Research Paper

Expressions of CD8+TILs, PD-L1 and Foxp3+TILs in stage I NSCLC guiding adjuvant chemotherapy decisions

Feifei Teng¹, Xiangjiao Meng¹, Xin Wang¹, Jupeng Yuan³, Sujing Liu¹, Dianbin Mu², Hui Zhu¹, Li Kong¹, Jinming Yu¹

¹Department of Radiation Oncology, Shandong Cancer Hospital and Institute, Shandong University, Jinan, China

²Department of Pathology, Shandong Cancer Hospital and Institute, Shandong University, Jinan, China

³Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Radiation Oncology, Shandong Cancer Hospital and Institute, Shandong University, Jinan, China

Correspondence to: Jinming Yu, email: sdyujinming@163.com Li Kong, email: kongli7@sina.com

Keywords: non-small cell lung cancer, TILs, PD-L1, Foxp3, adjuvant chemotherapyReceived: May 20, 2016Accepted: August 13, 2016Published: September 01, 2016

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Currently, adjuvant chemotherapy is recommended for patients with high risk stage I non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). However, identifying high risk patients remains a challenge. This study aims to identify the patient cohorts more likely to benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy based on the tumor micro-immune environment.

Results: CD8+TILs significantly associated with disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survial (OS) (p=0.002; 0.040). Patients with high risk factors may also predict shorter DFS (P=0.056). When compared together, patients with high-CD8+TILs showed better DFS than patients with low-CD8+TILs, no matter their risk factors status. There's no correlation between PD-L1 expressions and survival. PD-L1 was highly expressed in men, squamous and well differentiated carcinoma. In addition, Foxp3+TILs alone didn't show any prognostic effects, but low-Foxp3/high-CD8+TILs were associated with prolonged DFS (p=0.031).

Methods: A total of 126 patients with surgically resected stage I NSCLC were included to perform immunohistochemistry of CD8+ tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs), programmed death ligand-1(PD-L1) and forkhead box P3 (Foxp3)+TILs.

Conclusion: CD8+TILs are effective prognostic predictors. Patients with surgically resected stage I NSCLC showing low CD8+TILs could be considered for adjuvant chemotherapy, even if they have no high risk features.

INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer remains the leading cause of cancer deaths worldwide [1]. Non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC)accounts for 80%–85% of all cases [2]. Complete surgical resection is the primary therapeutic approach for early-stage NSCLC. Recently, some studies reported that stereotactic body radiotherapy could be an alternative option for inoperable or operable stage I NSCLC [3]. However, at least around 30% patients still experienced recurrence within 5 years, suggesting that many stage I NSCLC might be subclinical metastatic at the time of diagnosis [4]. To improve patient outcomes, cisplatin-based adjuvant chemotherapy is recommended for early-stage patients with high-risk features, such as poor histologic differentiation, vascular invasion, wedge resection, tumors >4 cm, incomplete lymph node sampling and visceral pleural invasion [5]. Agassi et al. [6]reported that these factors may not be independently significant indications but might be considered when determining adjuvant chemotherapy. Even with adjuvant chemotherapy stage I patients still have a significant locoregional or systemic recurrence and lung cancer death [7]. The reported 5-year survival rates of patients with stage I tumors are approximately 60-90% [8]. The effects of chemotherapy on survival are limited and may be unsuitable for some patients due to toxicity. Considering the benefits and toxicity, debates still exist surrounding which population of patients is most likely to achieve optimal outcomes from chemotherapy [9]. Currently, adjuvant chemotherapy is recommended for patients with high risk stage I non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) [5]. However, the NCCN didn't clarify the details. Previous literatures reported that patients with more than three risk factors should be given adjuvant chemotherapy. In contrast, patients with stage I disease with either zero or one risk factor had a favorable outcome. Adjuvant chemotherapy may not be necessary for these patients [5]. Till now, how to identify these high risk patients remains challenging.

Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs), especially CD8+ cytotoxic T-lymphocytes (CD8+TILs), have been associated with better survival in many forms of cancers, including NSCLC [10, 11]. However, because lymphocytes are very sensitive to chemotherapy and radiotherapy, the predictive effects of TILs in NSCLC remains controversial, which may be due to the different therapeutic regimens patients received across studies. What's more, T-cell activation is controlled by a group of immune checkpoint signals. Program death ligand 1 (PD-L1), which is often over-expressed in many tumors, is involved in negative regulation of immune responses through exhaustion of CD8+T cells [12]. Previous studies find that PD-L1 expressions are associated with tumor grade and prognosis in colorectal, renal, bladder and lung cancers [13-16]. However, the predictive effects of PD-L1 in NSCLC remain controversial and unclear, especially in early stage patients [17–20]. Besides immune checkpoints, some studies find that the forkhead box P3 (Foxp3)+ regulatory T-cell (Treg) is the only statistically significant lymphocyte which indicated higher recurrence rates for NSCLC [21, 22]. Treg is characterized by expression of Foxp3 and plays an important role in the cancer immunosuppressive mechanism [23]. Foxp3+T cells account for 5-10% of the total CD4+ T cells and inhibit human anticancer activities through diminishing the activation of lymphocytes [24].

Tumor molecular features have become more prevalent in recent years for diagnosis and treatment planning. Pathological immunity evaluation can provide new and meaningful information on prognosis. Previous studies have demonstrated that adaptive immune cell and immune markers within tumor regions are strongly associated with response to therapy and prognosis, which may add to the significance of the TNM-classification [25]. Even more, these immune parameters may be more competitive as biomarkers to classify cancers and guide the following treatment regimens than traditional classifications [25]. In our studies, we aim to discuss CD8+TILs, PD-L1 and Foxp3+T cells expressions in resected stage I NSCLC and their associations with recurrence and prognosis. We are going to identify the patient population more likely to benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy based on the tumor micro-immune environment.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics

We analyzed CD8+TILs, PD-L1 and Foxp3+TILs expressions in 126 patients with stage I NSCLC. To eliminate the effects of chemotherapy or radiotherapy to recurrence outcomes, all patients enrolled only received surgical resection without any neoadjuvant or adjuvant chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1. The median age is 61 years (range 38–78 years) and 67% (n = 85) are men. There are 33% patients with squamous carcinoma, 45% patients with adenocarcinoma and 22% patients with large cell lung cancers. Tumor differentiation grade is classified as either poor (24%), moderate (47%) or well differentiated (29%). There are 56% patients with high risk factors, including histologic differentiation, vascular invasion, wedge resection, tumors >4cm, incomplete lymph node sampling or visceral pleural invasion [6].

