APC hypermethylation for early diagnosis of colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis and literature review

Tie-Jun Liang¹, Hong-Xu Wang², Yan-Yan Zheng³, Ying-Qing Cao⁴, Xiaoyu Wu⁵, Xin Zhou⁶ and Shu-Xiao Dong⁷

¹Department of Digestive Disease, Shandong Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, China

²Department of General Surgery, Jiyang People's Hospital, Jiyang, Shandong, China

³Department of Medical Imaging, Jiyang People's Hospital, Jiyang, Shandong, China

⁴Department of Anus & Intestine Surgery, Taian City Central Hospital, Taian, Shandong, China

⁵Department of Surgical Oncology, The Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China

⁶Department of General Surgery, Jiangsu Cancer Hospital, The Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China

⁷Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Linyi People's Hospital, Linyi, Shandong, China

Correspondence to: Shu-Xiao Dong, email: shuxiaodong76@outlook.com

Keywords: adenomatous polyposis coli, APC, methylation, biomarker, adenoma

 Received:
 September 12, 2016
 Accepted:
 April 02, 2017
 Published:
 May 02, 2017

Copyright: Liang et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 3.0 (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

Adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) promoter hypermethylation has been frequently observed in colorectal cancer (CRC). The association between APC promoter methylation and clinicopathological significance in CRC is under investigation. We performed a meta-analysis to quantitatively evaluate the significance of APC methylation in CRC. The study included a total of 24 articles and 2025 CRC patients. The frequency of APC promoter hypermethylation was significantly higher in colorectal adenoma than in normal colorectal tissue, OR was 5.76, 95% CI, 2.45-13.56; p < 0.0001, $I^2 = 0\%$. APC promoter more frequently hypermethylated in CRC stage I compared to normal colorectal tissue, OR was 13.42, 95% CI, 3.66-49.20; p<0.0001, I²=31%. The risk of incidence of CRC was significantly correlated to APC promoter hypermethylation, pooled OR was 9.80, 95%CI, 6.07-15.81; p<0.00001, I²=43%. APC methylation was not associated with grade, stage of CRC as well as tumor location, patients' gender, and smoking behavior. The results indicate that APC promoter hypermethylation is an early event in carcinogenesis of CRC, could be a valuable diagnostic marker for early-stage CRC. APC methylation is not significantly associated with overall survival in patients with CRC. APC is a potential drug target for development of personalized treatment.

INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common types of cancer worldwide and results from the accumulation of genetic and epigenetic alterations in colonic mucosa cells, which ultimately leads to colorectal adenoma, advanced to invasive and metastatic CRC. Unfortunately, the prognosis of CRC in late stages is still poor and the search of novel diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers is highly desired to prevent CRC-related mortality. During last decade, epigenetic alterations have been reported to play an important role in many cancers initiation, progression, and metastasis [1, 2]. DNA methylation within CpG island in promoter region of genes is associated with the loss of gene expression and is observed in many types of cancers including CRC. *Adenomatous polyposis coli* (*APC*), a suppressor gene, is located at chromosomal band 5q21-q22 and consists of 15 exons. *APC* was discovered by genetic linkage analysis in familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) and was reported by Kinzler [3], Nishisho [4], Joslyn

[5] and Groden [6]. Recently APC is thought of as a negative regulator in Wnt/beta-catenin signaling pathway. Loss of APC function leads to the destabilization and degradation of beta-catenin, and the nuclear accumulation of beta-catenin results in the activation of T-cell factor/LEF target gene and initiates tumorgenesis [7, 8]. *APC* along with several other inactivated genes plays a prognostic indicatory role in squamous cell and adenocarcinoma of esophagus, bladder and lung cancers [9]. In the past two decades, *APC* promoter hypermethylation was frequently observed in sporadic and familial CRC. However, the association between clinicopathological significance and *APC* methylation was under investigated. The present article aims to summarize the most recent findings concerning the use of epigenetic (mainly related to DNA methylation) biomarkers for CRC diagnosis, progression, and response to treatment.

RESULTS

Identification of relevant studies

36 publications were identified by the search method as described above. Eleven of those were excluded due to laboratory studies, non-original articles (review), or studies irrelevant to the current analysis. Eventually, there were 24 studies included in the final meta-analysis as shown in Figure 1.

Study characteristics

24 studies published from 2004 to 2015 were eligible for meta-analysis. A total of 1396 samples including CRC, colorectal adenoma and normal control tissues from Greece, Iran, Sweden, Vietnam, China, South Korea, Japan, UK, Kashmir, Czech Republic, Australia, Netherland, Germany, Norway, and USA were included in the analysis. Their basic characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

The correlation of *APC* hypermethylation with clinicopathological features

1. The inactivation of *APC* through promoter hypermethylation in adenoma and CRC.

APC promoter hypermethylation was an early event in carcinogenesis. The frequency of *APC* promoter hypermethylation was significantly increased in adenoma than in normal colorectal tissues, OR was 5.76, 95%CI, 2.45-13.56; p<0.0001, P=0% (Figure 2). *APC* promoter was more frequently hypermethylated in CRC stage I than normal colorectal tissue, OR was 13.42, 95% CI, 3.66-49.20; p<0.0001, P=31% (Figure 3). The risk of incidence of CRC was significantly correlated to *APC* promoter hypermethylation, pooled OR was 9.80, 95%CI, 6.07-15.81; p<0.0001, $I^{2}=43\%$ (Figure 4).

