Research Paper

Proton pump inhibitors increase the chemosensitivity of patients with advanced colorectal cancer

Xiaoyu Wang¹, Chun Liu², Jiaqi Wang³, Yue Fan⁴, Zhenghua Wang¹ and Yuanyuan Wang¹

¹Third Ward of Oncology Department, The First Affiliated Hospital of Jinzhou Medical University, Jinzhou, Liaoning, 121001, China

²Department of Biochemistry, Liaoning University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shenyang, Liaoning, 110001, China

³Traditional Chinese Medicine Department, The First Affiliated Hospital of Jinzhou Medical University, Jinzhou, Liaoning, 121001, China

⁴Jinzhou Medical University, Jinzhou, Liaoning, 121001, China

Correspondence to: Jiaqi Wang, email: zyjiaqi@126.com

Keywords: *PPI, chemosensitization, 5-FU, FOLFOX, capeOx*

Received: February 10, 2017 **Accepted:** June 05, 2017 **Published:** June 16, 2017

Copyright: Wang et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 3.0 (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

Changes in pH can alter the uptake of chemotherapy drugs. Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) may therefore increase the chemosensitivity of cancer cells and cytotoxicity of chemotherapeutic drugs by increasing their uptake. We investigated the chemosensitizing potential of PPIs in colorectal cancer (CRC). Our *in vitro* data show that the PPI pantoprazole increases the chemosensitivity of CRC HT29 and RKO cells to fluorouracil (5-FU). Our *in vivo* data demonstrate that pantoprazole also increases the ability of 5-FU to inhibit CRC tumor growth in mice. Importantly, a retrospective clinical study of CRC patients receiving the FOLFOX or CapeOx regimen indicates that PPIs increase the chemosensitivity of CRC patients. Patients who received the FOLFOX regimen with a PPI had better overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) than patients who did not receive a PPI during FOLFOX chemotherapy. The incidence of nausea and vomiting was also lower in patients receiving a PPI with FOLFOX or CapeOx than in those who did not receive PPI. These results indicate that PPIs may be successfully incorporated into the FOLFOX regimen to increase the chemotherapeutic effect for CRC patients.

INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common malignant tumors [1–3]. Fluorouracil (5-FU) is the firstline anticancer drug in the treatment of CRC, but most patients with advanced or recurrent cases have poor prognosis because of developing chemoresistance [4]. The mechanisms of 5-FU chemoresistance in CRC remain unclear. Understanding of the mechanisms responsible for the 5-FU chemoresistance is important for developing novel therapeutic strategies for CRC.

Development of multiple drug resistance (MDR) has been associated with low pH in cancer cells [5]. High levels of H+ induce protonation and neutralization of chemotherapy drugs, thus decreasing their uptake by cancer cells [6]. The Warburg effect increases production of lactic acid, resulting in acidic microenvironment in cancer cells [7]. The acidic microenvironment then leads to the overexpressed proton pumps to avert acidification in cancer cells. Chemoresistance can be reversed by proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), which inhibit activity of H⁺–ATPases and drug retention outside of the cancer cells [8]. Recent studies have demonstrated that the sensitivity of B-cell tumors and breast cancer cells to chemotherapy drugs can be increased by PPIs [9, 10]. Thus, PPIs might represent an innovative class of chemosensitizers that increase the sensitivity of CRC cells to chemotherapy. In this study, we tested the hypothesis that coadministration of a proton pump inhibitor may alter chemotherapy effectiveness. We used *in vitro* and *in vivo* approaches, as well as clinical data, to analyze the efficiency of PPIs in colorectal cancer.

RESULTS

Pantoprazole increases sensitivity of CRC cells to 5-FU *in vitro*

We evaluated efficiency of the PPI pantoprazole in increasing chemosensitivity of CRC cells to 5-FU using cell proliferation assay. First, we measured pH of the cell culture medium. After 24 hours in unbuffered medium, the pH of the extracellular microenvironment of CRC cells RKO and HT29 was 6.75 ± 0.05 and $6.92 \pm$ 0.02, respectively. These conditions were suitable for the protonation effect of pantoprazole.