CD8+TILs, PD-L1 and Foxp3+TILs expressions and correlations with clinical characteristics

As shown in Figure 1, CD8 are clearly stained in the cell membrane of interstitial infiltrates. The median value of CD8+TILs is 30%, there are 71 patients defined as high CD8+TILs (\geq 30%) and 55 patients defined as low CD8+TILs (<30%). The expression of CD8+TILs has no significant relationships with age, gender, histology, high risk factor and tumor differentiation. (Table 2)

Distinct positive staining of PD-L1 was found in cell membrane of both tumor cells and stroma cells. In 126 early stage NSCLC patients, there are 19.8% (n=25) patients showing positive PD-L1 expression (\geq 5%). PD-L1 expression is higher in men than women (28.2% vs. 2.4%, p<0.001). Patients with squamous carcinoma show significantly higher PD-L1 expression than adenocarcinoma and large cell lung cancers (40.4% vs. 7.0% vs. 14.8%, p<0.001). Moreover, PD-L1 expression has strong associations with tumor differentiation. Poor differentiated tumor tends to have higher PD-L1 expressions than moderate and well differentiated tumor (33.3% vs. 20.0% vs. 5.4%, p=0.013). There are no significant correlations between PD-L1 expression and age, high risk factors or CD8+TILs density.

Foxp3 positive staining is located in cell nuclei. Different densities of Foxp3+TILs were found in the stroma surrounding the tumor cells. The median count of Foxp3+TILs is 45/HPF. Patients were divided into Foxp3high group (\geq 45/HPF) and Foxp3-low group (<45/HPF). There are no differences in Foxp3 expression by age, gender, tumor differentiation, high risk factors, CD8+TIL density or PD-L1 expressions, except histology. Squamous carcinoma and adenocarcinoma show increased Foxp3 expressions than large cell tumors (54.7% vs. 50.8% vs. 22.2%, p=0.018).

Clinicopathological	$C_{\text{rest}}(0/)$
parameters	Cases (%)
Age	
\leq 60	61(48)
> 60	65(52)
Sex	
Male	85(67)
Female	41(33)
Histology	
Squamous	42(33)
Adenocarcinoma	57(45)
Large Cell	27(22)
Differentiation	
Poor	30(24)
Moderate	59(47)
Well	37(29)
High Risk	
Yes	70(56)
No	56(44)

Table 1: Clinicopathological characteristics of 126 patients



Figure 1: Immunohistochemical staining for immune markers in NSCLC cells (400x). CD8, cluster of differentiation 8; Foxp3, transcription factor forkhead; PD-L1, programmed death ligand-1.

		High CD8+TILs	P value	High PD-L1	P value	High FOXP3+TILs	P value
Age	≤ 60	33/61(54.0%)	0.622	11/61(18.0%)	0.622	32/61(52.4%)	0.161
	> 60	38/65(58.4%)		14/65(21.5%)		26/65(46.4%)	
Sex	Male	49/85(57.6%)	0.672	24/85(28.2%)	< 0.001*	42/85(72.4%)	0.273
	Female	22/41(53.6%)		1/41(2.4%)		16/41(39.0%)	
Histology	Squamous	23/42(54.7%)	0.736	17/42(40.4%)	< 0.001*	23/42(54.7%)	0.018*
	Adenocarcinoma	31/57(54.4%)		4/57(7.0%)		29/57(50.8%)	
	Large Cell	17/27(66.7%)		4/27(14.8%)		6/27(22.2%)	
Differentiation	Poor	18/30(60%)	0.873	10/30(33.3%)	0.013*	15/30(50%)	0.737
	Moderate	32/59(54.2%)		13/59(22.0%)		25/59(42.3%)	
	Well	21/37(56.7%)		2/37(5.4%)		18/37(48.6%)	
High Risk	Yes	38/70(54.2%)	0.807	12/70(17.1%)	0.369	29/70(41.4%)	0.246
	No	33/56(58.9%)		13/56(23.2%)		29/56(51.7%)	
CD8+TILs	High	—		17/71(23.9%)	0.244	35/71(49.2%)	0.578
	Low			8/52(15.3%)		23/52(44.2%)	
PD-L1	High					16/25(64%)	0.059
	Low			_		42/98(42.8%)	

Table 2: Correlations between immune markers expressions and clinicopathologic characteristics

* Statistically significant

Survival analysis

The median follow up duration of the study is 80 months. To determine the prognostic effect of these clinicopathologic characteristics and immune markers, univariate and multivariate analysis were used. As shown in Table 3, high CD8+TILs are significantly associated with better DFS (HR, 0.393; 95% CI, 0.217-0.714; P=0.002). It seems that patients with high risk factors might have shorter DFS, though the association is not statistically significant (HR, 1.794; 95% CI, 0.986-3.263; P=0.056). In addition, though Foxp3+TILs alone haven't show any prognostic effects, the combination of Foxp3+TILs and CD8+TILs are significant predictors of DFS (HR, 0.760; 95% CI, 0.592-0.976; P=0.031). Patients with low-Foxp3/ high-CD8+TILs (low-Foxp3 and high-CD8+TILs) tend to have prolonged DFS. (Figure 2C) However, we think that the prognostic value of Foxp3/CD8+TILs might mostly due to the effect of CD8+TILs. In the DFS analysis, there was no difference between patients with low-Foxp3/low-CD8+TILs and high-Foxp3/low-CD8+TILs (p=0.555). In addition, no difference was found between patients with low-Foxp3/high-CD8+TILs and high-Foxp3/high-CD8+TILs (p=0.501). Age, gender, histology, tumor differentiation, PD-L1 expressions, Foxp3+TILs and peripheral circulated lymphocytes are not associated with DFS (p>0.05). In multivariate analysis, CD8+TILs density is an independently significant predictor for longer DFS (HR, 0.218; 95% CI, 0.053-0.892; P=0.034). Using univariate analysis, only CD8+TILs expression is associated with OS (HR, 0.505; 95% CI, 0.259-0.982; P=0.044). High risk features also show the trend toward predictive effects for OS. However, the p value is not statistically significant (HR, 1.947; 95% CI, 0.992-3.820; P=0.053). The prognostic nomograms that integrated possible factors for DFS and OS are shown in Supplementary Figure S2.

