**Research Paper** 

# MGMT and CALCA promoter methylation are associated with poor prognosis in testicular germ cell tumor patients

# Camila Maria da Silva Martinelli<sup>1,7</sup>, André van Helvoort Lengert<sup>1,7</sup>, Flavio Mavignier Cárcano<sup>2,3,7</sup>, Eduardo Caetano Albino Silva<sup>4,7</sup>, Mariana Brait<sup>5</sup>, Luiz Fernando Lopes<sup>3,6,7</sup> and Daniel Onofre Vidal<sup>1,6,7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Pediatric Oncology Laboratory, Molecular Oncology Research Center, Barretos Cancer Hospital, Barretos, SP, Brazil

<sup>2</sup>Department of Clinical Oncology, Barretos Cancer Hospital, Barretos, SP, Brazil

<sup>3</sup>Barretos School of Health Sciences, Dr. Paulo Prata/FACISB, Barretos, SP, Brazil

<sup>4</sup>Department of Pathology, Barretos Cancer Hospital, Barretos, SP, Brazil

<sup>5</sup>Department of Otolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

<sup>6</sup>Barretos Children's Cancer Hospital, Barretos, SP, Brazil

<sup>7</sup>Brazilian Childhood Germ Cell Tumor Study Group, Brazilian Pediatric Oncology Society, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Correspondence to: Luiz Fernando Lopes, email: If.lopes@yahoo.com

Daniel Onofre Vidal, email: daniel.vidal@hcancerbarretos.com.br

Keywords: DNA methylation, biomarkers, prognosis, refractory disease, testicular germ cell tumor

 Received:
 January 25, 2016
 Accepted:
 July 26, 2016
 Published:
 August 10, 2016

**Copyright:** Martinelli et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 3.0 (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

# ABSTRACT

Testicular germ cell tumors (TGCT) represent the second main cause of cancerrelated death in young men. Despite high cure rates, refractory disease results in poor prognosis. Epigenetic reprogramming occurs during the development of seminomas and non-seminomas. Understanding the molecular and genetic basis of these tumors would represent an important advance in the search for new TGCT molecular markers. Hence the frequency of methylation of a gene panel (*VGF, MGMT, ADAMTS1, CALCA, HOXA9, CDKN2B, CDO1* and *NANOG*) was evaluated in 72 primary TGCT by quantitative methylation specific PCR. A high frequency of *MGMT* (90.9%, 20/22; p=0.019) and *CALCA* (90.5%, 19/21; p<0.026) methylation was associated with non-seminomatous tumors while CALCA methylation was also associated with refractory disease (47.4%, 09/19; p=0.005). Moreover, promoter methylation of both genes predicts poor clinical outcome for TGCT patients (5-year EFS: 50.5% vs 77.1%; p=0.032 for *MGMT* and 51.3% vs 77.0%; p=0.029 for *CALCA*). The findings of this study indicate that methylation of *MGMT* and *CALCA* are frequent and could be used as new molecular markers of prognosis in TGCT.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Testicular germ cell tumors (TGCT) comprise around 98% of all testicular neoplasms, being the most common malignant neoplasm in young men between 20 and 35 years of age. Approximately, 3 to 6 new cases of TGCT are diagnosed per 100,000 men/year in industrialized countries, representing the second main cause of cancer-related death in this age group [1]. TGCT are classified in two major histological groups, seminomas (SE) and non-seminomas (non-SE) that frequently occur as mixed tumors presenting several histologies [2]. In the last decades, TGCT treatment has been based on platinum chemotherapy and surgery, resulting in high cure rates [3-5]. However, approximately 15% of the patients will present refractory disease resulting in very poor prognosis [6]. Currently, the risk stratification of the patients is based exclusively on clinical parameters and serum markers [7].

Strong evidences suggest that the first event in the pathogenesis of TGCT occurs during the embryonic development. Besides the histological heterogeneity of these tumors, it is proposed that they arise from the same cell, the primordial germ cell, sharing specific gene expression profiles and epigenetic patterns [8]. Once TGCT emerge at a germ cell development time, in which a genome-wide methylation erasure occurs, a failure in the reestablishment of these marks, could lead to wrong epigenetic reprogramming and aberrant growth of these cells, thus contributing to tumor initiation [9, 10].

DNA methylation, the most studied epigenetic mechanism, can inhibit gene transcription, leading to gene silencing [11]. Aberrant methylation of gene promoter regions has been associated with the initiation and progression of several tumors. Genes with tumor suppressive potential such as *BRCA1*, *TP53*, *RASSF1A*, *CALCA* and *MGMT* have been frequently described as methylated in a series of tumors [12]. Several studies evaluated the profile of gene promoter methylation in TGCT and reported significant differences in the pattern of methylation between SEs and non-SEs [13-16].

The biological differences between SEs and non-SEs may reflect the clinical behavior and treatment resistance in TGCT patients. Therefore, the understanding of the DNA methylation profile of these tumors may lead to an important advance in the identification of potential molecular markers for prognosis prediction and/or treatment of TGCT patients.