2. *APC* promoter hypermethylation was not associated with grade and stage of CRC.

The frequency of *APC* promoter hypermethylation was similar between low and high grade of CRC, pooled OR was 1.01, 95%CI, 039-2.61; p=0.99, I^2 =81% (Figure 5). There was no difference when comparing the frequency of *APC* promoter hypermethylation between I/ II stage and III/IV stage of CRC, pooled OR was 0.85, 95%CI, 0.63-1.15; p=0.29, I^2 =0% (Figure 6).

3. There was no statistically significant association between *APC* methylation status and other clinical parameters, including tumor location, gender and smoking status of CRC patients.

Proximal versus distal: OR was 0.87, 95%CI, 0.67-1.13, p=0.31, *I*²=0% (Figure 7).

Male versus female: OR was 1.55, 95%CI, 0.88-1.52, p=0.31, *I*²=0% (Figure 8).

Smoker versus non-smoker: OR was 1.63, 95%CI, 0.88-2.99, p=0.12, *I*²=0% (Figure 9).

4. Overall survival was analyzed by selecting Colorectal Adenocarcinoma (TCGA, Nature 2012) [10] and gene *APC* via cBioPortal for provisional data. The survival curve was plotted on 236 cases (methylation HM27) which included 72 cases with *APC* hypermethylation (methylation beta-value was more than 0.3) and 164 cases with *APC* low methylation (methylation beta-value was more than 0.3). T-test p-value was 0.5798, indicating *APC* methylation was not significantly associated with overall survival in patients with CRC (Figure 10).

Sensitivity analyses and publication bias

To minimize the effect of confounders, a sensitivity analysis, in which one study was removed at a time, was conducted to assess the result stability. The pooled ORs were not significantly changed, indicating the stability of our analyses. The funnel plots demonstrates no obvious asymmetry (Figure 11A-11H), suggesting the absence of publication biases in the meta-analysis of *APC* hypermethylation and clinicopathological features.

DISCUSSION

CRC is thought to develop from adenomatous polyps following the accumulation of mutations which includes the activation of oncogenes and the inactivation of tumor suppressor genes [11–15]. Tumor suppressor genes associated with CRC include *APC*, *p53*, *BRAF* and *DCC* [10, 15], and the loss of APC has been observed in approximately 70-80% of CRC [16–19], but the association between *APC* promoter methylation and clinicopathological significance in CRC is unclear. The current study systematically reviewed all published evidence before July 2016 and synthesized data from three studies and 196 adenoma samples using a meta-analysis. Our result indicated that the *APC* promoter is 5.76 times more frequently hypermethylated in adenoma

than in normal colorectal tissue. In addition, the frequency of *APC* hypermethylation in CRC stage I was 13.42 times higher than in normal colorectal tissue. Patients with early-stage CRC could expect a long survival with surgery alone, but about 50% stage III and 25% stage II will relapse and need adjuvant chemotherapy [20]. Therefore, *APC* hypermethylation as a valuable early diagnostic marker could contribute to making the decision whether or not to accomplish chemotherapy. Ding et al published a meta-analysis of the association between *APC* promoter methylation and colorectal cancer, in which the *APC* promoter hypermethylation has not been analyzed in early-stage CRC.

We pooled 12 studies and included 762 CRC samples and 343 normal colorectal tissues, and the data demonstrated the frequency of *APC* hypermethylation in CRC was 9.8 times higher than in normal colorectal tissues; the heterogeneity was 43%. We removed three studies that caused higher heterogeneity (the heterogeneity was 83%, OR was 4.35, 95% CI was 1.56-12.12). One of the

three studies (Xu et al.) reported low frequency of APC methylation in CRC (7.7%) compared to other studies (APC methylation rate arranged from 17.9% to 62.8%), two studies (Dimberg at al. and Michailidi et al.) reported high rate of APC methylation (65.3% and 85.7%) in normal colorectal tissues compared to other studies (APC methylation rate arranged 0-25%). The frequency of APC hypermethylation was similar between CRC and adenoma (data not shown), this result is consistent with previous study [21]. Our results suggest that APC promoter hypermethylation is an early event during colorectal carcinogenesis. Previous evidence suggest that APC methylation is not a "second hit" in two hit model of APC mutation in tumor [22]. This explains why APC promoter hypermethylation was an early event during the development of CRC. As the changes in APC promoter hypermethylation are reversible, demethylation with drug could delay carcinogenesis and progression of CRC. Previous studies showed that adenoma formation in APC min/+ was inhibited by 5-aza-deoxycitidine,



Figure 1: Schematic flow diagram for selection of included studies.