As shown in Figure 2A, patients with high CD8+TILs show better DFS and OS (log-rank p=0.002; 0.040). To identify the population most likely to gain benefits from adjuvant chemotherapy, we compared the prognostic effects of CD8+TILs and risk factor status. The patients were divided into the following 4 groups: (1) patients with high risk and high CD8+TILs; (2) patients with high risk and low CD8+TILs; (3) patients with low risk and high CD8+TILs; and (4) patients with low risk and low CD8+TILs. As shown in Figure 2B, patients in the low-risk/high-CD8+TILs group show the best DFS.(p<0.05) Patients with high-risk/high-CD8+TILs show better DFS than patients with low-risk/ low-CD8+TILs and high-risk/low-CD8+TILs, although the difference is not statistically significant. Patients with high-CD8+TILs show better DFS than patients with low-CD8+TILs, no matter their risk factor status. In the analysis of OS, the overall survival of patients with low-risk/ high-CD8+TILs is longer than others. (p<0.05).

	DFS						OS					
	Univariate analysis			Multivariate analysis			Univariate analysis			Multivariate analysis		
	HR	95%CI	P value	HR	95%CI	P value	HR	95%CI	P value	HR	95%CI	P value
Age	0.985	0.953- 1.018	0.363				0.980	0.944- 1.017	0.278			
Gender	1.400	0.749- 2.618	0.291				1.427	0.697- 2.921	0.331			
Histology	1.212	0.845- 1.740	0.296				1.306	0.877- 1.945	0.189			
Differentiation	1.227	0.819- 1.838	0.322				1.153	0.716- 1.858	0.559			
High risk	1.794	0.986- 3.263	0.056	1.565	0.835- 2.934	0.162	1.947	0.992- 3.820	0.053	1.885	0.935- 3.481	0.145
CD8+TILs	0.393	0.217- 0.714	0.002*	0.218	0.053- 0.892	0.034*	0.505	0.259- 0.982	0.044*	0.539	0.276- 1.052	0.070
PD-L1	0.975	0.494- 1.925	0.943				0.999	0.467- 2.136	0.998			
FOXP3+TILs	1.302	0.727- 2.331	0.375				0.821	0.413- 1.632	0.574			
FOXP3/ CD8+TILs#	0.760	0.592- 0.976	0.031*	1.370	0.758- 2.476	0.297	0.780	0.584- 1.043	0.094			
Circulating Lymphocytes	1.214	0.685- 2.125	0.506				1.683	0.863- 3.283	0.126			

Table 3: Factors associated with DFS and OS in univariate and multivariate analyses

* Statistically significant

[#] low-Foxp3 and high-CD8+TILs

The associations between CD8+TILs and peripheral circulating lymphocytes

To identify the associations between CD8+TILs and circulated lymphocytes, Pearson's correlation analysis was used. As shown in Figure 3, there is no significant correlation between peripheral blood lymphocytes and CD8+TILs (r=-0.066; p=0.466).

DISCUSSION

There are still some debates surrounding the use of adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with stage I NSCLC. In this study, we found that CD8+TILs were significantly associated with DFS and OS in patients with surgically resected stage I NSCLC. What's more, patients with high-CD8+TILs show better DFS than patients with low-CD8+TILs, whatever the risk status. This may indicate that patients with low CD8+TILs should be considered for adjuvant chemotherapy even if they don't have traditional high risk features. Anti PD-1/PD-L1 antibody has been applied in NSCLC widely. As a potential predictive marker, PD-L1 expressions in stage I NSCLC remain controversial. In our present study, we demonstrated that there is no correlation between PD-L1 expressions and patient outcomes. PD-L1 tended to be highly expressed in men, squamous and well differentiated carcinoma. In addition, as a group of immunosuppressive lymphocytes, Foxp3+TILs alone did not show any prognostic effects in patients with stage I NSCLC, but patients with low-Foxp3/ high-CD8+TILs tended to have prolonged DFS.

Previous clinical trials and meta-analysis have demonstrated that adjuvant chemotherapy did not show survival benefits on average in patients with stage I NSCLC [26, 27]. However, the recurrence rate in stage I patients remains relatively high, which may indicate that there is a subpopulation of patients that would benefit from additional treatment. Currently, adjuvant chemotherapy is recommended for patients with early stage tumors that have high risk factors. However, identifying these high risk patients remains a challenge [5]. Considering the toxicity and cost of chemotherapy, it is crucial to identify patients who are most likely to achieve optimal outcomes from adjuvant chemotherapy. In our study, CD8+TILs showed better predictive effects on prognosis than high risk features. It indicates that CD8+TILs expression is an effective biomarker to guide adjuvant chemotherapy, which may add to the significance of the traditional high risk features. Since 2006, Galon et al [10]. have demonstrated that TILs may be a potential prognostic tool in the treatment of colorectal cancer. The correlation between TILs and patient survival has been well reported



Figure 2: Kaplan–Meier analysis of DFS and OS according to CD8+TILs, high risk features and Foxp3+TILs in NSCLC. A. Patients with high CD8+TILs achieved longer DFS and OS than patients with low CD8+TILs. **B.** Patients with low-risk/ high-CD8+TILs had significant better DFS than other 3 groups (P<0.05). Although there were no significant differences in other 3 groups, patients with high-risk/high-CD8+TILs seemed show better DFS than patients in other two low-CD8+TILs groups even they show low risk features. In the analysis of OS, patients with low-risk/high-CD8+TILs showed significant longer OS than patients with high-risk/low-CD8+TILs (p=0.003). The differences between other groups were not statistically significant. **C.** Patients with low-Foxp3/high-CD8+TILs showed better DFS than patients with low-Foxp3/low-CD8+TILs and high-Foxp3/low-CD8+TILs (p=0.048 and 0.017, respectively). No significant differences between these 4 groups were found in the analysis of OS.

