

Selective methioninase-induced trap of cancer cells in S/G₂ phase visualized by FUCCI imaging confers chemosensitivity

Shuya Yano^{1,2,3}, Shukuan Li¹, Qinghong Han¹, Yuying Tan¹, Michael Bouvet², Toshiyoshi Fujiwara³ and Robert M. Hoffman^{1,2}

¹ AntiCancer, Inc, San Diego, CA

² Department of Surgery, University of California, San Diego, CA

³ Department of Gastroenterological Surgery, Okayama University Graduate School of Medicine, Dentistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Okayama, Japan

Correspondence to: Robert M. Hoffman, **email:** all@anticancer.com

Keywords: cell cycle, FUCCI, imaging, S/G₂ phase block, recombinant methioninase, rMETase, chemotherapy, HeLa cells, MCF-7 cells

Received: July 18, 2014

Accepted: August 18, 2014

Published: August 19, 2014

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

A major impediment to the response of tumors to chemotherapy is that the large majority of cancer cells within a tumor are quiescent in G₀/G₁, where cancer cells are resistant to chemotherapy. To attempt to solve this problem of quiescent cells in a tumor, cancer cells were treated with recombinant methioninase (rMETase), which selectively traps cancer cells in S/G₂. The cell cycle phase of the cancer cells was visualized with the fluorescence ubiquitination cell cycle indicator (FUCCI). At the time of rMETase-induced S/G₂-phase blockage, identified by the cancer cells' green fluorescence by FUCCI imaging, the cancer cells were administered S/G₂-dependent chemotherapy drugs, which interact with DNA or block DNA synthesis such as doxorubicin, cisplatin, or 5-fluorouracil. Treatment of cancer cells with drugs only, without rMETase-induced S/G₂ phase blockage, led to the majority of the cancer-cell population being blocked in G₀/G₁ phase, identified by the cancer cells becoming red fluorescent in the FUCCI system. The G₀/G₁ blocked cells were resistant to the chemotherapy. In contrast, trapping of cancer cells in S/G₂ phase by rMETase treatment followed by FUCCI-imaging-guided chemotherapy was highly effective in killing the cancer cells.

INTRODUCTION

The problem of quiescent cancer cells within a tumor

The resistance of most solid tumors and metastases is a major problem in chemotherapy. The phase of the cell cycle determines to a great extent whether a cancer cell can respond to a given drug. We previously monitored real-time cell cycle dynamics of cancer cells throughout a live tumor intravitally using a fluorescence ubiquitination cell cycle indicator (FUCCI). Approximately 90% of cancer cells in the center and 80% of total cells of an established tumor are in G₀/G₁ phase. Similarly,

approximately 75% of cancer cells far from (> 100 μm) tumor blood vessels of an established tumor are in G₀/G₁. FUCCI imaging demonstrated that cytotoxic agents killed only proliferating cancer cells at the surface, or near blood vessels, and had little effect on the majority of quiescent cancer cells within a tumor. Resistant quiescent cancer cells restarted cycling after the cessation of chemotherapy. Thus, the low chemo-sensitivity of most solid tumors is at least in part due to the large majority of cancer cells in solid tumors being quiescent [1].

FUCCI imaging was used for real-time visualization of the cell cycle kinetics of invading cancer cells in 3-dimensional (3D) Gelfoam® histoculture. Cancer cells in G₀/G₁ phase in Gelfoam® histoculture migrated more rapidly and further than the cancer cells in S/G₂/M phase. After entry into S/G₂/M phases, cancer cells ceased

migrating and restarted migrating after division when the cells re-entered G_0/G_1 . Migrating cancer cells were resistant to cytotoxic chemotherapy, since they were mostly in G_0/G_1 [2].

One solution to the problem of large numbers of cells in G_0/G_1 in a tumor is to block the cancer cells in S/G_2 rather than G_0/G_1 .