Author	Year	Country	Methods	Н	listolog	gy	Tumor lo	ocation	Stage (TNM)		Grade		Smoking status	
				NCT	Ade	CRC	Proximal	Distal	I+II	III+IV	L	Н	+	-
Michailidi [33]	2015	Greece	MSP	12/14	-	18/61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Samaei [34]	2014	Iran	MSP	0/125	-	44/125	29/36	18/50	24/56	22/69	34/100	10/25	-	-
Dimberg [35]	2013	Sweden/ Vietnam	MSP	66/101	-	50/101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pack [36]	2013	Korea	MSP	2/10	6/10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Qiu [37]	2014	China	MSP	1/10	45/67	44/70	21/35	23/35	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gay [38]	2012	UK	Pyrosequencing	-	-	-	22/59	48/112	22/87	14/69	61/141	6/25	-	-
Kang [39]	2012	Korea	Q-MSP	2/14	-	52/100	-	-	28/52	24/48	-	-	12/20	40/80
Leong [40]	2011	UK	Q-MSP	1/19		22/51							-	-
Naghibalhossaini [41]	2011	Iran	MSP	-	-	-	30/30	73/80	66/71	26/28	48/49	42/48	53/56	50/54
Sameer [42]	2011	Kashmir	MSP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9/38	38/48	33/55	14/31
Vasovcak [43]	2011	Czech Republic	MS-MLPA	-	-	-	26/34	45/60	38/56	30/44	-	-	-	-
Belshaw [44]	2010	UK	Q-MSP	2/8	-	2/5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kim [45]	2010	Korea	Pyrosequencing	-	-	-	9/68	36/217	28/169	24/116	40/253	5/32	-	-
Kamiyama [46]	2009	Japan	Q-MSP	-	-	-	6/20	10/25	-	-	-	-	-	-
Derks [47]	2006	European/ USA	MSP	3/18	17/34	10/18			-	-	-	-	-	-
Iacopetta [27]	2006	Australia	Q-MSP	-	-	-	24/90	33/106	-	-	41/122	6/28	-	-
Brandes [48]	2005	Netherlands	MSP	-	-	-	-	-	19/30	6/14	-	-	-	-
Chen [49]	2005	Germany	MSP	0/14	-	17/34	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ebert [50]	2005	Germany	MSP	0/21		10/47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kim [51]	2005	Korea	MSP	6/40		7/36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bai [52]	2004	China	MSP	1/34		28/47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lee [53]	2004	Korea	MSP	3/24	34/95	76/149	29/56	47/93	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lind [54]	2004	Norway	MSP	-	-	-	7/18	13/37	13/31	8/26	16/44	4/11	-	-
Xu [55]	2004	China	MSP	0/6	2/8	5/65	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 1: Main characteristics of included studies

MSP: methylation-specific PCR: MS-MLPA: methylation specific multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification, NCT: normal control tissue; Ade: adenoma; CRC: colorectal carcinoma; L: low grade; H: high grade.

a demethylation agent [23]. In addition, Eads et al demonstrated that the expression of full-length *Dnmt3b1* enhanced the number of colon tumors in *APC* min/+ mice by approximately twofold and increased the average size of colonic microadenomas [24, 25]. Taken together, APC is a potential drug target for the development of personalized therapy in patients with CRC; further investigation is required in future.

Among the included studies, the frequency of *APC* methylation have varied greatly from 17.9% to 62.8%. This phenomenon maybe due to ethnic differences, different PCR primers used in the detection, as well as cancer heterogeneity. According to recent classification system, CRC is classified into two major groups: 1) hypermutated cancers with either microsatellite instability due to defective mismatch repair or ultramutated cancers with DNA polymerase epsilon proofreading

mutations; 2) non-hypermutated, microsatellite stable cancers with a high frequency of DNA somatic copy number alterations, which showed common mutations in APC, TP53, KRAS, SMAD4 and PIK3CA. APC methylation is present more often in the first group of tumors with microsatellite-stable compared to the second group with microsatellite instability [26–29]. In addition, the APC methylation was inversely associated with TIMP3, TP53 and BRAF methylation [27]. Previous publications reported that APC was less frequently mutated alone, more commonly mutated with KRAS, TP53, PIK3CA and SMAD [22, 27], suggesting that the APC mutation occurs early in carcinogenesis, the alterations of other genes were involved during the transition from adenoma to carcinoma. APC methylation combined with the mutation of other genes could be a valuable biomarker for diagnosis and prognosis of CRC. Further study is necessary to substantiate this issue.

	Adeno	ma	Normal Colorectal	lissue		Odds Ratio		Odds R	tatio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl		M-H, Fixed	, 95% CI	
Derks 2006	17	34	3	18	35.0%	5.00 [1.22, 20.48]		-		
Lee 2004	34	95	3	24	54.8%	3.90 [1.08, 14.04]		-		
Qiu 2013	45	67	1	10	10.2%	18.41 [2.19, 154.60]				
Total (95% CI)		196		52	100.0%	5.76 [2.45, 13.56]			-	
Total events	96		7							
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	1.54, df=	2 (P =	0.46); I ^z = 0%				0.01		10	100
Test for overall effect	Z= 4.01 ((P < 0.0	1001)				0.01	Adenoma	Normal Colorect	

Figure 2: Forest plot for APC methylation in adenoma and normal colorectal tissue.