in melanoma, head and neck, ovarian, breast, urothelial, colorectal, lung, hepatocellular and esophageal cancer [28]. Tumor infiltrating lymphocytes, especially CD8 cytotoxic T lymphocytes, could attenuate the metastatic potential of tumor cells by modifying tumor stroma and tumor cells. A standardized, powerful immune stratification system, the Immunoscore, was established to predict clinical outcomes. However, to the best of our knowledge, we are the first to apply tumor infiltrating lymphocytes in guiding the decision of adjuvant chemotherapy in stage I NSCLC patients. Our study shows that CD8+TILs are more valuable than traditional high risk features. Moreover, in the study of Arman et al., a higher degree of TILs within stage I non-small-cell lung cancer correlates with decreased risk of disease recurrence and improved DFS. They also suggested that TILs has particular appeal with regards to patient stratification as a means of guiding adjuvant chemotherapy. [29] Currently, adjuvant chemotherapy is the only therapy that is recommended by NCCN for stage I NSCLC patients with high risk of progression. What's more, previous studies demonstrated that TILs, PD-L1 and Foxp3+TILs were significant indicators for cisplatin based chemotherapy response. [30–32] It indicated that these parameters may aid in selecting patient populations that are most likely to benefit from cisplatin based chemotherapy. Based on this, patients with surgically resected stage I NSCLC showing low CD8+TILs could be considered for adjuvant chemotherapy, even if they have no high risk features.

Cancer immunotherapy is currently a very promising therapeutic strategy in NSCLC. Among those different immunotherapies, the monoclonal antibody blocking immune-checkpoint is currently considered the most popular approach. Such as Nivolumab, an anti PD- L1 antibody, has been approved for application in NSCLC by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Expression of PD-L1 is an important and widely-explored predictive biomarker to select patient subpopulations that will benefit from checkpoint blockades for PD-1/PD-L1 [33]. In our results, we analyzed PD-L1 expressions with IHC in surgically resected stage I NSCLC. To avoid the effects from cytotoxic agents, all patients received no adjuvant chemotherapy. Positive PD-L1 expressions were found in 19.8% patients in stage I NSCLC. PD-L1 expression is higher in men, squamous and poorly differentiated tumor. Our results were consistent with previous publications. PD-L1 positive expressions tend to be higher in stage I-II NSCLC than stage III-IV NSCLC, which is 20-36% in early stage NSCLC compared to 14-20% in advanced stage NSCLC [16, 34]. Additionally, PD-L1 positive expression is significantly higher in poorly differentiated tumor and squamous-cell NSCLC [35, 36]. In view of the positive correlation between PD-L1 expression and anti PD-1/ PD-L1 treatment outcome, PD-L1 expression may guide immunotherapy strategies in defined subgroups. In our study, we didn't find any significant relationships between PD-L1 positive expressions and DFS or OS outcomes, which are inconsistent with the results of Cooper and Yang et al [17, 19]. Currently, the prognostic effect of PD-L1 in NSCLC patients remains controversial, ranging from negative to positive [18, 20, 37–39]. Some possible reasons for this are that 1) PD-L1 expression based on IHC were assessed by different anti-PD-L1 antibodies and staining methods (manual versus automated); 2) Different cut-off values to define PD-L1 positivity were applied, 1%, 5% and 10% were most used; and 3) PD-L1 expression is a dynamic process during cancer immune editing process [40]. To clarify the prognostic effects of



Figure 3: There's no significant correlation between CD8+TILs and peripheral blood lymphocytes. (r=-0.066; p=0.466).

PD-L1 in NSCLC, consistent detecting technology, cutoff value, time point and particularly defined stage and histology of NSCLC are needed.

Recently, a great interest in Foxp3+T cells led to studies of different type of cancers including NSCLC. Foxp3+T cells were thought to have the ability to suppress antitumor immune response by inhibiting the activity of cytotoxic T cells [41]. Based on our results, there were no significant correlations between Foxp3+TILs and patient outcomes. However, the combination of Foxp3+TILs and CD8+TILs was significantly associated with DFS. This is consistent with the studies of Chaputetal [42]. They found that TILs with CD8+HIGH/Foxp3+LOW were significantly associated with improved 5y-OS in early stage NSCLC patients. This may be because Foxp3+T cell plays its immunosuppressive role effectively mainly through limiting the functions of CD8+T cell [41]. Jackute et al [43]. found that patients with high tumor infiltrating Foxp3 + CD4+ T cells tend to have prolonged overall survivals. There were also some studies indicating that the ratio between CD8+TILs and Foxp3+CD4+ TILs shows better prognostic values than either parameter alone [44]. In addition, it has been reported that a high frequency of Foxp3+ cells in regional lymph nodes is significantly associated with poor prognosis in patients with surgically resected stage I NSCLC [45]. In view of the above, we suggested that Foxp3+TILs may not be independently significant indications in NSCLC, but they may show some prognostic effects when combined with CD8+/ CD4+TILs. Further study is needed to clarify the functions of Foxp3+TILs in NSCLC.

It is widely accepted that the tumor local immuneenvironment plays an important role in tumor proliferation, invasion and metastasis. To deliver personalized treatments, it is crucial to find an effective marker to monitor the tumor growth and therapy resistance. Unfortunately, we didn't find any correlations between CD8+TILs and peripheral circulating lymphocytes or any prognostic value of circulating lymphocytes. Since the systemic inflammatory response plays an important role in tumor progression, some inflammatory indicators, such as lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio, neutrophil-tolymphocyte ratio and platelet-to-lymphocyte, were found associated with patient prognosis in previous studies [46, 47]. Moreover, some immune-related cytokines were demonstrated effective predictors for a variety of tumors. Liao et al found a clear association between the Th17related cytokine profile and the risk of NSCLC [48]. Forero et al. demonstrated that expression of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC)-II in triple negative breast cancer peripheral circulating tumor cells may be related to lower rate of relapse and enhanced progression free survival by triggering an antitumor immune response [49]. With the development of immunotherapy in cancers, more predictive markers are needed to monitor treatment effects and patient outcomes. CD8+TILs are effective prognostic factors for NSCLC patients. However, the monitoring power of TILs may be limited because the requisite biopsies are invasive and hard to repeat frequently. In the future, patients should receive more specific examinations based on gene, ribonucleic acid (RNA) or protein levels, not only the routine blood examination.