Methionine dependence

Methionine dependence, the elevated methionine requirement for cancer cell proliferation, is the property of the majority of cancer cell types [3]. There have been several therapeutic strategies to target the methionine dependence of cancer cells. Methionine-starvation therapy, such as with a methionine-free diet or methionine-depleted total parenteral nutrition, prolonged the survival time of tumor-bearing rodents [4, 5]. Methionine-free total parenteral nutrition in combination with chemotherapeutic drugs extended the survival of patients with high-stage gastric cancer [4]. Prostate-cancer patients have been treated with a methionine-depleted diet [5].

A reversible growth arrest of cancer cells has been produced by replacement of methionine in the growth medium by its immediate metabolic precursor, homocysteine. This growth arrest is accompanied by a reduction in the percentage of mitotic cells in the cell population. Furthermore, fluorescence-activated cell sorting demonstrated that the cells are arrested at the S/G_2 phase of the cell cycle. This is in contrast to a G_1 -phase accumulation of cancer cells, which occurs only in methionine-supplemented medium at very high densities and which is similar to the G_1 block seen in cultures of normal fibroblasts at high density [6]. The molecular mechanism of the S/G_2 block has subsequently been investigated [7].

The S/G_2 trap that that cancer cells enter upon

methionine starvation was exploited for selective chemotherapy *in vitro*. In cultures that were initiated with equal amounts of cancer cells and human diploid fibroblasts, substitution of homocysteine and doxorubicin for methionine in the culture medium followed by methionine repletion with vincristine was totally effective at selectively eliminating a methionine-dependent human sarcoma and three methionine-dependent human carcinomas. This chemotherapeutic procedure used was not toxic to normal cells growing alongside the cancer cells and was ineffective when conducted totally in methionine-containing medium [8].

In the present report, we demonstrate that using recombinant methioninase (rMETase) to deplete methionine and thereby trap cancer cells in S/G_2 , and Fucci imaging to detect the onset of the block, chemotherapy could become effective on the S/G_2 -trapped cancer cells.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Recombinant methionine (rMETase) block of cancer cells in S/G_2 visualized by Fucci imaging

After seeding on 35 mm glass dishes and culture over night, HeLa cells were treated with rMETase at a dose of 1.0 unit/ml. rMETase blocked HeLa and MCF-7 cells in the S/G_2 phase of the cell cycle as visualized by Fucci imaging (Figure 1).

After seeding on 35 mm glass dishes and culture over night, HeLa and MCF-7 cells were treated with rMETase either at 0.25 or 0.5 units/ml for 48 hours. Fucci imaging showed that by 24 hours there was a large shift in the cancer-cell population from G_0/G_1 to $S/G_2/M$ (Figure 2). For HeLa cells, the percentage of cells in G_0/G_1 decreased from approximately 80% to approximately 20%




Figure 1: Time-lapse imaging of Fucci-expressing HeLa cells treated with rMETase. After seeding on 35 mm glass dishes and culture over night, HeLa cells were treated with rMETase at a dose of 1.0 unit/ml. All images were acquired with the FV1000 confocal microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). The cells in G_0/G_1 , S , or G_2/M phases appear red, yellow, or green, respectively. Scale bar: 50 μ m.

by 48 hours in the presence of either 0.25 or 0.5 units/ml rMETase. Approximately 80% of the population became blocked in S/G₂. For MCF-7 cells, approximately 40% of the untreated cells were in G₀/G₁. After 48 hours treatment with 0.25 units/ml rMETase, the percentage of cells in G₀/G₁ fell to 20% and with 0.5 units rMETase, the percentage decreased to approximately 15%. Approximately 85% of the cells became trapped in S/G₂ (Figure 2).

Cytotoxic chemotherapy drugs killed cancer cells trapped in S/G₂ by rMETase

During rMETase-induced blockage in S/G₂, doxorubicin (DOX) effectively killed the cancer cells. After overnight culture, HeLa cells and MCF-7 cells were treated with 0.25 unit/ml rMETase for 48 hours. The cancer cells were then treated with 0.5 μg/ml DOX (HeLa cells) or 2.5 μg/ml DOX (MCF-7) for 72 hours. HeLa cells were also treated with 0.5 μg/ml DOX, and MCF-7 cells were treated with 2.5 μg/ml DOX for 72 hours, both without rMETase. With HeLa cells, DOX treatment alone resulted in an increase of cells in G₀/

G₁ from approximately 60% to 80%. With combination treatment of DOX and rMETase, the number of HeLa cells in G₀/G₁ was reduced to approximately 0 with almost all cells blocked in S/G₂. For MCF-7 cells, approximately 40% of the untreated cells were in G₀/G₁ and increased to more than 80% after treatment with DOX alone for 72 hours. In the presence of rMETase and DOX for 72 hours, approximately 40% of the cells were in G₀/G₁ (Figure 3).