The chemosensitivity of CRC cells to 5-FU was remarkably increased by PPI (Figure 1). In HT29 cells, the cell inhibition rate of 5-FU at the lowest dose plus PPI was double in compared to non-PPI group (p = 0.04). In RKO cells, the cell inhibition rate of 5-FU at the higher dose was also increased in the PPI group compared to the non-PPI group (p = 0.04), suggesting that PPI may increase sensitivity of CRC tumors to 5-FU *in vivo*.

Pantoprazole increases sensitivity of CRC tumors to 5-FU in mice

The *in vivo* effect of pantoprazole on increasing sensitivity of CRC tumors to 5-FU was evaluated in mice injected with HT29 cells. As shown in Figure 2,

while 5-FU alone inhibited the CRC tumor growth in mice, combination of 5-FU with pantoprazole had greater ability of inhibiting the tumor growth on the 12th day (p = 0.03). The tumor size of PPI and 5-FU group was obviously smaller than the one of 5-FU group since the 12th day (p < 0.05), suggesting that PPIs might increase chemosensitivity in CRC patients.

PPIs increase chemosensitivity in CRC patients

Our retrospective chart review included 671 CRC patients; their characteristics are shown in Tables 1 and 2. In FOLFOX group, 259 patients received PPI and 48 patients did not receive PPI during chemotherapy. In CapeOx group, 215 patients received PPI and 149 patients did not receive PPI during chemotherapy. There was no statistical difference in age, gender distribution or cancer location (rectal or colon) between the two groups in the FOLFOX group. Except for nausea and vomiting, there was no difference in chemotherapy toxicity, such as myelosuppression, hepatotoxicity, hand foot syndrome, and diarrhea. The occurrence of nausea and vomiting in the PPI group (9%) was remarkably lower than in the non-PPI group (45%; p = 0.01). There was also no statistical difference in age, gender distribution and cancer location (rectal or colon) between the two groups in the CapeOx group. The only difference between the groups was nausea and vomiting, which was 15% in the PPI group, and 23% in the non-PPI group (p = 0.02).

Progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) in the FOLFOX group differed between patients taking PPI and patients who did not receive PPI (OS: p = 0.04; RR=0.72, 95% CI=1.02–1.90; PFS: p = 0.01; RR=0.67, 95% CI=1.10–2.05; Table 3). OS and PFS of the patients receiving 5-FU plus PPI did better than those with 5-FU



Figure 1: Pantoprazole increases sensitivity of CRC cells to 5-FU *in vitro*. (A) Chemosensitivity to 5-FU (2, 20, 200, and 2000 μ M) was compared with or without pantoprazole (50 μ M) in RKO cells. The efficiency of 5-FU was higher in PPI group (*p < 0.05,**p < 0.01). (B) The chemosensitivity to 5-FU was compared with or without pantoprazole (50 μ M) in HT29 cells. The efficiency of 5-FU was higher in PPI group (*p < 0.05,**p < 0.01).

FOLFOX group	PPI	Non-PPI	P value	
Age	51.9	51.3	0.07	
Gender				
Male	175 (68%)	32 (67%)	0.07	
Female	84 (32%)	16 (33%)		
Location of cancer				
Colon	151 (58%)	26 (54%)	0.11	
Rectal	108 (42%)	22 (46%)		
Toxicities				
Myelosuppression	35 (14%)	14 (23%)	0.08	
Hepatotoxicity	31 (12%)	15 (19%)	0.39	
Hand foot syndrome	36 (14%)	15 (17%)	0.25	
Diarrhea	31 (12%)	16 (29%)	0.11	
Nausea and vomiting	24 (9%)	25 (45%)	0.01	