	CRC Stage I		Normal Colorectal T			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Kang 2012	2	3	2	14	17.8%	12.00 [0.71, 203.14]	
Pack 2013	8	14	2	10	75.6%	5.33 [0.82, 34.83]	
Samaei 2004	5	17	0	125	6.6%	110.44 [5.76, 2116.07]	
Total (95% CI)		34		149	100.0%	13.42 [3.66, 49.20]	
Total events	15		4				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	= 2.89, df =	2 (P = 1	0.24); I ² = 31%				
Test for overall effect	: Z = 3.92 (P < 0.0	001)				0.01 0.1 1 1 10 100 CRC Stage I Normal Colorectal Tissue



	CRC		Normal Colorectal Tissue		Odds Ratio			Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl		M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Bai 2004	28	47	1	34	3.1%	48.63 (6.12, 386.55)		
Belshaw 2010	2	5	2	8	6.1%	2.00 [0.18, 22.06]		
Chen 2005	7	39	0	14	3.9%	6.69 [0.36, 125.19]		
Derks 2006	10	18	3	18	8.7%	6.25 [1.33, 29.43]		
Ebert 2005	10	47	0	21	3.5%	12.04 [0.67, 215.81]		· · · · · · ·
Kang 2012	52	100	2	14	11.0%	6.50 [1.38, 30.55]		
Kim 2005	7	36	6	40	30.0%	1.37 [0.41, 4.53]		
Lee 2004	76	149	3	24	16.6%	7.29 [2.08, 25.48]		
Leong 2011	22	51	1	19	5.4%	13.66 [1.69, 110.24]		
Pack 2013	6	10	2	10	5.2%	6.00 [0.81, 44.35]		
Qiu 2013	44	70	1	10	4.3%	15.23 [1.82, 127.16]		
Samaei 2014	44	125	0	125	2.1%	137.05 [8.32, 2256.54]		
Total (95% CI)		697		337	100.0%	9.80 [6.07, 15.81]		•
Total events	308		21					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	19.15, df	= 11 (F	P = 0.06); I ² = 43%				0.01 0.1	1 10 100
Test for overall effect	Z = 9.35 (P < 0.0	00001)				0.01 0.1	CRC Normal Colorectal Tissue

Figure 4: Forest plot for *APC* methylation in CRC and normal colorectal tissue.

	Stage	II/IV	Stage	1/11		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Brandes 2005	6	14	19	30	7.4%	0.43 [0.12, 1.58]	
Gay 2012	14	69	22	87	16.6%	0.75 [0.35, 1.61]	
Kang 2012	24	48	28	52	14.4%	0.86 [0.39, 1.88]	
Kim 2010	24	116	28	169	19.3%	1.31 [0.72, 2.41]	
Lind 2004	8	26	13	31	8.8%	0.62 [0.21, 1.84]	
Naghibalhossaini 2011	26	28	66	71	2.9%	0.98 [0.18, 5.40]	
Samaei 2014	22	69	24	56	19.3%	0.62 [0.30, 1.30]	
Vasovcak 201 1	30	44	38	56	11.4%	1.02 [0.44, 2.37]	
Total (95% CI)		414		552	100.0%	0.85 [0.63, 1.15]	•
Total events	154		238				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 4.34	, df = 7 (F	= 0.74); I ² = 0%				
Test for overall effect: Z =	1.05 (P =	0.29)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Stage III/IV Stage I/II

Figure 5: Forest plot for *APC* methylation in stage III/IV and stage I/II of CRC.

We pooled seven studies and included 964 samples and analyzed the relationship of APC promoter methylation with the grade of CRC; the power was 0.89, which indicated that APC promoter hypermethylation is not associated with grade. Furthermore, present analysis showed that APC promoter hypermethylation is not correlated with stages of CRC, since the power of the

study is small, further study with a larger number of samples is need to confirm this relationship.

Consistent results were shown in sensitivity analyses, indicating the stability of our analyses. All funnel plots did not show any obvious asymmetry, suggesting there is no publication bias in the meta-analysis. This study has several potential limitations. First, selection bias



Figure 6: Forest plot for APC methylation in high grade and low grade of CRC.

	Proximal Distal		l.		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Gay 2012	22	59	48	112	17.2%	0.79 [0.42, 1.51]	
lacopetta 2006	24	90	33	106	18.4%	0.80 [0.43, 1.50]	
Kamiyama 2009	6	20	10	25	5.2%	0.64 [0.18, 2.24]	
Kim 2010	9	68	36	217	12.4%	0.77 [0.35, 1.69]	
Lee 2004	29	56	47	93	14.1%	1.05 [0.54, 2.04]	+
Lind 2004	7	18	13	37	4.3%	1.17 [0.37, 3.76]	
Naghibalhossaini 2011	30	30	73	80	0.5%	6.22 [0.34, 112.41]	
Qiu 2013	21	35	23	35	7.6%	0.78 [0.30, 2.07]	
Samaei 2014	18	58	26	67	13.8%	0.71 [0.34, 1.49]	
Vasovcak 2011	26	34	45	60	6.4%	1.08 [0.40, 2.90]	
Total (95% CI)		468		832	100.0%	0.87 [0.67, 1.13]	•
Total events	192		354				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 3.34	, df = 9 (F	P = 0.95); l ² = 0%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 1	1.02 (P=	0.31)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Proximal Distal

Figure 7: Forest plot for the correlation of APC hypermethylation and location of CRC.