DOX alone, at 2.5 μg/ml, killed approximately 25% of the MCF-7 cells. The combination of DOX, at 2.5 μg/ml, and rMETase, at 0.25 units/ml, killed approximately 80% of the cells (*P*<0.01 compared to DOX alone). DOX, at 5 μg/ml, and rMETase, at 0.25 units/ml, killed approximately 90% of the cells (*P*<0.01 compared to DOX alone) (Figure 4).

For MCF-7 cells treated with 5-FU, at 15 μg/ml, approximately 40% of the cells were killed. With the combination of rMETase (0.25 units/ml) and 15 μg/ml 5-FU, approximately 80% of the cells were killed (*P*<0.01 compared to 5-FU alone). With 5-FU, at 30 μg/ml, approximately 40% of the cells were killed, and the combination of 5-FU, at 30 μg/ml, and 0.25 units/ml rMETase, approximately 90% of the cells were killed




Figure 2: rMETase traps cancer cells in S/G₂ phase. After seeding on 35 mm glass dishes and culture over night, HeLa cells and MCF-7 cells were treated with rMETase, at the indicated doses, for 48 hours. a. Representative images of control or rMETase-treated cells. b. Histogram shows the percentages of cells in G₁ (red), early S (yellow), or late S/G₂/M (green). Cells at each cell cycle phase were quantitatively assessed by counting the number of cells with each color. N=5 experiments were analyzed. Scale bars: 50 μm.

($P < 0.01$ compared to 5-FU alone) (Figure 4).

The strategy and technology described in this report, whereby cancer cells are selectively and synchronously trapped by rMETase in S/G₂, the most drug-sensitive phase of the cell cycle, where they were identified by FUCCI imaging and then treated with S/G₂-phase-specific-drugs was highly effective compared to standard chemotherapy.

Previously developed concepts and strategies of highly selective tumor-targeting [9-20] can take advantage of spatial-temporal cell-cycle imaging of cancer cells described in the present and previous [1, 2, 21] reports.

Previously, different methods of cancer-cell synchronization have been used in order to sensitize the cells to chemotherapy. Such methods include




Figure 3: FUCCI cell cycle analysis during chemotherapy with and without rMETase. After overnight culture, HeLa cells (a, b and c) and MCF-7 cells (d, e and f) were treated with 0.25 unit/ml rMETase for 48 hours and, then were treated with 0.5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ doxorubicin (HeLa cells) or 2.5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ doxorubicin (MCF-7) for 72 hours. For conventional chemotherapy, after culture for 48 hours, HeLa cells (a, b and c) and MCF-7 cells (d, e and f) were treated with 0.5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ DOX (HeLa cells) or 2.5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ DOX (MCF-7) for 72 hours. a, b, d, e Representative images acquired with the FV-1000 are shown. c, f, Histograms show the percentages of cells G₁ (red), early-S (yellow), or late-S/G₂/M (green). Cells at each cell cycle phase were quantitatively assessed by counting the number of cells with each color. N=5 experiments were analyzed. Scale bars: 50 μm .




Figure 4: Chemotherapy of S/G₂-trapped cancer cells. Efficacy of combination therapy of rMETase and 5-FU (a); rMETase and DOX (b); on FUCCI-expressing MCF-7 cells. Cell viability was assessed by counting living cells compared to control. Data bars means \pm SD of triplicate samples.

chronotherapy which attempts to target the time of day when most cancer cells in tumors are thought to be dividing [22]. Excess thymidine or its analogs have also been used to arrest cancer cells in S-phase, where they are sensitized to S-phase drugs such as 5-FU or methotrexate, and after release of the block, the cancer cells enter M-phase synchronously where they are sensitive to M-Phase drugs such as paclitaxel [23-25].