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of colorectal cancer	patients on FOLFOX taking PPI vs non-PP	I
	1 0	

Table 2: Baseline characteristics of colorectal cancer patients on CapeOx taking PPI vs non-PPI

FOLFOX group	PPI	Non-PPI	P value
Age	51.9	51.2	0.19
Gender			
Male	153 (73%)	105 (70%)	0.99
Female	62 (34%)	44 (30%)	
Location of cancer			
Colon	138 (64%)	95 (64%)	0.59
Rectal	77 (36%)	54 (36%)	
Toxicities			
Myelosuppression	36 (17%)	22 (15%)	0.67
Hepatotoxicity	42 (20%)	26 (17%)	0.48
Hand foot syndrome	30 (14%)	20 (13%)	0.61
Diarrhea	27 (13%)	24 (16%)	0.34
Nausea and vomiting	32 (15%)	34 (23%)	0.02

alone according to RR. The statistical difference was also revealed using the Kaplan-Meier curves (Figure 3). However, age, gender, and performance status (PS) did not differ between patients taking PPI and patients who did not receive PPI, indicating that age, gender, and PS do not affect survival. There was no statistical difference in age, gender, and PS between patients with or without PPI in the CapeOx group. The use of PPI did not affect survival of patients in the CapeOx group (PFS: p = 0.52; OS: p = 0.98; Table 3). The Kaplan-Meier curves confirmed the results (Figure 4).

DISCUSSION

Acidic microenvironment may induce tumor growth [11]. *In vitro* and *in vivo* studies have shown that acidic microenvironment increases chemoresistance of cancer

cells, suggesting that increasing the pH of tumor cells by using PPIs can make cancer cells more sensitive to chemotherapy. Indeed, recent studies have indicated that PPIs may increase sensitivity to chemotherapy in cancer patients [12–16].

Our *in vitro* data have demonstrated that PPI increases chemosensitivity of CRC cells to 5-FU, and that the PPI pantoprazole may be activated due to the acidic microenvironment of CRC cells. Although HT29 and RKO colorectal cancer cells could be effectively killed by 5-FU alone, the efficiency of 5-FU was increased by pantoprazole. Our *in vivo* data have shown that pantoprazole also increases efficiency of 5-FU in inhibiting CRC tumor growth in mice. Importantly, our retrospective clinical study indicates that PPIs also increase the 5-FU efficiency in CRC patients. The patients

FOLFOX group		RR	95%CI	P Value	XELOX group		P Value
PFS	Age			0.32	PFS	Age	0.11
	Gender			0.64		Gender	0.71
	PS			0.56		PS	0.31
	PPI	0.67	1.10-2.05	0.01		PPI	0.52
OS	Age			0.17	OS	Age	0.31
	Gender			0.66		Gender	0.73
	PS			0.44		PS	0.81
	PPI	0.72	1.02-1.90	0.04		PPI	0.98

Table 3: The multivariate analysis of prognostic factors weighed by Cox's proportional hazard model

RR = relative risk, CI = confidence interval, PFS = progression-free survival, PS = performance status, PPI = proton pump inhibitor, OS = overall survival.





who used FOLFOX regimen along with PPI had better OS and PFS compared with the patients who did not receive PPI during FOLFOX chemotherapy. However, there was no statistical difference in OS and PFS in patients receiving the CapeOx regimen. One possible explanation may be the oral anti-cancer drug capecitabine used in the CapeOx regimen. Some drugs, such as capecitabine, need acidic pH in order to be dissolved and absorbed [17, 18]. Thus, acid reducers, such as PPIs may decrease the absorption of oral drugs such as capecitabine, resulting



Figure 3: Progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) by PPI use in colorectal cancer patients on FOLFOX therapy. (A) In FOLFOX group, the PFS of patients taking PPI was statistically different compared with patients who did not receive PPI (p = 0.01, RR = 0.67, 95% CI = 1.10–2.05). (B) In FOLFOX group, the OS of patients taking PPI was statistically different compared with patients who did not receive PPI (p = 0.04, RR = 0.72, 95% CI = 1.02–1.90).