In this study, 72 primary TGCT samples (of which 20 were SEs and 52 were non-SEs) were evaluated using a candidate gene approach, in order to assess a set of potential biomarkers that would accurately discriminate clinical outcome of patients with TGCT. Four of the genes (*MGMT*, *VGF*, *HOXA9* and *NANOG*) had been previously evaluated for methylation status in TCGT [13-16]. The remaining four genes (*CALCA*, *CDKN2B*, *CDO1* and *ADAMTS1*) have been described to be methylated in other cancer types, but had never been assessed in TGCT.

# RESULTS

# **TGCT population characteristics**

The mean age of the patients included was 29.6 years (range 26 to 32). It was observed that 72.2% of the cases presented non-seminomas (52/72), being 63.5% (33/52) composed by mixed tumors, and 86.1% (62/72) had advanced disease stage (IS, II or III). In addition, 70.8% (51/72) presented metastasis at diagnosis in the frequently affected sites: lung, liver, bones and/or lymph nodes. Increased levels of serum markers (BHCG, AFP and DHL) were observed in 76.4% (55/72) of the cases. Additionally, 20.8% (15/72) presented refractory disease to standard chemotherapy. According to IGCCCG (*International Germ Cell Cancer Collaborative Group*) [7], 55.5% (40/72) of the cases were classified as good risk disease. The clinicopathological characteristics of the TGCT population are summarized in Table 1.

# Association between the frequency of gene methylation and clinicopathological characteristics

First, a methylation cut-off value was determined to discriminate patients with good outcome (without occurrence of events) from patients with poor outcome (with occurrence of any event during the follow-up) using ROC curve analysis (Supplementary Table S1). Gene methylation frequency was determined based on the establishment of the cut-off value (Supplementary Table S2).

A significant association of *CALCA* methylation with histological classification was observed, in which 90.5% (19/21) of methylated cases were classified as non-SEs (p=0.026). A higher frequency of *CALCA* methylated samples in embryonal carcinomas or yolk sac tumors (p=0.017) was also observed. Furthermore, a significant association was found regarding refractory disease, in which patients that presented refractory disease were frequently methylated (47.4% (09/19); compared to 14.0% (06/43) of patients with unmethylated promoter status) (p=0.005; Table 2).

Multiple logistic regression analysis revealed that *CALCA* methylation is significantly associated with non-SE histology and refractory disease, independently of any other characteristic. Patients with non-SE tumors and patients with refractory disease presented a 9.94 and 4.64 times, respectively, greater chance to present *CALCA* promoter methylation (p=0.036 and p=0.023, respectively) (Supplementary Table S3).

Similarly, a significant association of *MGMT* methylation frequency with the histological classification of the tumors was observed, in which 90.9% (20/22) of methylated cases were classified as non-seminomas (p=0.019). A marginal significance value related to refractory disease was also observed, in which 38.1% (08/21) of the tumors presenting *MGMT* methylation were refractory to standard platinum-based chemotherapy (p=0.067, Table 3).

Multiple logistic regression analysis revealed that MGMT methylation is significantly associated with non-SE histology, independently of any other characteristic. Patients with non-SE tumors had 5.6 times higher chance to present MGMT methylation (p=0.03, CI: 1.177 – 26.877) (Supplementary Table S4).

Interestingly, an association between MGMT and CALCA methylation in TGCT was observed, in which for patients with MGMT methylation, 59.1% (13/22) also presented CALCA methylation, while in the MGMT unmethylated patients group, only 16.0% (8/50) presented CALCA methylation (p<0.001; Chi-square test).

For *VGF*, *NANOG*, *CDKN2B*, *CDO1* and *ADAMTS1*, no statistical associations with clinicopathological characteristics were observed (data not shown). For *HOXA9*, it was observed that all methylated samples were

Clinicopathological characteristics		Ν	%	
	<29.6	42	58.3	
Age (years)	≥29.6	30	41.7	
	Seminomas	20	27.8	
Histologic classification	Non-seminomas	52	72.2	
	Seminoma	20	27.8	
	Embryonal carcinoma	10	13.9	
	Mature teratoma	01	1.4	
Histology	Immature Teratoma	03	4.2	
	Yolk sac tumor	05	6.9	
	Mixed GCT	33	45.8	
	Ι	10	13.9	
Chinical stage (AJCC)	IS / II / III	62	86.1	
<b>NF</b> / / · / <b>I</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	No	21	29.2	
Metastasis (diagnosis)	Yes	51	70.8	
	S0	05	6.9	
	S1	28	38.9	
Serum markers (AJCC)	S2	23	31.9	
	\$3	04	5.6	
	SX	12	16.7	
Refractory disease	No	47	65.3	
	Yes	15	20.8	
	No chemotherapy	07	9.7	
	Missing	03	4.2	
	BEP	50	69.4	
	EP	12	16.6	
	Carboplatin	01	1.4	
Chemotherapy regimen.	Other	01	1.4	
	No chemotherapy	07	9.8	
	Missing	01	1.4	
	1 cycle	03	4.7	
	2 cycles	04	6.3	
Chemotherapy cycles <sup>2</sup>	3 cycles	19	29.7	
	4 cycles	37	57.8	
	Missing	01	1.5	
	Good	40	55.5	
	Intermediate	13	18.0	
IGUUG TISK	Poor	6	8.3	
	Not applicable	13	18.0	

#### Table 1: Clinicopathological characteristics of the testicular germ cell tumor patients

AJCC: American Joint Committee on Cancer; IGCCCG: International Germ Cell Cancer Cooperative Group.