Cancer-cell synchronization with cell-cycle-phase-specific drugs, such as cytosine arabinoside, methotrexate and hydroxyurea have also been carried out [26-30], for example, to block cells in S-phase which can sensitize the cancer cells to an M-phase drug, such as paclitaxel, administered after the S-phase block is lifted [26-30].

The calcium channel blocker mibefradil has been used to synchronize glioblastoma cells at the G₁/S checkpoint, thereby making the glioblastoma cells sensitive to first-line therapy temozolomide [31]. Statins, such as Lovastatin, can be used to synchronize cancer in G₁ by preventing formation of an early intermediate in the cholesterol pathway essential for progression of cells through early G₁ phase [32, 33]. After the block is lifted, the cancer cells can be effectively treated with an S-phase drug.

PDO332991, a pyridopyrimidine, has been shown to be a selective inhibitor of cyclin-dependent kinases 4 and 6 and induced early-G₁ arrest in primary human myeloma cells and other cancer cell types, including breast cancer *in vitro* and in cancer xenograft models. As PDO332991 acts reversibly, it can be used as a synchronizing agent and when used for sequence combination with cytotoxic agents is active against myeloma cells *in vitro* and *in vivo* [34]. A cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor RO-3306 reversibly arrests 95% of treated cells in G₂ phase. These cells rapidly enter mitosis after the block is lifted and become sensitive to M-phase drugs [35]. Growth factors such as EGF, G-CSF, and IL-6 can stimulate cancer cell out of G₀, making them sensitive to chemotherapy agents such as docetaxel [36-38]. Reviews on cell synchronization are available [39-42].

The critical advantage of rMETase synchronization (blockage) is that, unlike the methods described above, it is cancer specific [3,6,8,43-51].

CONCLUSIONS

A major problem for successful chemotherapy is the very high percentage of quiescent G₀/G₁ cancer cells in a tumor. The present report has demonstrated a solution to the problem by selectively trapping cancer cells in S/G₂, with recombinant methioninase (rMETase). The S/G₂-trapped cancer cells became sensitive to chemotherapy which targets cells in this phase of the cell cycle, which are the majority of the most widely-used chemotherapy drugs. Alternatively, the rMETase-induced S/G₂ block can be lifted and the cells can become sensitive to M-phase

drugs. This approach has significant clinical potential since almost all cancer cell types tested are methionine dependent and arrest in S/G₂ when deprived of methionine with an agent such as rMETase.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Recombinant Methioninase (rMETase)

Recombinant L-methionine α -deamino- γ -mercaptomethane lyase (methioninase, METase) [EC 4.4.1.11] from *Pseudomonas putida* has been previously cloned and was produced in *Escherichia coli* (AntiCancer, Inc., San Diego, CA). rMETase is a homotetrameric PLP enzyme of 172-kDa molecular mass [52].

FUCCI (Fluorescence ubiquitination cell cycle indicator)

The FUCCI probe was generated by fusing mKO2 (monomeric Kusabira Orange2) and mAG (monomeric Azami Green) to the ubiquitination domains of human Cdt1 and geminin, respectively. These two chimeric proteins, mKO2-hCdt1(30/120) and mAG-hGem(1/110), accumulate reciprocally in the nuclei of transfected cells during the cell cycle, labeling the nuclei of G₁ phase cells red and nuclei of cells in S/G₂ phase green [53].

FUCCI-expressing HeLa cells and MCF-7 cells

Plasmids expressing mKO2-hCdt1 or mAG-hGem (MBL, Nagoya, Japan) were transfected into HeLa cells and MCF-7 cells. HeLa cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and penicillin/streptomycin. MCF-7 were grown in MEM-supplemented with L-glutamine and 10% fetal bovine serum and penicillin/streptomycin [53].

Imaging of FUCCI-expressing cancer cells

Time-lapse images of HeLa and MCF-7 cells stably transfected with FUCCI vectors were acquired using a confocal laser scanning microscope (FV1000; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) [1, 2, 21].

Cell viability

For cell viability determinations before and after chemotherapy, with and without rMETase, the cells were stained with crystal violet, and the relative number of cells was quantified using ImageJ (NIH, Bethesda, MD).