Figure 4: Progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) by PPI use in colorectal cancer patients on CapeOx therapy. There was no statistical difference in PFS and OS between the patients with or without PPI in CapeOx group (PFS: p = 0.52,OS: p = 0.98).

in their decreased efficiency. Different chemotherapy drugs and drug combinations have different toxicities [19, 20]. Our study showed that the occurrence of nausea and vomiting in the PPI group was remarkably lower than in the non-PPI group, indicating that PPIs help patients decrease the occurrence of nausea and vomiting.

This is the first study demonstrating that PPIs increase chemosensitivity of CRC cells *in vitro*, *in vivo*, and in a clinical setting. Together, our results indicate that PPIs may be successfully incorporated into the FOLFOX regimen to increase the chemotherapeutic effect for colorectal cancer patients. Our study still has some limitations and the molecular mechanism of PPI increasing chemosensitivity needs to be further studied. Meanwhile the large prospective clinical controlled study is also needed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

Human colorectal cancer RKO and HT29 cells, obtained from ATCC (Rockville, Maryland, USA), were grown in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco, USA), 100 μ g/ml streptomycin and 100 U/ml penicillin at a humidity of 90% containing 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Cells grew as a single cell layer attached to specially treated plastic surfaces, and were sub-cultured 2 to 3 times a week. Cells during exponential growth phase were used in the experiments.

Cell proliferation assay

RKO and HT29 cells were plated at 1×10^4 cells per well in 100 µl of RPMI-1640 with 10% FBS in 96well plates for 24 h. The cells were then transferred to a new medium containing pantoprazole (50 µM; Nycomed, Berlin, Germany) and 5-FU (2, 20, 200, and 2000 µM) and cultured at a humidity of 90% at 5% CO₂ and 37°C for 72 h. 10 µl of CCK (5 mg/l) was added to each well, and cells were incubated for additional 4 hours. The absorbance (A) was measured using a multi-well spectrophotometer (Bio-Tek, XL-80) at 450 nm. The proliferation rate was calculated as follows: Cell inhibition rate (%) = 1- A of the treated wells/ A of the control wells (5-FU 0 µM, PPI 0 µM)×100.

Animal experiment

4–5 weeks old female BALB/c mice (Guangzhou, China) were housed under pathogen free conditions and fed *ad libitum*. About 1×10^6 HT29 cells were injected subcutaneously (s.c.) into each mouse. When the tumors reached 0.10 cm (about 1 week after injection), mice in PPI group were injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) with pantoprazole (30 mg/kg) and 5-FU (5 mg/kg); the treatment with pantoprazole and 5-FU was repeated weekly for 4 weeks. Tumor size (mm3) was calculated as width² × length/2 every two days. According to standard clinical criteria, which included general illness, rough hair coat, weight loss (> 20%) and oversized tumor (> 1 cm), the end-point was morbidity. There were at least four mice in each group. Physiological indexes, such as diarrhea, hair color, and weight, were detected throughout the experiment. The animals were treated according to the European Council Directive 86/609/EEC.

Clinical research

In this retrospective study, we selected advanced stage (stage IV) CRC patients at the First Affiliated Hospital of Jinzhou Medical University, Jinzhou, China, from January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2014. Data was collected from electronic and paper medical records.