<sup>1</sup> **BEP:** bleomycin, etoposide and cisplatin; **EP:** Etoposide and cisplatin.

<sup>2</sup> Based on the information of total 64 patients that received chemotherapeutic treatment.

		CAL	CALCA		
Clinicopathological characteristics		Unmethylated N (%)	Methylated N (%)	- p-value	
Age (years)	<29.6	28 (54.9)	14 (66.7)	0.257	
	≥29.6	23 (45.1)	07 (33.3)	0.357	
Histologic classification	Seminoma	18 (35.3)	02 (9.5)	0.026	
	Non-seminoma	33 (64.7)	19 (90.5)		
	Seminoma	18 (35.3)	02 (9.5)		
	Embryonal carcinoma	05 (9.8)	05 (23.8)		
<b>H</b> <sup>*</sup>	Mature teratoma	01 (2.0)	0 (0.0)	0.017	
Histology	Immature teratoma	02 (3.9)	01 (4.8)	0.017	
	Yolk sac tumor	01 (2.0)	04 (19.0)		
	Mixed GCT	24 (47.1)	09 (42.9)		
Clinical stage	Ι	09 (17.6)	01 (4.8)	0.151	
	IS / II / III	42 (82.4)	20 (95.2)	0.151	
Metastasis (diagnosis)	No	17 (33.3)	04 (19.0)	0.225	
	Yes	34 (66.7)	17 (81.0)		
Serum markers	S0	05 (11.6)	0 (0.0)		
	S1	20 (46.5)	08 (47.1)	0.200	
	S2	16 (37.2)	07 (41.2)	0.399	
	S3	02 (4.7)	02 (11.8)		
	No	37 (86.0)	10 (52.6)	0.005	
Refractory disease	Yes	06 (14.0)	09 (47.4)		
	Good	32 (76.2)	08 (47.1)		
IGCCCG risk	Intermediate	07 (16.7)	06 (35.3)	0.057	
	Poor	03 (7.1)	03 (17.6)		

T-11. 0. A	CALCA		· 41. · 1. · • • · 1. · 1. · · · · · · · · ·	
Table 2: Association of the free	Juency of CALCA met	nylation with clinicopa	athological character	istics of the patients

**Bold p-value:** statistically significant; p<0.05.

non-SEs (14/52), and SEs (0/20) showed no methylation for this gene (p<0.01).

# Association of the frequency of *MGMT* and *CALCA* methylation with prognosis of TGCT patients

A 5-year overall survival (OS) of 83.1% and 5-year event-free survival (EFS) of 68.7% for the population of this study was observed. Regarding EFS, only one death due to toxicity and 10 deaths from disease were observed. No significant difference was observed in OS rates according to the *MGMT* or *CALCA* methylation frequency independently (p=0.386 and p=0.192,

respectively; Supplementary Table S5). However, in patients that presented *MGMT* promoter methylation compared to patients with unmethylated promoter status, a significant lower 5-year EFS rate was observed (50.5% vs 77.1%; p=0.032; Figure 1A; Supplementary Table S6). Similarly, for the patients that presented *CALCA* promoter methylation compared to patients with unmethylated promoter status, a significant lower 5-year EFS rate was also observed (51.3% vs 77.0%; p=0.029; Figure 1B; Supplementary Table S6).

Additionally, the data obtained from this study revealed that alterations in serum markers, refractory disease and intermediate/poor IGCCCG risk are significantly associated with decreased OS rates of

		MGMT			
Clinicopathological characteristics		Unmethylated N (%)	Methylated N (%)	- p-value	
Age (years)	<29.6	27 (54.0)	15 (68.2)	0.2(1	
	≥29.6	23 (46.0)	07 (31.8)	0.261	
	Seminoma	18 (36.0)	02 (9.1)	0.010	
Histologic classification	Non-seminoma	32 (64.0)	20 (90.9)	0.019	
	Seminoma	18 (36.0)	02 (9.1)		
	Embryonal carcinoma	06 (12.0)	04 (18.2)		
II's to be seen	Mature teratoma	01 (2.0)	0 (0.0)	0.115	
Histology	Immature teratoma	01 (2.0)	02 (9.1)	0.115	
	Yolk sac tumor	03 (6.0)	02 (9.1)		
	Mixed GCT	21 (42.0)	12 (54.5)		
Clinical stage	Ι	08 (16.0)	02 (9.1)	0 510	
	IS / II / III	42 (84.0)	20 (90.9)	0.713	
	No	14 (28.0)	7 (31.8)	0.743	
Metastasis (diagnosis)	Yes	36 (72.0)	15 (68.2)		
Serum markers	S0	03 (7.3)	02 (10.5)		
	S1	21 (51.2)	07 (36.8)	0 (70	
	S2	15 (36.6)	08 (42.1)	0.672	
	S3	02 (4.9)	02 (10.5)		
	No	34 (82.9)	13 (61.9)	0.067	
Refractory disease	Yes	07 (17.1)	08 (38.1)		
	Good	30 (73.2)	10 (55.6)		
IGCCCG risk	Intermediate	09 (22.0)	04 (22.2)	0.134	
	Poor	02 (4.9)	04 (22.2)		

