al. Cytokine-mediated deployment of SDF-1 induces revascularization through recruitment of CXCR4+ hemangiocytes. Nat Med. 2006; 12:557-67.
- Hu B, Yang XR, Xu Y, Sun YF, Sun C, Guo W, Zhang X, Wang WM, Qiu SJ, Zhou J, Fan J. Systemic immuneinflammation index predicts prognosis of patients after curative resection for hepatocellular carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2014; 20:6212-22.
- Hong X, Cui B, Wang M, Yang Z, Wang L, Xu Q. Systemic immune-inflammation index based on platelet counts and neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio is useful for predicting prognosis in small-cell lung cancer. Tohoku J Exp Med. 2015; 236:297-304.
- Lolli C, Basso U, Derosa L, Scarpi E, Sava T, Santoni M, Crabb SJ, Massari F, Aieta M, Conteduca V, Maruzzo M, La Russa F, Wheater M, et al. Systemic immuneinflammation index predicts the clinical outcome in patients with metastatic renal cell cancer treated with sunitinib. Oncotarget. 2016; 7:54564-71. https://doi.org/10.18632/ oncotarget.10515.
- Lolli C, Caffo O, Scarpi E, Aieta M, Conteduca V, Maines F, Bianchi E, Massari F, Veccia A, Chiuri VE, Facchini G, De Giorgi U. Systemic Immune-inflammation index predicts the clinical outcome in patients with mCRPC treated with abiraterone. Front Pharmacol. 2016; 7:376.
- 19. Feng JF, Chen S, Yang X. Systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) is a useful prognostic indicator for patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the esophagus. Medicine (Baltimore). 2017; 96:e5886.
- Edge SB, Byrd DR, Compton CC, Fritz AG, Greene FL, Trotti A. AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, 7th edn. New York: Springer; 2010.
- 21. Scholzen T, Gerdes J. The Ki-67 protein: from the known and the unknown. J Cell Physiol. 2000; 182:311-22.
- 22. Gerdes J. Ki-67 and other proliferation markers useful for immunohistological, diagnostic and prognostic evaluations in human malignancies. Semin Cancer Biol. 1990; 1:199-206.
- Cuccurullo V, Mansi L. AJCC Cancer Staging Handbook: from the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual (7th edition). Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2011; 38:408.
- 24. Hung HY, Chen JS, Yeh CY, Changchien CR, Tang R, Hsieh PS, Tasi WS, You JF, You YT, Fan CW, Wang JY, Chiang JM. Effect of preoperative neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio on

the surgical outcomes of stage II colon cancer patients who do not receive adjuvant chemotherapy. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2011; 26:1059-65.

- 25. Kusumanto YH, Dam WA, Hospers GA, Meijer C, Mulder NH. Platelets and granulocytes, in particular the neutrophils, form important compartments for circulating vascular endothelial growth factor. Angiogenesis. 2003; 6:283-87.
- 26. Fondevila C, Metges JP, Fuster J, Grau JJ, Palacín A, Castells A, Volant A, Pera M. P53 and VEGF expression are independent predictors of tumour recurrence and survival following curative resection of gastric cancer. Br J Cancer. 2004; 90:206-15.
- 27. Cools-Lartigue J, Spicer J, McDonald B, Gowing S, Chow S, Giannias B, Bourdeau F, Kubes P, Ferri L. Neutrophil extracellular traps sequester circulating tumor cells and promote metastasis. J Clin Invest. 2013; 123:3446-58.
- Betz SA, Foucar K, Head DR, Chen IM, Willman CL. False-positive flow cytometric platelet glycoprotein IIb/ IIIa expression in myeloid leukemias secondary to platelet adherence to blasts. Blood. 1992; 79:2399-2403.
- Borsig L, Wong R, Feramisco J, Nadeau DR, Varki NM, Varki A. Heparin and cancer revisited: mechanistic connections involving platelets, P-selectin, carcinoma mucins, and tumor metastasis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001; 98:3352-57.
- Schumacher D, Strilic B, Sivaraj KK, Wettschureck N, Offermanns S. Platelet-derived nucleotides promote tumorcell transendothelial migration and metastasis via P2Y2 receptor. Cancer Cell. 2013; 24:130-7.
- 31. Gil-Bernabé AM, Ferjancic S, Tlalka M, Zhao L, Allen PD, Im JH, Watson K, Hill SA, Amirkhosravi A, Francis JL, Pollard JW, Ruf W, Muschel RJ. Recruitment of monocytes/ macrophages by tissue factor-mediated coagulation is essential for metastatic cell survival and premetastatic niche establishment in mice. Blood. 2012; 119:3164-75.
- 32. Ding PR, An X, Zhang RX, Fang YJ, Li LR, Chen G, Wu XJ, Lu ZH, Lin JZ, Kong LH, Wan DS, Pan ZZ. Elevated preoperative neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio predicts risk of recurrence following curative resection for stage IIA colon cancer. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2010; 25:1427-33.
- Clark EJ, Connor S, Taylor MA, Madhavan KK, Garden OJ, Parks RW. Preoperative lymphocyte count as a prognostic factor in resected pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. HPB (Oxford). 2007; 9:456-60.
- Redig AJ, Janne PA. Basket trials and the evolution of clinical trial design in an era of genomic medicine. J Clin Oncol. 2015; 33:975-77.