DEDICATION

This paper is dedicated to the memory of A. R. Moossa, MD.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by National Cancer Institute grant CA132971.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

S.L., Q.H. and Y.T. are employees of AntiCancer Inc. S.Y. and R.M.H. are unsalaried associates of AntiCancer Inc. There are no other competing financial interests.

Abbreviations

recombinant methioninase = rMETase; fluorescence ubiquitination cell cycle indicator = FUCCI

REFERENCES

1. Yano S, Zhang Y, Miwa S, Tome Y, Hiroshima Y, Uehara F, Yamamoto M, Suetsugu A, Kishimoto H, Tazawa H, Zhao M, Bouvet M, Fujiwara T, Hoffman RM. Spatial-temporal FUCCI imaging of each cell in a tumor demonstrates locational dependence of cell cycle chemoresponsiveness. *Cell Cycle* 2014; 13:2110-2119.
2. Yano S, Miwa S, Mii S, Hiroshima Y, Uehara F, Yamamoto M, Kishimoto H, Tazawa H, Bouvet M, Fujiwara T, Hoffman RM. Invading cancer cells are predominantly in G₀/G₁ resulting in chemoresistance demonstrated by real-time FUCCI imaging. *Cell Cycle* 2014; 13:953-960.
3. Hoffman RM. Altered methionine metabolism, DNA methylation and oncogene expression in carcinogenesis: a review and synthesis. *Biochim Biophys Acta Reviews on Cancer* 1984; 738:49-87.
4. Goseki N, Yamazaki S, Shimojyu K, Kando F, Maruyama M, Endo M, Koike M, Takahashi H. Synergistic effect of methionine-depleting total parenteral nutrition with 5-fluorouracil on human gastric cancer: A randomized, prospective clinical trial. *Jpn J Cancer Res* 1995; 86:484-489.
5. Epner DE, Morrow S, Wilcox M, Houghton JL. Nutrient intake and nutritional indexes in adults with metastatic cancer on a Phase I clinical trial of dietary methionine restriction. *Nutrition and Cancer* 2002; 42:158-166.
6. Hoffman RM, Jacobsen SJ. Reversible growth arrest in simian virus 40-transformed human fibroblasts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1980; 77:7306-7310.
7. Lu S, Epner DE. Molecular mechanisms of cell cycle block by methionine restriction in human prostate cancer cells. *Nutrition and Cancer* 2000; 38:123-130.
8. Stern PH, Hoffman RM. Enhanced *in vitro* selective toxicity of chemotherapeutic agents for human cancer cells based on a metabolic defect. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1986; 76:629-639.
9. Blagosklonny MV. How cancer could be cured by 2015. *Cell Cycle* 2005; 4:269-78.
10. Blagosklonny MV. Tissue-selective therapy of cancer. *Br J Cancer* 2003; 89:1147-51.
11. Blagosklonny MV. Matching targets for selective cancer therapy. *Drug Discov Today* 2003; 8:1104-7.
12. Blagosklonny MV. "Targeting the absence" and therapeutic engineering for cancer therapy. *Cell Cycle* 2008; 7:1307-12.
13. Blagosklonny MV. Teratogens as anti-cancer drugs. *Cell Cycle* 2005; 4:1518-21.
14. Blagosklonny MV. Treatment with inhibitors of caspases, that are substrates of drug transporters, selectively permits chemotherapy-induced apoptosis in multidrug-resistant cells but protects normal cells. *Leukemia* 2001; 15:936-41.
15. Blagosklonny MV. Target for cancer therapy: proliferating cells or stem cells. *Leukemia* 2006; 20:385-91.
16. Blagosklonny MV. Cancer stem cell and cancer stemoids: from biology to therapy. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2007; 6:1684-90.
17. Apontes P, Leontieva OV, Demidenko ZN, Li F, Blagosklonny MV. Exploring long-term protection of normal human fibroblasts and epithelial cells from chemotherapy in cell culture. *Oncotarget* 2011; 2:222-33.
18. Rao B, van Leeuwen IM, Higgins M, Campbell J, Thompson AM, Lane DP, Lain S. Evaluation of an Actinomycin D/VX-680 aurora kinase inhibitor combination in p53-based cyclotherapy. *Oncotarget* 2010; 1:639-50.
19. Blagosklonny MV. NCI's provocative questions on cancer: some answers to ignite discussion. *Oncotarget* 2011; 2:1352-67.
20. Blagosklonny MV. Antagonistic drug combinations that select against drug resistance: from bacteria to cancer. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2007; 6:1013-4.
21. Yano S, Tazawa H, Hashimoto Y, Shirakawa Y, Kuroda S, Nishizaki M, Kishimoto H, Uno F, Nagasaka T, Urata Y, et al. A genetically engineered oncolytic adenovirus decoys and lethally traps quiescent cancer stem-like cells into S/G₂/M phases. *Clin Cancer Res* 2013; 19:6495-505.
22. Innominato PF, Roche VP, Palesh OG, Ulusakarya A, Spiegel D, Lévi FA. The circadian timing system in clinical oncology. *Ann Med*. 2014;46:191-207.
23. Wang X, Pan L, Mao N, Sun L, Qin X, Yin J. Cell-cycle synchronization reverses Taxol resistance of human ovarian cancer cell lines. *Cancer Cell Int* 2013;13:77.
24. Chandrasekaran B, Kute TE, Duch DS. Synchronization of cells in the S phase of the cell cycle by 3'-azido-3'-deoxythymidine: implications for cell cytotoxicity. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 1995;35:489-95.
25. Kufe DW, Egan EM, Rosowsky A, Ensminger W, Frei E