Table 3. Association of t	the frequenc	v of <i>MGMT</i> meth	vlation with clinic	nnathological c	haracteristics of the	natients
Table 5. Association of t	ine nequene	y of <i>month</i> moun	ylation with chine	opathological c	maracteristics of the	patients

**Bold p-value:** statistically significant; p<0.05.

the patients (Supplementary Table S5). Regarding EFS, the results revealed that histology, alterations in serum markers, metastasis at diagnosis, refractory disease and intermediate/poor IGCCCG risk are significantly associated with decreased 5-year EFS rates (Supplementary Table S6). COX regression analysis for OS and EFS demonstrated that IGCCCG risk emerged as the unique independent prognostic parameter in TGCT (Supplementary Tables S7 and S8).

Approximately, 20% of patients classified with intermediate/poor IGCCCG risk presented refractory disease and/or relapse in TGCT. The prognostic role of *MGMT* and *CALCA* methylation status in these patients was investigated (13 intermediate and 6 poor). Despite the fact that EFS analysis did not show a significant difference

regarding *MGMT* methylation profile, patients with *MGMT* promoter methylation compared to patients with unmethylated promoter status presented lower 5-year EFS (14.3% vs 46.8%; p=0.18; Figure 1C). The same result was observed for *CALCA*; patients with *CALCA* promoter methylation compared to patients with unmethylated promoter status presented lower 5-year EFS (12.5% vs 52.5%; p=0.11; Figure 1D).

# DISCUSSION

In this study, the frequency of promoter methylation of eight candidate genes (*MGMT*, *CALCA*, *VGF*, *HOXA9*, *NANOG*, *CDKN2B*, *CDO1* and *ADAMTS1*) was evaluated to assess a set of potential biomarkers that



**Figure 1: Kaplan-Meier survival curves for event-free survival (EFS) regarding methylation of** *MGMT* **or** *CALCA* **in the TCGT patients. A-B.** all TGCT patients; C-D. IGCCCG intermediate and poor risk TGCT patients. The differences between the survival curves were analyzed using the Log-rank test. Statistical significance was considered for p<0.05.

would accurately discriminate clinical outcome of patients with TGCT. A significant proportion of TGCT patients (15-25%) presents refractory disease, which results in a poor outcome [17, 18]. Therefore, efforts to identify new molecular prognostic markers are essential in TGCT, especially for these refractory patients [19].

In this study, a high frequency of *CALCA* and *MGMT* methylation was found to be associated with non-SE tumors and poor clinical outcome in TGCT patients, through promoter methylation profiling analysis using a candidate gene approach by QMSP.

The role of *CALCA* methylation in human cancer is not clear. *CALCA* is a potent vasodilator and also acts in bone metabolism through the regulation of calcium [20]. Frequent methylation of *CALCA* has been reported in hematological cancers, especially in myelodysplastic syndromes [21] and in acute leukemia, in which it was associated with a higher risk of relapse and poor clinical outcome [22, 23]. *CALCA* methylation has also been described in several types of solid tumors [24-26] and it was associated with poor clinical outcome of patients with non-small cell lung cancer [25]. To our knowledge, this is the first report demonstrating that *CALCA* methylation is associated with non-SE tumors, refractory disease and poor clinical outcome in TGCT.

*MGMT* methylation has been extensively reported in TGCT and was associated with non-SE tumors [16, 27, 28]. This study observed a higher frequency of *MGMT*  methylation associated with non-SE tumors than other reports (90% vs 50-69%) [16, 27]. This difference is possibly due to the approach used in the present study of setting the methylation level cut-off based on the discrimination of prognosis instead in normal versus tumor tissues, as performed in those previous studies. However, our data are consistent with the observation of a high frequency of *MGMT* methylation in non-SEs.

We also observed that MGMT methylation is associated with a worse clinical outcome in TGCT patients (p=0.032; Figure 1A) and tends to be more frequent in patients with refractory disease (p=0.067, Table 2). MGMT encodes the O-6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase protein involved in DNA repair by removing the alkyl groups of O<sup>6</sup>-methylguanine and preventing DNA lesions. These alkyl groups mainly occur as a result of the use of alkylating agents, such as temozolomide [29]. In TGCT, the treatment is based on the use of cisplatin combined with etoposide and bleomycin, and none of these drugs are alkylating agents. The role of MGMT methylation in cisplatin-resistance in TGCT is not clear. Deficient DNA repair mechanism attributed to MGMT disruption does not seems to be involved in the mechanisms of cisplatinresistance in TGCT [30].