	Mal	е	Fema	le		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Chen 2005	4	12	3	8	2.5%	0.83 [0.13, 5.40]	
Gay 2012	38	92	37	93	22.9%	1.07 [0.59, 1.92]	-+-
lacopetta 2006	41	122	17	77	14.7%	1.79 [0.93, 3.44]	
Kang 2012	29	51	23	49	10.7%	1.49 [0.68, 3.28]	- + •
Lind 2004	8	23	13	34	7.3%	0.86 [0.29, 2.59]	
Naghibalhossaini 2011	68	72	35	38	2.7%	1.46 [0.31, 6.88]	
Samaei 2014	23	70	21	55	16.7%	0.79 [0.38, 1.66]	
Sameer 2011	28	49	19	37	9.8%	1.26 [0.54, 2.98]	
Vasovcak 201 1	35	52	36	51	12.6%	0.86 [0.37, 1.98]	
Total (95% CI)		543		442	100.0%	1.15 [0.88, 1.52]	•
Total events	274		204				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 4.17	7. df = 8 (F	e 0.84); = 0%				
Test for overall effect: Z =							0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Male Female

Figure 8: The frequency of APC hypermethylation was similar in male and female CRC patients.

may exist since only publications in English and Chinese were included in the present study, which could affect the accuracy of results in certain extent. Caution should be taken when our findings are interpreted. Second, the possibility of information, selection biases and unidentified confounders could not be completely excluded because all of the included studies were observational. Third, our results showed that there is no significant correlation between *APC* methylation and gender, smoking behavior of CRC patients as well as tumor locations; since the power of the study is small, further evaluation with a larger number of samples is required in future.

In summary, our meta-analysis indicates that *APC* promoter hypermethylation is an early event of carcinogenesis of CRC, and *APC* methylation combined

with the mutation of other genes could be a valuable biomarker for diagnosis and prognosis of CRC. *APC* promoter methylation is not significantly associated with overall survival in patients with CRC. APC is a potential drug target for development of personalized therapy.

METHODS

Search strategy

We performed this meta-analysis in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis (PRISMA) statement [30]. We searched the database of Medline, Web of science, and Embase up to July, 2016 without

	Smol	кег	Non-sm	oker		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl		M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Kang 2012	12	20	40	80	39.3%	1.50 [0.55, 4.06]			
Naghibalhossaini 2011	53	56	50	54	16.7%	1.41 [0.30, 6.63]			
Sameer 2011	33	55	14	31	44.0%	1.82 [0.75, 4.43]		+	
Total (95% CI)		131		165	100.0%	1.63 [0.88, 2.99]		•	
Total events	98		104						
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.13	2, df = 2 (F	^o = 0.94	l); l² = 0%						100
Test for overall effect: Z =	1.56 (P =	0.12)					0.01 0	0.1 1 10 Smoker Non-smoker	100









Figure 11: Funnel plot for publication bias. A. *APC* methylation in adenoma and normal colorectal tissue. **B.** *APC* methylation in CRC stage I and normal colorectal tissue. **C.** *APC* methylation in CRC and normal colorectal tissue. **D.** *APC* methylation in stage III/IV and stage I/II of CRC. **E.** *APC* methylation in high grade and low grade of CRC. **F.** The correlation of *APC* hypermethylation and location of CRC. **G.** *APC* hypermethylation in male and female CRC patients. **H.** The relationship of *APC* hypermethylation and smoking status of patients with CRC. S.E.: standard error; area of the circle represents the weight of individual study.

language limitations. The following items were used for searching: APC, adenomatous polyposis coli, methylation, neoplasm, tumor, colorectal carcinoma, and CRC. A manual search using references from retrieved articles was performed for additional pertinent studies. We chose the most complete study to avoid duplication when the same populations were reported in several publications.

Study selection

Studies were included if they met the following inclusion criteria: 1) investigation *APC* methylation status and clinicopathological significance in CRC. 2) case-control, and cohort studies published as original studies. 3) studies that provided sufficient data to calculate ORs and 95% confidence interval (CI).

Exclusion criteria were: 1) lack of sufficient data on *APC* methylation and clinicopathological features in CRC, 2) reviews, case report, conference abstract and expert opinion and letters, 3) all publications regarding in vitro studies.

Quality assessment

The quality of each study was individually evaluated by each investigator utilizing Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale [31]. All observational studies were considered moderate to high quality, with median Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale of 7 (range, 6-9) (data not shown).

Data extraction

A standardized data extraction form was used. Eligible studies were reviewed and the following data were extracted: (1) first author's name, (2) year of study, (3) study location, (4) methylation detect methods, (5) sample size, (5) tumor location, cancer TMN stages and grade (6) gender and smoking status of participants.

Survival analysis with TCGA data

Overall survival was analyzed by selecting Colorectal Adenocarcinoma (TCGA, Nature 2012) and gene *APC* via cBioPortal for provisional data. *APC* methylation and overall survival data were downloaded. Hypermethylation and Low methylation were sorted out according to methylation beta-value. If the methylation beta-value was more than 0.3, the case was considered as hypermethylation, if the methylation beta-value was less than 0.3, the case was considered as low methylation. The overall survival was plotted on 236 cases (methylation HM27) which included 72 cases with *APC* hypermethylation (methylation betavalue was more than 0.3) and 164 cases with *APC* low methylation (methylation beta-value was less than 0.3) with Excel 2013.