- 3rd. Thymidine arrest and synchrony of cellular growth *in vivo*. *Cancer Treat Rep* 1980;64:1307-17.
26. Vogler WR, Kremer WB, Knospe WH, Omura GA, Tornoyos K. Synchronization with phase-specific agents in leukemia and correlation with clinical response to chemotherapy. *Cancer Treat Rep* 1976;60:1845-59.
 27. Morris CM, Fitzgerald PH. An evaluation of high resolution chromosome banding of hematologic cells by methotrexate synchronization and thymidine release. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* 1985;14:275-84.
 28. Moran RE, Straus MJ. Synchronization of L1210 leukemia with hydroxyurea infusion and the effect of subsequent pulse dose chemotherapy. *Cancer Treat Rep* 1980;64:81-6.
 29. Dethlefsen LA, Sorensen SP, Riley RM. Effects of double and multiple doses of hydroxyurea on mouse duodenum and mammary tumors. *Cancer Res* 1975;35:694-9
 30. Finzi L, Kraemer A, Capron C, Noullet S, Goere D, Penna C, Nordlinger B, Legagneux J, Emile JF, Malafosse R. Improved retroviral suicide gene transfer in colon cancer cell lines after cell synchronization with methotrexate. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* 2011;30:92.
 31. Keir ST, Friedman HS, Reardon DA, Bigner DD, Gray LA. Mibefradil, a novel therapy for glioblastoma multiforme: cell cycle synchronization and interlaced therapy in a murine model. *J Neurooncol* 2013;111:97-102.
 32. Keyomarsi K, Sandoval L, Band V, Pardee AB. Synchronization of tumor and normal cells from G1 to multiple cell cycles by lovastatin. *Cancer Res* 1991;51:3602-9.
 33. Javanmoghdam-Kamrani S, Keyomarsi K. Synchronization of the cell cycle using lovastatin. *Cell Cycle* 2008;7:2434-40.
 34. Huang X, Di Liberto M, Jayabalan D, Liang J, Ely S, Bretz J, Shaffer AL 3rd, Louie T, Chen I, Randolph S, Hahn WC, Staudt LM, Niesvizky R, Moore MA, Chen-Kiang S. Prolonged early G(1) arrest by selective CDK4/CDK6 inhibition sensitizes myeloma cells to cytotoxic killing through cell cycle-coupled loss of IRF4. *Blood* 2012;120:1095-106.
 35. Vassilev LT. Cell cycle synchronization at the G₂/M phase border by reversible inhibition of CDK1. *Cell Cycle* 2006;5:2555-6.
 36. Dong XF, Berthois Y, Dussert C, Isnardon D, Palmari J, Martin PM. Mode of EGF action on cell cycle kinetics in human breast cancer cell line MCF-7: some evidence that EGF acts as a "progression factor". *Anticancer Res* 1992;12:2085-92.
 37. Hambek M, Werner C, Baghi M, Gstöttner W, Knecht R. Enhancement of docetaxel efficacy in head and neck cancer treatment by G0 cell stimulation. *Eur J Cancer* 2007;43:1502-7.
 38. Hambek M, Werner C, Baghi M, Gstöttner W, Knecht R. Prestimulation of head and neck cancer cells with growth factors enhances treatment efficacy. *Anticancer Res* 2006;26:1091-5.
 39. Grdina DJ, Meistrich ML, Meyn RE. Cell synchrony techniques. A comparison of methods. In: *Techniques in Cell Cycle Analysis*. Gray JW and Darzynkiewicz A (eds). Humana Press Inc., Clifton, NJ, 1987;367-403.