The observation that MGMT methylation is more frequent in non-SEs, a subtype that usually presents a more aggressive behavior suggests that alkylating agents (i.e. temozolomide), could be used in the treatment of TGCT. Cell lines derived from human non-SEs TGCT showed sensitivity to temozolomide, however, the status of MGMT methylation was not evaluated [31]. Results reported from two phase II clinical trials were unfavorable regarding the use of temozolomide in patients diagnosed with relapsed or cisplatin-refractory germ cell tumors. One study showed no effect of temozolomide in the treatment of cisplatin-refractory GCT patients [32], while the other demonstrated that although well tolerated, only 10% (2/20) of the cisplatin-refractory GCT patients achieved partial responses after temozolomide treatment [33]. However, the use of temozolomide in these trials was not based on the MGMT methylation status of the patients, which in part can explain these dismal findings.

Considering the higher methylation levels found in refractory disease and poor clinical outcome in TGCT patients, we also argue that these groups could possibly benefit from a therapy based on DNA methylation inhibitors (i.e 5-aza-CdR) as a single or combined agent. There is currently little evidence available on the effects of DNA methylation inhibitors in TGCT, but it has been shown that TGCT cells may be markedly sensitive to DNA methylation inhibitors and that a pretreatment using 5-aza-CdR can restore cisplatin cytotoxicity to resistant embryonal carcinoma [34].

It is well known that IGCCCG risk is the most important parameter to establish prognosis in TGCT [7]. However, this parameter is not sufficient to predict which patients will present refractory disease, consequently evolving with disease progression or relapse. Regarding IGCCCG risk, the group of patients classified as intermediate/poor risk is of particular interest, because these are the patients that present refractory disease and/ or relapse [7]. In our study, 19 patients were classified in these groups and despite the fact that there was no statistical significance, data from this study demonstrated that intermediate/poor risk patients methylated for *MGMT* or *CALCA* presented lower 5-year EFS rates. *MGMT* and *CALCA* promoter methylation analysis should be performed in a large number of intermediate/poor risk TGCT patients to confirm these findings.

In conclusion, our data demonstrated that *CALCA* and *MGMT* are frequently methylated in non-SEs and are associated with poor clinical outcomes in TGCT patients. Additionally, our results indicate that *CALCA* methylation is associated with refractory disease. Therefore, our data support the use of *CALCA* and *MGMT* methylation status as new molecular prognostic markers in TGCT. However, their predictive role for the use of new treatment strategies (i.e. temozolomide or DNA methylation inhibitors) in TGCT should be further explored in prospective clinical studies.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Samples

Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues from 72 cases of primary testicular germ cell tumors (SE, non-SE and mixed tumors), diagnosed between 2005 and 2012, were retrieved from the archives of the Department of Pathology at the Barretos Cancer Hospital. The samples were from primary tumors, collected prior to the use of any systemic treatment. For each case, a slide stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) was analyzed by a pathologist (ECAS) to confirm the diagnosis and to determine areas with more than 70% of tumor cellularity for DNA extraction. Refractory disease was defined as failure in decrease of serum marker levels after the first line chemotherapy or early relapse (relapse within 2 years from the first therapeutic approach).

The study was conducted following the national and institutional ethical policies and was approved by the Barretos Cancer Hospital Ethical Committee (protocol CAAE 26848814.8.0000.5437).

#### **DNA extraction and bisulfite treatment**

DNA was isolated from four FFPE tissue sections of 10µm using standard DNA Mini kit protocol (Qiagen). Sodium bisulfite treatment of 2µg genomic DNA was performed with EZ DNA Methylation Kit (Zymo Research) according to manufacturer's recommendations. The bisulfite-modified genomic DNA was eluted in  $40\mu$ L of ultra-pure water (milliQ) and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C.

The conversion efficiency of bisulfite treatment was verified through the direct sequencing of a PCR product generated with ACTB primers designed for the analysis of converted DNA sequences (Supplementary Table S9) in 12 randomly selected samples after bisulfite conversion, to exclude bias due to incomplete bisulfite conversion. Briefly, PCR was performed using 50ng of converted DNA, 1X enzyme buffer, 0.2mM dNTPs, 2mM MgCl,, 0.3µM of each ACTB primers and 0.5U of Platinum Tag DNA Polymerase (Invitrogen), in a final volume of 25µL following the conditions: 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 30 seconds, 56°C for 30 seconds and 72°C for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 10 minutes. The reaction was carried out in a Veriti Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems) and PCR product was checked in a 1% agarose gel. The fragment with expected size (133bp) was purified from the agarose gel using Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-up System (Promega) and direct sequenced using the standard DNA Sequencing Big Dye Terminator v3.1 kit (Applied Biosystems). The sequencing reaction was cleaned-up with Big Dye X Terminator purification kit (Applied Biosystems) and the plate was directly loaded onto an Applied Biosystems 3500 genetic analyzer. All unmethylated cytosines in ACTB amplicon were completely converted to thymines, confirming the high efficiency of the of bisulfite treatment (Supplementary Figure S1).