This study had limitations common to any retrospective research. In addition, we did not separately analyze TILs in different areas in the tumor microenvironment such as invasive margins and cancer cell nests. However, previous studies demonstrated that the density of infiltration of inflammatory cells is a more effective predictor than the type and location [50]. The tumor microenvironment involves complicated interactions between several types of infiltrating immune cells such as T and B cells, monocytes, dendritic cells, neutrophils, eosinophils, basophils, mast cells, and natural killer (NK) cells and the heterogeneous tumor cells themselves as well as the overexpressed checkpoints, which contribute to the regulation of antitumor immune responses under physiologic conditions [51]. The challenging for us is to find potential predictive markers to guide the treatments. In previous studies, CD3, CD4, CD45RO, CD8, Foxp3+T cells and Myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) are the most common infiltrating immune cells tested in cancers. According to the results of a meta-analysis, CD8+TILs were more effective indicator of NSCLC prognosis than CD3+, CD4+TILs [52]. As the cytotoxic T cell, CD8+TILs are crucial in the effective phase of immune response. Moreover, Foxp3+TILs, as a group of suppressive T cells, have been extensively studied in cancers and some clinical studies indicating that increased Foxp3+TILs levels are negative prognostic factors [53]. There is increasing evidences showing that MDSCs are potent suppressors of anti-tumor T cell responses. However, MDSCs were not found increased in NSCLC and no correlations with prognosis. The previous data suggested that MDSCs may not be a suitable biomarker in NSCLC [53]. But beyond that, there are some other potential predictive infiltrating immune cells such as dendritic cells (DCs), which play an important role in antigen presentation and inducing T cells response. However, as a retrospective study and limited pathologic tissue of each patient, we failed to analyze the effects DCs-TILs in NSCLC. As a potential target of immunotherapies, DCs-TILs should be included in the future studies. Besides lymphocytes, a number of co-stimulatory molecules together to provide the second signals, of which PD-L1 and CTLA-4 are the most popular ones. Several anti PD-L1 antibodies have been approved for application in NSCLC. [33] As a potential predictive marker of immunotherapy, we analyzed PD-L1 expressions in NSCLC. However, little literatures reported expressions of CTLA-4 in cancers including lung cancers, head and neck cancers, melanoma and liver cancers. It

suggested that CTLA-4 may not an effective prognostic indicator. IHC was used in our study because samples that have been exposed to fixatives (such as formalin or alcohol) are unacceptable for flow cytometry. In addition, IHC was most widely used in previous studies to detect the expressions of TILs and PD-L1. We choose IHC to make our results more consistent and comparable; fluorochromebased signals last only 3 to 10 days; IF fluorochromes are light sensitive, so special care must be taken throughout the staining procedure to minimize loss of signal. The enzymes and most substrates used in IHC, on the other hand, are relatively light insensitive, and therefore the staining procedure can easily be performed on the open benchtop without any unusual precautions; since we have published several papers about IHC [54, 55], our group is very good at IHC and IHC analysis.

Last but not least, in our manuscript, positive control specimens for PD-L1 and Foxp3 IHC were created by human placenta and human colon cancer (Supplementary Figure S1). An isotype control was used as a negative control for each case stained for all markers to control for potential false positive staining. Based on these, we believe our results are reasonable and convincible.

The most direct and convincible way to demonstrate CD8+TILs is an effective indicator for adjuvant chemotherapy is to analyze the difference in DFS of low-CD8+TILs patients with and without adjuvant chemotherapy. We have to admit that this study had limitations common to any retrospective research. We could not demonstrate the conclusion directly as a clinical trial. However, according to our results, patients with high-CD8+TILs showed better DFS than patients with low-CD8+TILs, whatever the risk status. This indicated that patients with low-CD8+TILs should be considered for treatment intensification even if they don't have traditional high risk features. Currently, adjuvant chemotherapy is the only therapy that is recommended by NCCN for stage I NSCLC patients with high risk of progression. Therefore, we drew the conclusion that expressions of CD8+TILs in stage I NSCLC may guide adjuvant chemotherapy decisions.

In conclusion, CD8+TILs were significantly associated with DFS and OS in patients with surgically resected stage I NSCLC. CD8+TIL is a better predictor for DFS than traditional high risk features. Based on this, patients with surgically resected stage I NSCLC showing low CD8+TILs should be considered for adjuvant chemotherapy, even if they have no high risk features. Moreover, there's no correlation between PD-L1 expressions and patients' outcomes. PD-L1 tended to be highly expressed in men, squamous and well differentiated carcinoma. In addition, as a group of immunosuppressive lymphocytes, Foxp3+TILs alone didn't show any prognostic effects in patients with stage I NSCLC, but was associated with DFS when combined with CD8+TILs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

Between January 2004 and January 2012, we retrospectively screened 126 patients who were pathologically diagnosed as stage I NSCLC in Shandong Cancer hospital. All of them received anatomical segmentectomy or standard lobectomy via open thoracotomy. Patients who underwent adjuvant or neoadjuvant chemotherapy or radiotherapy are excluded from this study. All patients signed informed consents. This study is approved by the scientific review and ethics committee of Shandong Cancer Hospital and Institute.

Immunohistochemistry

Tumor specimens were obtained by surgery from 126 NSCLC patients. Surgically resected specimens were fixed in formalin and embedded in paraffin. Expressions of PD-L1 and Foxp3 were evaluated using immunohistochemistry (IHC). Four-um thick sections were deparaffinized, heated to 190°C for 5 minutes for antigen retrieval in ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) buffer pH 9.0. After cooling, endogenous peroxidase quenching was blocked by 3% hydrogen peroxidase for 5 minutes in room temperature (RT). Then the slides were blocked with 5% FBS(RT) for15minutes and incubated for 1 hour with primary antibodies against PD-L1 (M4424, Spring Bioscience, CA, 0.19ug/ml) or Foxp3 (M3974, Spring Bioscience, California, 0.48ug/ml). EnVision TM+ anti-rabbit HRP labeled polymer (Dako) was used as the secondary antibody staining for 30 minutes. Then 3, 3'-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride was applied for color development in RT for 5 minutes, and sections were subsequently counterstained with haematoxylin. CD8 (ZM-0417, Beijing Zhongshan Golden Bridge Biotechnology Company, China) staining was performed according to standard automated protocols, which was performed by Leica Bond automated immunostainer (Leica) as described previously [25]. Positive control specimens for PD-L1 and Foxp3 IHC were created by human placenta and human colon cancer (Supplementary Figure S1). An isotype control was used as a negative control for each case stained for all markers to control for potential false positive staining.