Statistical analysis

Review Manage 5.3 from the Cochrane Collaboration was used for data analysis. Odds Ratio with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). This statistic was complemented with the I^2 statistic, which quantifies the proportion of the cumulative variation across studies that is due to heterogeneity rather than chance. When heterogeneity was not an issue (I^2 values <50%), a fixed effect model was used to calculate parameters. When there was substantial heterogeneity (I^2 values \geq 50%), a random-effects model was used to pool data and attempt to identify potential sources of heterogeneity based on subgroup analyses. Two sided statistical tests and p-value were used.

Evaluation for publication bias

The presence of publication bias was assessed by funnel plots of logarithm of odds ratios versus their standard errors [32].

Author contributions

TL, HW, YZ, and YC contributed substantially to the study and design, collection of data, and analysis of data. YZ, XW, and XZ contributed substantially to the acquisition, analysis, interpretation of data and performed the statistical analysis. TL and SD have been involved in the drafting and revision of the article. SD has full access to all data and the final responsibility for the decision to submit the article for publication. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the Key research and development program of Shandong Province, 2016GSF201018 (TL). The funding institution does not have any roles in the study design, data collection, or analysis.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Carmona FJ, Esteller M. Epigenomics of human colon cancer. Mutat Res. 2010; 693:53-60.
- 2. Venkatachalam R, Ligtenberg MJ, Hoogerbrugge N, de Bruijn DR, Kuiper RP, Geurts van Kessel A. The epigenetics

of (hereditary) colorectal cancer. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 2010; 203:1-6.

- Kinzler KW, Nilbert MC, Su LK, Vogelstein B, Bryan TM, Levy DB, Smith KJ, Preisinger AC, Hedge P, McKechnie D, Finniear R, Markham A, Groffen J, et al. Identification of FAP locus genes from chromosome 5q21. Science. 1991; 253:661-665.
- Nishisho I, Nakamura Y, Miyoshi Y, Miki Y, Ando H, Horii A, Koyama K, Utsunomiya J, Baba S, Hedge P. Mutations of chromosome 5q21 genes in FAP and colorectal cancer patients. Science. 1991; 253:665-669.
- Joslyn G, Carlson M, Thliveris A, Albertsen H, Gelbert L, Samowitz W, Groden J, Stevens J, Spirio L, Robertson M, Sargeant L, Krapcho K, Wolff E, et al. Identification of deletion mutations and three new genes at the familial polyposis locus. Cell. 1991; 66:601-613.
- Groden J, Thliveris A, Samowitz W, Carlson M, Gelbert L, Albertsen H, Joslyn G, Stevens J, Spirio L, Robertson M, Sargeant L, Krapcho K, Wolff E, et al. Identification and characterization of the familial adenomatous polyposis coli gene. Cell. 1991; 66:589-600.
- Cole AM, Myant K, Reed KR, Ridgway RA, Athineos D, Van den Brink GR, Muncan V, Clevers H, Clarke AR, Sicinski P and Sansom OJ. Cyclin D2-cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 is required for efficient proliferation and tumorigenesis following Apc loss. Cancer Res. 2010; 70:8149-8158.
- Panarelli NC, Vaughn CP, Samowitz WS, Yantiss RK. Sporadic microsatellite instability-high colon cancers rarely display immunohistochemical evidence of Wnt signaling activation. Am J Surg Pathol. 2015; 39:313-317.
- Brock MV, Hooker CM, Ota-Machida E, Han Y, Guo M, Ames S, Glockner S, Piantadosi S, Gabrielson E, Pridham G, Pelosky K, Belinsky SA, Yang SC, et al. DNA methylation markers and early recurrence in stage I lung cancer. N Engl J Med. 2008; 358:1118-1128.
- Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive molecular characterization of human colon and rectal cancer. Nature. 2012; 487:330-337.
- 11. Hamilton SR. The molecular genetics of colorectal neoplasia. Gastroenterology. 1993; 105:3-7.
- Irby RB, Mao W, Coppola D, Kang J, Loubeau JM, Trudeau W, Karl R, Fujita DJ, Jove R, Yeatman TJ. Activating SRC mutation in a subset of advanced human colon cancers. Nat Genet. 1999; 21:187-190.
- Tahara E. Genetic alterations in human gastrointestinal cancers. The application to molecular diagnosis. Cancer. 1995; 75:1410-1417.
- Thiagalingam S, Lengauer C, Leach FS, Schutte M, Hahn SA, Overhauser J, Willson JK, Markowitz S, Hamilton SR, Kern SE, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B. Evaluation of candidate tumour suppressor genes on chromosome 18 in colorectal cancers. Nat Genet. 1996; 13:343-346.