### Methylation analysis

Bisulfite-modified DNA was used as a template for the quantitative methylation specific PCR (QMSP), as previously described [16].

Briefly, amplification reactions were performed in a final volume of  $20\mu L$  in the following conditions:  $1\mu L$ (~50ng) of genomic bisulfite-modified DNA; 600nM of forward and reverse primers, 200nM probe, 0.6U of Platinum Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen), 200µM dNTPs, 6.7mM MgCl, and 1X ROX Reference Dye (BioRad). Primers and probes were used to specifically amplify each gene promoter region and the promoter region of ACTB, in an area without CG nucleotides, so it could be used as a reference gene. For six genes (MGMT, CALCA, VGF, HOXA9, CDKN2B and CDO1) primers and probes sequences were selected from the literature [16, 35-38]. For NANOG and ADAMTS1, primers and probes were designed following recommendations from Eads et al. (2000) [35]. The sequences of primers and probes are described in Supplementary Table S9.

The reactions were performed following the conditions: 95°C for 3 minutes, followed by 50 cycles at 95°C during 15 seconds and 60°C during 1 minute. Reactions were carried out in 96-well plates in a 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems)

and results were analyzed using the software SDS2.4 (Applied Biosystems). The reactions were performed in triplicates and each run included a positive (in vitro methylated normal leukocyte DNA) and negative (normal leukocyte DNA) controls and multiples no template controls. Leukocyte DNA from a healthy individual was submitted to in vitro treatment with SssI methyltransferase (New England Biolabs) to generate a virtually completely methylated DNA. It was used as a template for the design of the standard curve through serial dilutions (50-0.005ng) in each plate. The relative level of methylation for each gene in each sample was considered as the ratio of the triplicates' average of the gene of interest to the triplicates' average of ACTB, multiplied by 1000 for easier tabulation. The samples were considered methylated when at least two of the triplicates were amplified. The reaction was repeated in the cases with amplification of only one of the triplicates and considered positive if amplification was confirmed.

### Statistical analysis

To categorize gene methylation frequency, Receiveroperating characteristics (ROC) analysis was used to determine a cut-off that maximized the sensitivity and specificity to discriminate the outcome of the patients. For this analysis, the terms good or poor clinical outcome was assigned for those patients without or with the occurrence of events during the follow-up, respectively. Area under the curve (AUC), sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, accuracy and 95% confidence intervals were estimated. Furthermore, the association of gene methylation frequency with clinicopathological characteristics was evaluated using Chi-square or Fisher's exact test. Subsequently, multiple logistic regression was performed, considering the variables with p<0.2 in the previous test.

Overall survival (OS) and event-free survival (EFS) were assessed by Kaplan-Meier method. For OS, event was considered as death from any cause and the followup from the date of diagnosis to the date of death. For EFS, event was considered as progression, recurrence or death and follow-up from the date of diagnosis to the date of the event that occurred first. The differences between the survival curves were analyzed using the Log-rank test. Subsequently, COX regression was performed considering the variables with p<0.2 in the survival analysis.

For all analyzes, p<0.05 was considered for statistical significance. Statistical analysis was developed using SPSS v.21 software.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the Center for Research Support (NAP), the Barretos Children's Cancer Hospital and the Pio XII Foundation.

# **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

# FINANCIAL SUPPORT

This work was supported by the Barretos Cancer Hospital internal research funds program (PAIP).

#### **Author contributions**

DOV, LFL, FMC, MB designed the study; CMSM and AHL developed the methodology; CMSM, AHL, FMC acquired the data; CMSM, FMC, MB, DOV analyzed and interpreted the data; CMSM, AHL, FMC, MB, LFL, DOV wrote and revised the manuscript; ECAS provided technical support; DOV, LFL supervised the study.