All IHC results were evaluated by 2 independent pathologists who were blinded to patients' clinical outcomes. Foxp3 expressions were evaluated according to the average number of positively-stained cells in 5 randomly and averagely selected high-power fields (HPF) in each case [23]. The expressions of CD8+TILs were evaluated according to the percent of CD8 positive staining lymphocytes. To subdivide patients, we calculated the median counts of Foxp3+TILs andCD8+TILs as the cut-off points. PD-L1 expression was defined as positive if greater than or equal to 5% of tumor cells had membranous staining, as used previously [56].

Statistical analysis

between immune The correlations markers expressions and clinicopathologic characteristics were evaluated using Chi square tests. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the time between operation and death due to any cause. Disease free survival (DFS) was defined as the date of operation to the date of patients showing any signs or symptoms of local or distant recurrence. Patient survival curves were performed by using the Kaplan-Meier method and compared by log-rank test. Univariate Cox proportional hazard models were used to identify factors significantly associated with DFS and OS. Multivariate Cox regression analysis was constructed with variables that were significant in univariate analysis. The correlations between CD8+TILs and peripheral blood lymphocytes were evaluated with Pearson correlation analysis. All analyses were two-sided and p values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Product and Service Solutions (IBMSPSS17.0).

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation from China [grant number 81301868].

REFERENCES

- 1. Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2013. CA Cancer J Clin. 2013; 63:11-30.
- D'Addario G, Felip E, Group EGW. Non-small-cell lung cancer: ESMO clinical recommendations for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Annals of oncology. 2009; 20:68-70.
- Jones GC, Kehrer JD, Kahn J, Koneru BN, Narayan R, Thomas TO, Camphausen K, Mehta MP, Kaushal A. Primary Treatment Options for High-Risk/Medically Inoperable Early Stage NSCLC Patients. Clinical lung cancer. 2015; 16:413-430.
- 4. Santarpia M, Altavilla G, Pitini V, Rosell R. Personalized treatment of early-stage non-small-cell lung cancer: the challenging role of EGFR inhibitors. Future oncology. 2015; 11:1259-1274.
- Maeda R, Yoshida J, Ishii G, Hishida T, Nishimura M, Nagai K. Risk factors for tumor recurrence in patients with early-stage (stage I and II) non-small cell lung cancer: patient selection criteria for adjuvant chemotherapy according to the seventh edition TNM classification. Chest. 2011; 140:1494-1502.
- NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines) Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Version 4.2016.

- Custodio AB, Gonzalez-Larriba JL, Bobokova J, Calles A, Alvarez R, Cuadrado E, Manzano A, Diaz-Rubio E. Prognostic and predictive markers of benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy in early-stage non-small cell lung cancer. Journal of thoracic oncology. 2009; 4:891-910.
- Winton T, Livingston R, Johnson D, Rigas J, Johnston M, Butts C, Cormier Y, Goss G, Inculet R, Vallieres E, Fry W, Bethune D, Ayoub J, Ding K, Seymour L, Graham B, et al. Vinorelbine plus cisplatin vs. observation in resected non-small-cell lung cancer. The New England journal of medicine. 2005; 352:2589-2597.
- Stenehjem DD, Bellows BK, Yager KM, Jones J, Kaldate R, Siebert U, Brixner DI. Cost-Utility of a Prognostic Test Guiding Adjuvant Chemotherapy Decisions in Early-Stage Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. The oncologist. 2016; 21:196-204.
- Galon J, Costes A, Sanchez-Cabo F, Kirilovsky A, Mlecnik B, Lagorce-Pages C, Tosolini M, Camus M, Berger A, Wind P, Zinzindohoue F, Bruneval P, Cugnenc PH, Trajanoski Z, Fridman WH, Pages F. Type, density, and location of immune cells within human colorectal tumors predict clinical outcome. Science. 2006; 313:1960-1964.
- Schalper KA, Brown J, Carvajal-Hausdorf D, McLaughlin J, Velcheti V, Syrigos KN, Herbst RS, Rimm DL. Objective measurement and clinical significance of TILs in non-small cell lung cancer. Journal of the National Cancer Institute. 2015; 107.
- 12. Marrone KA, Ying W, Naidoo J. Immune-related Adverse Events from Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors. Clinical pharmacology and therapeutics. 2016.
- Ngiow SF, Young A, Jacquelot N, Yamazaki T, Enot D, Zitvogel L, Smyth MJ. A Threshold Level of Intratumor CD8+ T-cell PD1 Expression Dictates Therapeutic Response to Anti-PD1. Cancer research. 2015; 75:3800-3811.
- Inman BA, Sebo TJ, Frigola X, Dong H, Bergstralh EJ, Frank I, Fradet Y, Lacombe L, Kwon ED. PD-L1 (B7-H1) expression by urothelial carcinoma of the bladder and BCGinduced granulomata: associations with localized stage progression. Cancer. 2007; 109:1499-1505.
- 15. Thompson RH, Dong H, Lohse CM, Leibovich BC, Blute ML, Cheville JC, Kwon ED. PD-1 is expressed by tumorinfiltrating immune cells and is associated with poor outcome for patients with renal cell carcinoma. Clinical cancer research. 2007; 13:1757-1761.
- 16. Schmidt LH, Kummel A, Gorlich D, Mohr M, Brockling S, Mikesch JH, Grunewald I, Marra A, Schultheis AM, Wardelmann E, Muller-Tidow C, Spieker T, Schliemann C, Berdel WE, Wiewrodt R, Hartmann W. PD-1 and PD-L1 Expression in NSCLC Indicate a Favorable Prognosis in Defined Subgroups. PloS one. 2015; 10:e0136023.
- Zhang Y, Huang S, Gong D, Qin Y, Shen Q. Programmed death-1 upregulation is correlated with dysfunction of tumorinfiltrating CD8+ T lymphocytes in human non-small cell lung cancer. Cellular & molecular immunology. 2010; 7:389-395.