- 15. Fearon ER. Molecular genetics of colorectal cancer. Annu Rev Pathol. 2011; 6:479-507.
- Jen J, Powell SM, Papadopoulos N, Smith KJ, Hamilton SR, Vogelstein B, Kinzler KW. Molecular determinants of dysplasia in colorectal lesions. Cancer Res. 1994; 54:5523-5526.
- 17. Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B. Lessons from hereditary colorectal cancer. Cell. 1996; 87:159-170.
- Levy DB, Smith KJ, Beazer-Barclay Y, Hamilton SR, Vogelstein B, Kinzler KW. Inactivation of both APC alleles in human and mouse tumors. Cancer Res. 1994; 54:5953-5958.
- Smith AJ, Stern HS, Penner M, Hay K, Mitri A, Bapat BV and Gallinger S. Somatic APC and K-ras codon 12 mutations in aberrant crypt foci from human colons. Cancer Res. 1994; 54:5527-5530.
- Haggar FA, Boushey RP. Colorectal cancer epidemiology: incidence, mortality, survival, and risk factors. Clin Colon Rectal Surg. 2009; 22:191-197.
- 21. Ding Z, Jiang T, Piao Y, Han T, Han Y, Xie X. Meta-analysis of the association between APC promoter methylation and colorectal cancer. Onco Targets Ther. 2015; 8:211-222.
- 22. Schell MJ, Yang M, Teer JK, Lo FY, Madan A, Coppola D, Monteiro AN, Nebozhyn MV, Yue B, Loboda A, Bien-Willner GA, Greenawalt DM, Yeatman TJ. A multigene mutation classification of 468 colorectal cancers reveals a prognostic role for APC. Nat Commun. 2016; 7:11743.
- Eads CA, Nickel AE, Laird PW. Complete genetic suppression of polyp formation and reduction of CpGisland hypermethylation in *Apc*^(Min/+) *Dnmt1*-hypomorphic mice. Cancer Res. 2002; 62:1296-1299.
- 24. Eads CA, Lord RV, Kurumboor SK, Wickramasinghe K, Skinner ML, Long TI, Peters JH, DeMeester TR, Danenberg KD, Danenberg PV, Laird PW, Skinner KA. Fields of aberrant CpG island hypermethylation in Barrett's esophagus and associated adenocarcinoma. Cancer Res. 2000; 60:5021-5026.
- 25. Linhart HG, Lin H, Yamada Y, Moran E, Steine EJ, Gokhale S, Lo G, Cantu E, Ehrich M, He T, Meissner A, Jaenisch R. Dnmt3b promotes tumorigenesis in vivo by gene-specific de novo methylation and transcriptional silencing. Genes Dev. 2007; 21:3110-3122.
- 26. Derks S, Postma C, Carvalho B, van den Bosch SM, Moerkerk PT, Herman JG, Weijenberg MP, de Bruine AP, Meijer GA, van Engeland M. Integrated analysis of chromosomal, microsatellite and epigenetic instability in colorectal cancer identifies specific associations between promoter methylation of pivotal tumour suppressor and DNA repair genes and specific chromosomal alterations. Carcinogenesis. 2008; 29:434-439.
- 27. Iacopetta B, Grieu F, Li W, Ruszkiewicz A, Caruso M, Moore J, Watanabe G, Kawakami K. APC gene methylation is inversely correlated with features of the CpG island

methylator phenotype in colorectal cancer. Int J Cancer. 2006; 119:2272-2278.

- Thorstensen L, Lind GE, Lovig T, Diep CB, Meling GI, Rognum TO, Lothe RA. Genetic and epigenetic changes of components affecting the WNT pathway in colorectal carcinomas stratified by microsatellite instability. Neoplasia. 2005; 7:99-108.
- Muller MF, Ibrahim AE, Arends MJ. Molecular pathological classification of colorectal cancer. Virchows Arch. 2016; 469:125-134.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group P. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med. 2009; 6:e1000097.
- 31. Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. Eur J Epidemiol. 2010; 25:603-605.
- Easterbrook PJ, Berlin JA, Gopalan R, Matthews DR. Publication bias in clinical research. Lancet. 1991; 337:867-872.
- Michailidi C, Theocharis S, Tsourouflis G, Pletsa V, Kouraklis G, Patsouris E, Papavassiliou AG, Troungos C. Expression and promoter methylation status of hMLH1, MGMT, APC, and CDH1 genes in patients with colon adenocarcinoma. Exp Biol Med (Maywood). 2015; 240:1599-1605.
- 34. Samaei NM, Yazdani Y, Alizadeh-Navaei R, Azadeh H, Farazmandfar T. Promoter methylation analysis of WNT/ beta-catenin pathway regulators and its association with expression of DNMT1 enzyme in colorectal cancer. J Biomed Sci. 2014; 21:73.
- 35. Dimberg J, Hong TT, Skarstedt M, Lofgren S, Zar N, Matussek A. Analysis of APC and IGFBP7 promoter gene methylation in Swedish and Vietnamese colorectal cancer patients. Oncol Lett. 2013; 5:25-30.
- 36. Pack SC, Kim HR, Lim SW, Kim HY, Ko JY, Lee KS, Hwang D, Park SI, Kang H, Park SW, Hong GY, Hwang SM, Shin MG, Lee S. Usefulness of plasma epigenetic changes of five major genes involved in the pathogenesis of colorectal cancer. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2013; 28:139-147.
- 37. Qiu Y, Fu X, Zhang W, Xu Y, Xiao L, Chen X, Shi L, Zhou X, Xia G, Peng Y, Deng M. Prevalence and molecular characterisation of the sessile serrated adenoma in a subset of the Chinese population. J Clin Pathol. 2014; 67:491-498.
- 38. Gay LJ, Mitrou PN, Keen J, Bowman R, Naguib A, Cooke J, Kuhnle GG, Burns PA, Luben R, Lentjes M, Khaw KT, Ball RY, Ibrahim AE, Arends MJ. Dietary, lifestyle and clinicopathological factors associated with APC mutations and promoter methylation in colorectal cancers from the EPIC-Norfolk study. J Pathol. 2012; 228:405-415.
- Kang HJ, Kim EJ, Kim BG, You CH, Lee SY, Kim DI, Hong YS. Quantitative analysis of cancer-associated gene methylation connected to risk factors in Korean colorectal cancer patients. J Prev Med Public Health. 2012; 45:251-258.