# REFERENCES

- Greene MH, Kratz CP, Mai PL, Mueller C, Peters JA, Bratslavsky G, Ling A, Choyke PM, Premkumar A, Bracci J, Watkins RJ, McMaster ML, Korde LA. Familial testicular germ cell tumors in adults: 2010 summary of genetic risk factors and clinical phenotype. Endocr Relat Cancer. 2010; 17:R109-121.
- Bray F, Ferlay J, Devesa SS, McGlynn KA, Møller H. Interpreting the international trends in testicular seminoma and nonseminoma incidence. Nat Clin Pract Urol. 2006; 3:532-543.
- Houldsworth J, Korkola JE, Bosl GJ, Chaganti RS. Biology and genetics of adult male germ cell tumors. J Clin Oncol. 2006; 24:5512-5518.
- Lopes LF, Macedo CR, Pontes EM, Dos Santos Aguiar S, Mastellaro MJ, Melaragno R, Vianna SM, Lopes PA, Mendonça N, de Assis Almeida MT, Sonaglio V, Ribeiro KB, Santana VM. Cisplatin and etoposide in childhood germ cell tumor: brazilian pediatric oncology society protocol GCT-91. J Clin Oncol. 2009; 27:1297-1303.
- 5. Lopes LF, Macedo CR, Aguiar Sdos S, Barreto JH, Martins GE, Sonaglio V, Milone M, Lima ER, Almeida MT, Lopes PM, Watanabe FM, D'Andrea ML, Pianovski MA, et al. Lowered Cisplatin Dose and No Bleomycin in the Treatment of Pediatric Germ Cell Tumors: Results of the GCT-99 Protocol From the Brazilian Germ Cell Pediatric Oncology Cooperative Group. J Clin Oncol. 2016; 34:603-610.
- Lorch A, Beyer J, Bascoul-Mollevi C, Kramar A, Einhorn LH, Necchi A, Massard C, De Giorgi U, Fléchon A, Margolin KA, Lotz JP, Germa Lluch JR, Powles T. Prognostic factors in patients with metastatic germ cell tumors who experienced treatment failure with cisplatinbased first-line chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol. 2010; 28:4906-4911.

- International Germ Cell Cancer Collaborative Group. International Germ Cell Consensus Classification: a prognostic factor-based staging system for metastatic germ cell cancers. J Clin Oncol. 1997; 15:594-603.
- Cheng L, Sung MT, Cossu-Rocca P, Jones TD, MacLennan GT, De Jong J, Lopez-Beltran A, Montironi R, Looijenga LH. OCT4: biological functions and clinical applications as a marker of germ cell neoplasia. J Pathol. 2007; 211:1-9.
- Masters JR, Köberle B. Curing metastatic cancer: lessons from testicular germ-cell tumours. Nat Rev Cancer. 2003; 3:517-525.
- Kristensen DG, Skakkebæk NE, Rajpert-De Meyts E, Almstrup K. Epigenetic features of testicular germ cell tumours in relation to epigenetic characteristics of foetal germ cells. Int J Dev Biol. 2013; 57:309-317.
- 11. Bird A. DNA methylation patterns and epigenetic memory. Genes Dev. 2002; 16:6-21.
- 12. Taberlay PC, Jones PA. Progress in drug research Fortschritte der Arzneimittelforschung Progres des recherches pharmaceutiques. DNA methylation and cancer. 2011; 67:1-23.
- 13. Peltomaki P. DNA methylation changes in human testicular cancer. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1991; 1096:187-196.
- Smiraglia DJ, Szymanska J, Kraggerud SM, Lothe RA, Peltomäki P, Plass C. Distinct epigenetic phenotypes in seminomatous and nonseminomatous testicular germ cell tumors. Oncogene. 2002; 21:3909-3916.
- 15. Netto GJ, Nakai Y, Nakayama M, Jadallah S, Toubaji A, Nonomura N, Albadine R, Hicks JL, Epstein JI, Yegnasubramanian S, Nelson WG, De Marzo AM. Global DNA hypomethylation in intratubular germ cell neoplasia and seminoma, but not in nonseminomatous male germ cell tumors. Mod Pathol. 2008; 21:1337-1344.
- Brait M, Maldonado L, Begum S, Loyo M, Wehle D, Tavora FF, Looijenga LH, Kowalski J, Zhang Z, Rosenbaum E, Halachmi S, Netto GJ, Hoque MO. DNA methylation profiles delineate epigenetic heterogeneity in seminoma and non-seminoma. Br J Cancer. 2012; 106:414-423.
- Yetisyigit T, Babacan N, Urun Y, Seber ES, Cihan S, Arpaci E, Yildirim N, Aksoy S, Budakoglu B, Zengin N, Oksuzoglu B, Yalcin BC, Alkis N. Predictors of outcome in patients with advanced nonseminomatous germ cell testicular tumors. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2014; 15:831-835.
- Ozgun A, Karagoz B, Tuncel T, Emirzeoglu L, Celik S, Bilgi O. Clinicopathological features and survival of young Turkish patients with testicular germ cell tumors. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2013; 14:6889-6892.
- Feldman DR, Patil S, Trinos MJ, Carousso M, Ginsberg MS, Sheinfeld J, Bajorin DF, Bosl GJ, Motzer RJ. Progression-free and overall survival in patients with relapsed/refractory germ cell tumors treated with singleagent chemotherapy: endpoints for clinical trial design. Cancer. 2012; 118:981-986.