- Sheng J, Fang W, Yu J, Chen N, Zhan J, Ma Y, Yang Y, Yanhuang, Zhao H, Zhang L. Expression of programmed death ligand-1 on tumor cells varies pre and post chemotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer. Scientific reports. 2016; 6:20090.
- Sidaway P. Lung cancer: Anti-PD-L1 therapy: patient selection is important. Nature reviews Clinical oncology. 2016; 13:134.
- Fusi A, Festino L, Botti G, Masucci G, Melero I, Lorigan P, Ascierto PA. PD-L1 expression as a potential predictive biomarker. The Lancet Oncology. 2015; 16:1285-1287.
- 21. Petersen RP, Campa MJ, Sperlazza J, Conlon D, Joshi MB, Harpole DH, Jr., Patz EF, Jr. Tumor infiltrating Foxp3+ regulatory T-cells are associated with recurrence in pathologic stage I NSCLC patients. Cancer. 2006; 107:2866-2872.
- 22. Chen X, Subleski JJ, Kopf H, Howard OM, Mannel DN, Oppenheim JJ. Cutting edge: expression of TNFR2 defines a maximally suppressive subset of mouse CD4+CD25+FoxP3+ T regulatory cells: applicability to tumor-infiltrating T regulatory cells. Journal of immunology. 2008; 180:6467-6471.
- 23. Muto S, Owada Y, Inoue T, Watanabe Y, Yamaura T, Fukuhara M, Okabe N, Matsumura Y, Hasegawa T, Osugi J, Hoshino M, Higuchi M, Suzuki H, Gotoh M. Clinical significance of expanded Foxp3(+) Helios(-) regulatory T cells in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. International journal of oncology. 2015; 47:2082-2090.
- 24. Kim HJ, Barnitz RA, Kreslavsky T, Brown FD, Moffett H, Lemieux ME, Kaygusuz Y, Meissner T, Holderried TA, Chan S, Kastner P, Haining WN, Cantor H. Stable inhibitory activity of regulatory T cells requires the transcription factor Helios. Science. 2015; 350:334-339.
- 25. Galon J, Pages F, Marincola FM, Angell HK, Thurin M, Lugli A, Zlobec I, Berger A, Bifulco C, Botti G, Tatangelo F, Britten CM, Kreiter S, Chouchane L, Delrio P, Arndt H, et al. Cancer classification using the Immunoscore: a worldwide task force. Journal of translational medicine. 2012; 10:205.
- 26. Douillard JY, Tribodet H, Aubert D, Shepherd FA, Rosell R, Ding K, Veillard AS, Seymour L, Le Chevalier T, Spiro S, Stephens R, Pignon JP, Group LC. Adjuvant cisplatin and vinorelbine for completely resected non-small cell lung cancer: subgroup analysis of the Lung Adjuvant Cisplatin Evaluation. Journal of thoracic oncology. 2010; 5:220-228.
- Pignon JP, Tribodet H, Scagliotti GV, Douillard JY, Shepherd FA, Stephens RJ, Dunant A, Torri V, Rosell R, Seymour L, Spiro SG, Rolland E, Fossati R, Aubert D, Ding K, Waller D, et al. Lung adjuvant cisplatin evaluation: a pooled analysis by the LACE Collaborative Group. Journal of clinical oncology. 2008; 26:3552-3559.
- Galon J, Angell HK, Bedognetti D, Marincola FM. The continuum of cancer immunosurveillance: prognostic, predictive, and mechanistic signatures. Immunity. 2013; 39:11-26.

- 29. Kilic A, Landreneau RJ, Luketich JD, Pennathur A, Schuchert MJ. Density of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes correlates with disease recurrence and survival in patients with large non-small-cell lung cancer tumors. The Journal of surgical research. 2011; 167:207-210.
- Lee JY, Son T, Cheong JH, Hyung WJ, Noh SH, Kim CB, Park CG, Kim HI. Association between Chemotherapy-Response Assays and Subsets of Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes in Gastric Cancer: A Pilot Study. Journal of gastric cancer. 2015; 15:223-230.
- Do SK, Lee SY, Choi JE, Hong MJ, Lee JH, Park JY. 155P: PD-L1 polymorphism can predict clinical outcomes of non-small cell lung cancer patients treated with first-line paclitaxel-cisplatin chemotherapy. Journal of thoracic oncology. 2016; 11:S125.
- 32. Zhang H, Prado K, Zhang KX, Peek EM, Lee J, Wang X, Huang J, Li G, Pellegrini M, Chin AI. Biased Expression of the FOXP3Delta3 Isoform in Aggressive Bladder Cancer Mediates Differentiation and Cisplatin Chemotherapy Resistance. Clinical cancer research. 2016.
- 33. Passiglia F, Bronte G, Bazan V, Natoli C, Rizzo S, Galvano A, Listi A, Cicero G, Rolfo C, Santini D, Russo A. PD-L1 expression as predictive biomarker in patients with NSCLC: a pooled analysis. Oncotarget. 2016; 7:19738-19747. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.7582.
- 34. Wang A, Wang HY, Liu Y, Zhao MC, Zhang HJ, Lu ZY, Fang YC, Chen XF, Liu GT. The prognostic value of PD-L1 expression for non-small cell lung cancer patients: a metaanalysis. European journal of surgical oncology. 2015; 41:450-456.
- 35. Brahmer J, Reckamp KL, Baas P, Crino L, Eberhardt WE, Poddubskaya E, Antonia S, Pluzanski A, Vokes EE, Holgado E, Waterhouse D, Ready N, Gainor J, Aren Frontera O, Havel L, Steins M, et al. Nivolumab versus Docetaxel in Advanced Squamous-Cell Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. The New England journal of medicine. 2015; 373:123-135.
- 36. Borghaei H, Paz-Ares L, Horn L, Spigel DR, Steins M, Ready NE, Chow LQ, Vokes EE, Felip E, Holgado E, Barlesi F, Kohlhaufl M, Arrieta O, Burgio MA, Fayette J, Lena H, et al. Nivolumab versus Docetaxel in Advanced Nonsquamous Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. The New England journal of medicine. 2015; 373:1627-1639.
- 37. Tokito T, Azuma K, Kawahara A, Ishii H, Yamada K, Matsuo N, Kinoshita T, Mizukami N, Ono H, Kage M, Hoshino T. Predictive relevance of PD-L1 expression combined with CD8+ TIL density in stage III non-small cell lung cancer patients receiving concurrent chemoradiotherapy. European journal of cancer. 2016; 55:7-14.
- 38. Yang CY, Lin MW, Chang YL, Wu CT, Yang PC. Programmed cell death-ligand 1 expression is associated with a favourable immune microenvironment and better overall survival in stage I pulmonary squamous cell carcinoma. European journal of cancer. 2016; 57:91-103.
- 39. Cooper WA, Tran T, Vilain RE, Madore J, Selinger CI, Kohonen-Corish M, Yip P, Yu B, O'Toole SA, McCaughan