All patients were more than 18 years old and did not receive surgical treatment. The first regimen they received was FOLFOX (Oxaliplatin 85 mg/m² IV, day 1; Leucovorin 400 mg/m² IV, day 1; 5-FU 400 mg/ m² IV bolus on day 1; then 1200 mg/m²/day \times 2 days continuous infusion over 46-48 hours; repeated every 2 weeks.) Alternatively, the patients received CapeOx regimen (Oxaliplatin 130 mg/m² over 2 hours, day 1; Capecitabine 1000 mg/m² twice daily, days 1–14; every 3 weeks). Patients who received concurrent radiotherapy were excluded. Clinical data included gender, birth, performance status (PS), toxicity, PPI use, PFS, and OS. The FOLFOX and CapeOx groups were each divided into non-PPI and PPI groups. The patients who received PPI during chemotherapy belonged to the PPI group. The method of entering variables into the multivariate model was forward method. The data of non-PPI and PPI groups were compared in FOLFOX group. Then, the data of non-PPI and PPI groups were compared in CapeOx group. The patients were followed up for 5 years.

Statistical analysis

SPSS 19.0 software program was used to evaluate the statistics. All data are shown as the mean \pm standard deviation (S.D.). The statistical analysis of cell and animal experiments was done by the Student's *t*-test. OS and PFS of the patients were analyzed by Kaplan-Meier curves and Cox's proportional hazard model to compare patients receiving chemotherapy and PPI, with patients receiving chemotherapy without PPI. Results were considered as statistically significant when p < 0.05. All assays in cell and animal experiments were carried out in triplicates.

Statement of ethics

Ethics approval was obtained from the Health Research Ethics Board of the First Affiliated Hospital of Jinzhou Medical University. Given the nature of a retrospective chart review, informed consent was not required.

Abbreviations

RR=relative risk, CI= confidence interval, PFS=progression-free survival, PS=performance status, PPI= proton pump inhibitor, OS= overall survival.

Authors' contributions

Xiaoyu Wang, Jiaqi Wang and Chun Liu designed experiments. Xiaoyu Wang and Yue Fan carried out experiments. Zhenghua Wang and Yuanyuan Wang analyzed experimental results. Xiaoyu Wang wrote the manuscript.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

There is no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Arriba M, García JL, Inglada-Pérez L, Rueda D, Osorio I, Rodríguez Y, Álvaro E, Sánchez R, Fernández T, Pérez J, Hernández JM, Benítez J, González-Sarmiento R, et al. DNA copy number profiling reveals different patterns of chromosomal instability within colorectal cancer according to the age of onset. Mol Carcinog. 2016; 55:705–16.
- Wang X, Wang J, Wang Z, Wang Q, Li H. Dynamic monitoring of plasma amino acids and carnitine during chemotherapy of patients with alimentary canal malignancies and its clinical value. Onco Targets Ther. 2015; 8:1989–96.
- Wojtowicz K, Januchowski R, Nowicki M, Zabel M. Inhibition of protein glycosylation reverses the MDR phenotype of cancer cell lines. Biomed Pharmacother. 2015; 74:49–56.
- Toden S, Tran HM, Tovar-Camargo OA, Okugawa Y, Goel A. Epigallocatechin-3-gallate targets cancer stemlike cells and enhances 5-fluorouracil chemosensitivity in colorectal cancer. Oncotarget. 2016; 7:16158–71. https:// doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.7567.
- Federici C, Petrucci F, Caimi S, Cesolini A, Logozzi M, Borghi M, D'Ilio S, Lugini L, Violante N, Azzarito T, Majorani C, Brambilla D, Fais S. Exosome release and low pH belong to a framework of resistance of human melanoma cells to cisplatin. PLoS One. 2014;9:e88193.
- 6. Ferrari S, Perut F, Fagioli F, Brach Del Prever A, Meazza C, Parafioriti A, Picci P, Gambarotti M, Avnet S, Baldini N,

Fais S. Proton pump inhibitor chemosensitization in human osteosarcoma: from the bench to the patients' bed. J Transl Med. 2013;11:268.