- Brain SD, Williams TJ, Tippins JR, Morris HR, MacIntyre I. Calcitonin gene-related peptide is a potent vasodilator. Nature. 1985; 313:54-56.
- Vidal DO, Paixao VA, Brait M, Souto EX, Caballero OL, Lopes LF, Vettore AL. Aberrant methylation in pediatric myelodysplastic syndrome. Leukemia Research. 2007; 31:175-181.
- Paixao V, Vidal D, Caballero O, Vettore A, Tone L, Ribeiro K, Lopes L. Hypermethylation of CpG island in the promoter region of CALCA in acute lymphoblastic leukemia with central nervous system (CNS) infiltration correlates with poorer prognosis. Leukemia Research. 2006; 30:891-894.
- Ismail EA, El-Mogy MI, Mohamed DS, El-Farrash RA. Methylation pattern of calcitonin (CALCA) gene in pediatric acute leukemia. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol. 2011; 33:534-542.
- 24. Brait M, Begum S, Carvalho AL, Dasgupta S, Vettore AL, Czerniak B, Caballero OL, Westra WH, Sidransky D, Hoque MO. Aberrant promoter methylation of multiple genes during pathogenesis of bladder cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2008; 17:2786-2794.
- Morán A, Fernández-Marcelo T, Carro J, De Juan C, Pascua I, Head J, Gómez A, Hernando F, Torres AJ, Benito M, Iniesta P. Methylation profiling in non-small cell lung cancer: clinical implications. Int J Oncol. 2012; 40:739-746.
- 26. Zhang B, Liu S, Zhang Z, Wei J, Qu Y, Wu K, Yang Q, Hou P, Shi B. Analysis of BRAF(V600E) mutation and DNA methylation improves the diagnostics of thyroid fine needle aspiration biopsies. Diagn Pathol. 2014; 9:45.
- Smith-Sørensen B, Lind GE, Skotheim RI, Fosså SD, Fodstad Ø, Stenwig AE, Jakobsen KS, Lothe RA. Frequent promoter hypermethylation of the O6-Methylguanine-DNA Methyltransferase (MGMT) gene in testicular cancer. Oncogene. 2002; 21:8878-8884.
- Honorio S, Agathanggelou A, Wernert N, Rothe M, Maher ER, Latif F. Frequent epigenetic inactivation of the RASSF1A tumour suppressor gene in testicular tumours and distinct methylation profiles of seminoma and nonseminoma testicular germ cell tumours. Oncogene. 2003; 22:461-466.
- 29. Jacinto FV, Esteller M. MGMT hypermethylation: a prognostic foe, a predictive friend. DNA Repair (Amst). 2007; 6:1155-1160.

- Jacobsen C, Honecker F. Cisplatin resistance in germ cell tumours: models and mechanisms. Andrology. 2015; 3:111-121.
- Pera MF, Köberle B, Masters JR. Exceptional sensitivity of testicular germ cell tumour cell lines to the new anti-cancer agent, temozolomide. Br J Cancer. 1995; 71:904-906.
- 32. Varuni Kondagunta G, Bacik J, Schwartz L, Sheinfeld J, Bajorin D, Vuky J, Marion S, Mazumdar M, Bosl GJ, Motzer RJ. Phase II trial of temozolomide in patients with cisplatin-refractory germ cell tumors. Invest New Drugs. 2004; 22:177-179.
- 33. Maroto P, Huddart R, Garcia del Muro X, Horwich A, Paz Ares L, Aparicio J, Germa-Lluch JR. Brief report: phase II multicenter study of temozolomide in patients with cisplatin-resistant germ cell tumors. Oncology. 2011; 80:219-222.
- 34. Beyrouthy MJ, Garner KM, Hever MP, Freemantle SJ, Eastman A, Dmitrovsky E, Spinella MJ. High DNA methyltransferase 3B expression mediates 5-azadeoxycytidine hypersensitivity in testicular germ cell tumors. Cancer Res. 2009; 69:9360-9366.
- Eads CA, Danenberg KD, Kawakami K, Saltz LB, Blake C, Shibata D, Danenberg PV, Laird PW. MethyLight: a highthroughput assay to measure DNA methylation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000; 28:E32.
- 36. Eads CA, Lord RV, Wickramasinghe K, Long TI, Kurumboor SK, Bernstein L, Peters JH, DeMeester SR, DeMeester TR, Skinner KA, Laird PW. Epigenetic patterns in the progression of esophageal adenocarcinoma. Cancer Res. 2001; 61:3410-3418.
- 37. Guerrero-Preston R, Soudry E, Acero J, Orera M, Moreno-López L, Macía-Colón G, Jaffe A, Berdasco M, Ili-Gangas C, Brebi-Mieville P, Fu Y, Engstrom C, Irizarry RA, et al. NID2 and HOXA9 promoter hypermethylation as biomarkers for prevention and early detection in oral cavity squamous cell carcinoma tissues and saliva. Cancer Prev Res (Phila). 2011; 4:1061-1072.
- 38. Brait M, Ling S, Nagpal JK, Chang X, Park HL, Lee J, Okamura J, Yamashita K, Sidransky D, Kim MS. Cysteine dioxygenase 1 is a tumor suppressor gene silenced by promoter methylation in multiple human cancers. PLoS One. 2012; 7:e44951.