BC, Yearley JH, Horvath LG, Kao S, Boyer M, Scolyer RA. PD-L1 expression is a favorable prognostic factor in early stage non-small cell carcinoma. Lung cancer. 2015; 89:181-188.

- 40. Gatalica Z, Snyder C, Maney T, Ghazalpour A, Holterman DA, Xiao N, Overberg P, Rose I, Basu GD, Vranic S, Lynch HT, Von Hoff DD, Hamid O. Programmed cell death 1 (PD-1) and its ligand (PD-L1) in common cancers and their correlation with molecular cancer type. Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention. 2014; 23:2965-2970.
- 41. Mandapathil M, Szczepanski MJ, Szajnik M, Ren J, Lenzner DE, Jackson EK, Gorelik E, Lang S, Johnson JT, Whiteside TL. Increased ectonucleotidase expression and activity in regulatory T cells of patients with head and neck cancer. Clinical cancer research. 2009; 15:6348-6357.
- 42. Chaput N, Marabelle A. Foxp3+ cells are running the show in patients with surgically resected nonsmall cell lung cancer. The European respiratory journal. 2015; 46:1541-1543.
- Jackute J, Zemaitis M, Pranys D, Sitkauskiene B, Miliauskas S, Bajoriunas V, Lavinskiene S, Sakalauskas R. The prognostic influence of tumor infiltrating Foxp3(+) CD4(+), CD4(+) and CD8(+) T cells in resected non-small cell lung cancer. Journal of inflammation. 2015; 12:63.
- 44. Gao Q, Qiu SJ, Fan J, Zhou J, Wang XY, Xiao YS, Xu Y, Li YW, Tang ZY. Intratumoral balance of regulatory and cytotoxic T cells is associated with prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma after resection. Journal of clinical oncology. 2007; 25:2586-2593.
- 45. Hanagiri T, Shigematsu Y, Shinohara S, Takenaka M, Oka S, Chikaishi Y, Nagata Y, Iwata T, Uramoto H, So T, Tanaka F. Clinical significance of the frequency of regulatory T cells in regional lymph node lymphocytes as a prognostic factor for non-small-cell lung cancer. Lung cancer. 2013; 81:475-479.
- 46. Ying HQ, Deng QW, He BS, Pan YQ, Wang F, Sun HL, Chen J, Liu X, Wang SK. The prognostic value of preoperative NLR, d-NLR, PLR and LMR for predicting clinical outcome in surgical colorectal cancer patients. Medical oncology. 2014; 31:305.
- 47. Qi Q, Geng Y, Sun M, Wang P, Chen Z. Clinical implications of systemic inflammatory response markers as independent prognostic factors for advanced pancreatic cancer. Pancreatology. 2015; 15:145-150.
- 48. Liao C, Yu ZB, Meng G, Wang L, Liu QY, Chen LT, Feng SS, Tu HB, Li YF, Bai L. Association between Th17-related

cytokines and risk of non-small cell lung cancer among patients with or without chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Cancer. 2015; 121:3122-3129.

- 49. Forero A, Li Y, Chen D, Grizzle WE, Updike KL, Merz ND, Downs-Kelly E, Burwell TC, Vaklavas C, Buchsbaum DJ, Myers RM, LoBuglio AF, Varley KE. Expression of the MHC Class II Pathway in Triple-Negative Breast Cancer Tumor Cells is Associated with a Good Prognosis and Infiltrating Lymphocytes. Cancer immunology research. 2016.
- 50. Richards CH, Roxburgh CS, Powell AG, Foulis AK, Horgan PG, McMillan DC. The clinical utility of the local inflammatory response in colorectal cancer. European journal of cancer. 2014; 50:309-319.
- Spencer KR, Wang J, Silk AW, Ganesan S, Kaufman HL, Mehnert JM. Biomarkers for Immunotherapy: Current Developments and Challenges. American Society of Clinical Oncology educational book. 2016; 35:e493-503.
- 52. Zeng DQ, Yu YF, Ou QY, Li XY, Zhong RZ, Xie CM, Hu QG. Prognostic and predictive value of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes for clinical therapeutic research in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. Oncotarget. 2016; 7:13765-13781. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.7282.
- Hansen GL, Gaudernack G, Brunsvig PF, Cvancarova M, Kyte JA. Immunological factors influencing clinical outcome in lung cancer patients after telomerase peptide vaccination. Cancer immunology, immunotherapy. 2015; 64:1609-1621.
- 54. Teng F, Meng X, Kong L, Mu D, Zhu H, Liu S, Zhang J, Yu J. Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, forkhead box P3, programmed death ligand-1, and cytotoxic T lymphocyteassociated antigen-4 expressions before and after neoadjuvant chemoradiation in rectal cancer. Translational research. 2015; 166:721-732 e721.
- 55. Teng F, Mu D, Meng X, Kong L, Zhu H, Liu S, Zhang J, Yu J. Tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) before and after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy and its clinical utility for rectal cancer. American journal of cancer research. 2015; 5:2064-2074.
- 56. Taube JM, Klein A, Brahmer JR, Xu H, Pan X, Kim JH, Chen L, Pardoll DM, Topalian SL, Anders RA. Association of PD-1, PD-1 ligands, and other features of the tumor immune microenvironment with response to anti-PD-1 therapy. Clinical cancer research. 2014; 20:5064-5074.