 40. Davis PK, Ho A, Dowdy SF. Biological methods for cell-cycle synchronization of mammalian cells. *Biotechniques* 2001;30:1322-6, 1328, 1330-1.
 41. Merrill GF. Cell synchronization. *Methods Cell Biol* 1998;57:229-249.
 42. Amon A. Synchronization procedures. *Methods Enzymol* 2002;351:457-67.
 43. Hoffman, R.M. and Erbe, R W. High *in vivo* rates of methionine biosynthesis in transformed human and malignant rat cells auxotrophic for methionine. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1976; 73:1523-7.
 44. Hoffman, R.M., Jacobsen, S J. and Erbe, R.W. Reversion to methionine independence by malignant rat and SV40-transformed human fibroblasts. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 1978; 82:228-34.
 45. Hoffman, R.M., Jacobsen, S.J. and Erbe, R.W. Reversion to methionine independence in simian virus 40-transformed human and malignant rat fibroblasts is associated with altered ploidy and altered properties of transformation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1979; 76:1313-7.
 46. Coalson, D.W., Mecham, J.O., Stem, P.H., and Hoffman, R.M. Reduced availability of endogenously synthesized methionine for S-adenosylmethionine formation in methionine-dependent cancer cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1982; 79:4248-51 .
 47. Stem, P.H., Mecham, I.O., Wallace, C.D. and Hoffman, R.M. Reduced free-methionine in methionine-dependent SV40-transformed human fibroblasts synthesizing apparently normal amounts of methionine. *J Cell Physiol* 1983; 117:9-14.
 48. Mecham, I.O., Rowitch, D., Wallace, C.D., Stem, P.H. and Hoffman, R.M. The metabolic defect of methionine dependence occurs frequently in human tumor cell lines. *Biochem, Biophys Res Commun* 1983; 117:429-34.
 49. Stem, P.H., Wallace, C.D. and Hoffman, R.M. Altered methionine metabolism occurs in all members of a set of diverse human tumor cell lines. *J Cell Physiol* 1984; 117:9:29-34.
 50. Stem, P.H., and Hoffman, R.M. Elevated overall rates of transmethylation in cell lines from diverse human tumors. *In Vitro* 1984; 20:663-70.
 51. Tan, Y., Xu, M., and Hoffman, R.M. Broad selective efficacy of recombinant methioninase and polyethylene glycol-modified recombinant methioninase on cancer cells *in vitro*. *Anticancer Res* 2010; 30:1041-6.
 52. Tan Y, Xu M, Tan X, Tan X, Wang X, Saikawa Y, Nagahama T, Sun X, Lenz M, Hoffman RM. Overexpression and large-scale production of recombinant methionine- α -deamino- γ -mercaptomethane-lyase for novel

anticancer therapy. *Protein Expression and Purification* 1997; 9:233-245.

53. Sakaue-Sawano A, Kurokawa H, Morimura T, Hanyu A, Hama H, Osawa H, Kashiwagi S, Fukami K, Miyata T, Miyoshi H, et al. Visualizing spatiotemporal dynamics of multicellular cell cycle progression. *Cell* 2008; 132:487-98.