- Leong KJ, Wei W, Tannahill LA, Caldwell GM, Jones CE, Morton DG, Matthews GM, Bach SP. Methylation profiling of rectal cancer identifies novel markers of early-stage disease. Br J Surg. 2011; 98:724-734.
- Naghibalhossaini F, Hosseini HM, Mokarram P, Zamani M. High frequency of genes' promoter methylation, but lack of BRAF V600E mutation among Iranian colorectal cancer patients. Pathol Oncol Res. 2011; 17:819-825.
- 42. Syed Sameer A, Shah ZA, Abdullah S, Chowdri NA, Siddiqi MA. Analysis of molecular aberrations of Wnt pathway gladiators in colorectal cancer in the Kashmiri population. Hum Genomics. 2011; 5:441-452.
- Vasovcak P, Pavlikova K, Sedlacek Z, Skapa P, Kouda M, Hoch J, Krepelova A. Molecular genetic analysis of 103 sporadic colorectal tumours in Czech patients. PLoS One. 2011; 6:e24114.
- 44. Belshaw NJ, Pal N, Tapp HS, Dainty JR, Lewis MP, Williams MR, Lund EK, Johnson IT. Patterns of DNA methylation in individual colonic crypts reveal aging and cancer-related field defects in the morphologically normal mucosa. Carcinogenesis. 2010; 31:1158-1163.
- 45. Kim JC, Choi JS, Roh SA, Cho DH, Kim TW, Kim YS. Promoter methylation of specific genes is associated with the phenotype and progression of colorectal adenocarcinomas. Ann Surg Oncol. 2010; 17:1767-1776.
- 46. Kamiyama H, Noda H, Takata O, Suzuki K, Kawamura Y. Konishi F. Promoter hypermethylation of tumor-related genes in peritoneal lavage and the prognosis of patients with colorectal cancer. J Surg Oncol. 2009; 100:69-74.
- 47. Derks S, Postma C, Moerkerk PT, van den Bosch SM, Carvalho B, Hermsen MA, Giaretti W, Herman JG, Weijenberg MP, de Bruine AP, Meijer GA, van Engeland M. Promoter methylation precedes chromosomal alterations in colorectal cancer development. Cell Oncol. 2006; 28:247-257.
- Brandes JC, van Engeland M, Wouters KA, Weijenberg MP, Herman JG. CHFR promoter hypermethylation in colon cancer correlates with the microsatellite instability phenotype. Carcinogenesis. 2005; 26:1152-1156.
- Chen J, Rocken C, Lofton-Day C, Schulz HU, Muller O, Kutzner N, Malfertheiner P, Ebert MP. Molecular analysis of APC promoter methylation and protein expression in colorectal cancer metastasis. Carcinogenesis. 2005; 26:37-43.
- Ebert MP, Mooney SH, Tonnes-Priddy L, Lograsso J, Hoffmann J, Chen J, Rocken C, Schulz HU, Malfertheiner P, Lofton-Day C. Hypermethylation of the TPEF/HPP1 gene in primary and metastatic colorectal cancers. Neoplasia. 2005; 7:771-778.
- Kim HC, Roh SA, Ga IH, Kim JS, Yu CS, Kim JC. CpG island methylation as an early event during adenoma progression in carcinogenesis of sporadic colorectal cancer. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2005; 20:1920-1926.
- 52. Bai AH, Tong JH, To KF, Chan MW, Man EP, Lo KW, Lee JF, Sung JJ, Leung WK. Promoter hypermethylation

of tumor-related genes in the progression of colorectal neoplasia. Int J Cancer. 2004; 112:846-853.

- 53. Lee S, Hwang KS, Lee HJ, Kim JS, Kang GH. Aberrant CpG island hypermethylation of multiple genes in colorectal neoplasia. Lab Invest. 2004; 84:884-893.
- 54. Lind GE, Thorstensen L, Lovig T, Meling GI, Hamelin R, Rognum TO, Esteller M, Lothe RA. A CpG island

hypermethylation profile of primary colorectal carcinomas and colon cancer cell lines. Mol Cancer. 2004; 3:28.

55. Xu XL, Yu J, Zhang HY, Sun MH, Gu J, Du X, Shi DR, Wang P, Yang ZH, Zhu JD. Methylation profile of the promoter CpG islands of 31 genes that may contribute to colorectal carcinogenesis. World J Gastroenterol. 2004; 10:3441-3454.