- El Sayed SM, Mahmoud AA, El Sawy SA, Abdelaal EA, Fouad AM, Yousif RS, Hashim MS, Hemdan SB, Kadry ZM, Abdelmoaty MA, Gabr AG, Omran FM, Nabo MM, et al. Warburg effect increases steadystate ROS condition in cancer cells through decreasing their antioxidant capacities (anticancer effects of 3-bromopyruvate through antagonizing Warburg effect). Med Hypotheses. 2013; 81:866–70.
- Luciani F, Spada M, De Milito A, Molinari A, Rivoltini L, Montinaro A, Marra M, Lugini L, Logozzi M, Lozupone F, Federici C, Iessi E, Parmiani G, et al. Effect of proton pump inhibitor pretreatment on resistance of solid tumors to cytotoxic drugs. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2004; 96:1702–13.
- 9. Yu M, Lee C, Wang M, Tannock IF. Influence of the proton pump inhibitor lansoprazole on distribution and activity of doxorubicin in solid tumors. Cancer Sci. 2015; 106:1438–47.
- De Milito A, Iessi E, Logozzi M, Lozupone F, Spada M, Marino ML, Federici C, Perdicchio M, Matarrese P, Lugini L, Nilsson A, Fais S. Proton pump inhibitors induce apoptosis of human B-cell tumors through a caspaseindependent mechanism involving reactive oxygen species. Cancer Res. 2007; 67:5408–17.
- 11. Peng N, Wu B, Wang L, He W, Ai Z, Zhang X, Wang Y, Fan L, Ye Q. High drug loading and pH-responsive targeted nanocarriers from alginate-modified SPIONs for anti-tumor chemotherapy. Biomater Sci. 2016; 4:1802–1813.
- 12. Han W, Hou G, Liu L. Polyphyllin I (PPI) increased the sensitivity of hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells to chemotherapy. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2015; 8:20664–9.
- Lindner K, Borchardt C, Schöpp M, Bürgers A, Stock C, Hussey DJ, Haier J, Hummel R. Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) impact on tumour cell survival, metastatic potential and chemotherapy resistance, and affect expression of resistance-relevant miRNAs in esophageal cancer. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2014;33:73.
- Cheng CJ, Bahal R, Babar IA, Pincus Z, Barrera F, Liu C, Svoronos A, Braddock DT, Glazer PM, Engelman DM, Saltzman WM, Slack FJ. MicroRNA silencing for cancer therapy targeted to the tumour microenvironment. Nature. 2015; 518:107–10.
- Taylor S, Spugnini EP, Assaraf YG, Azzarito T, Rauch C, Fais S. Microenvironment acidity as a major determinant of tumor chemoresistance: Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) as a novel therapeutic approach. Drug Resist Updat. 2015; 23:69–78.
- Wang S, Blois A, El Rayes T, Liu JF, Hirsch MS, Gravdal K, Palakurthi S, Bielenberg DR, Akslen LA, Drapkin R, Mittal V, Watnick RS. Development of a prosaposin-derived therapeutic cyclic peptide that targets ovarian cancer via the tumor microenvironment. Sci Transl Med. 2016; 8:329ra34.
- 17. Budha NR, Frymoyer A, Smelick GS, Jin JY, Yago MR, Dresser MJ, Holden SN, Benet LZ, Ware JA. Drug

absorption interactions between oral targeted anticancer agents and PPIs: is pH-dependent solubility the Achilles heel of targeted therapy? Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2012:203–13.

- McLeod HL, Evans WE. Oral cancer chemotherapy: the promise and the pitfalls. Clin Cancer Res. 1999; 5:2669–71.
- Sekimizu M. Current issues concerning drug development for pediatric hematologic malignancies. Rinsho Ketsueki. 2016; 57:693–700.
- Sivanantham B, Sethuraman S, Krishnan UM. Combinatorial Effects of Curcumin with an Anti-Neoplastic Agent on Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma Through the Regulation of EGFR-ERK1/2 and Apoptotic Signaling Pathways. ACS Comb Sci. 2016; 18:22–35.