5-Fluorouracil targets thymidylate synthase in the selective suppression of $T_H^{17}$ cell differentiation
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ABSTRACT

While it is well established that treatment of cancer patients with 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) can result in immune suppression, the exact function of 5-FU in the modulation of immune cells has not been fully established. We found that low dose 5-FU selectively suppresses $T_H^{17}$ and $T_H^{1}$ cell differentiation without apparent effect on Treg, $T_H^{2}$, and significantly suppresses thymidylate synthase (TS) expression in $T_H^{17}$ and $T_H^{1}$ cells but has a lesser effect in tumor cells and macrophages. Interestingly, the basal expression of TS varies significantly between T helper phenotypes and knockdown of TS significantly impairs $T_H^{17}$ and $T_H^{1}$ cell differentiation without affecting the differentiation of either Treg or $T_H^{2}$ cells. Finally, low dose 5-FU is effective in ameliorating colitis development by suppressing $T_H^{17}$ and $T_H^{1}$ cell development in a T cell transfer colitis model. Taken together, the results highlight the importance of the anti-inflammatory functions of low dose 5-FU by selectively suppressing $T_H^{17}$ and $T_H^{1}$ immune responses.

INTRODUCTION

Interleukin (IL)-17 producing T helper cells ($T_H^{17}$), are a T helper subtype clearly distinct from T-helper type 1 ($T_H^{1}$), T-helper type 2 ($T_H^{2}$), and T regulatory (Treg) cells, which play an important role in the pathogenesis of various inflammatory and autoimmune diseases [1, 2]. $T_H^{17}$ cell differentiation, survival, and expansion depend on many cytokines and transcription factors that work in concert to drive the induction of unique program. Transforming growth factor β (TGF-β) and Interleukin-6 (IL-6) have been described as key factors contributing to generating de novo $T_H^{17}$ cells [3-5], but other cytokines have been shown to have significant auxiliary functions as well: IL-23 is necessary for $T_H^{17}$ lineage expansion [2, 6], and IL-21 is also involved the differentiation of $T_H^{17}$ cells [7-9]. $T_H^{17}$ cells secrete several recognized signature cytokines including IL-17A, IL-17F and IL-22 [2, 10-13].
The retinoic acid receptor-related orphan nuclear receptor (RORγt) has been identified as a critical transcription factor for Th17 cell differentiation [14] while several other transcription factors including RORα, STAT3, and IRF4 have been reported to be involved [1, 14-16]. Other metabolism-related factors have also been implicated in regulating Th17 differentiation, and have been the focus of significant recent studies [17, 18]. For instance, the NFAT5 pathway has been shown to upregulate Th17 cells in response to high salt [19], and the deprivation of free amino acids has been reported to bias against Th17 differentiation [20].

Accumulating experimental evidence indicates that Th17 cells are indeed involved in the pathogenesis of various autoimmune/inflammatory diseases [1]. Yen et al demonstrated that Th17 cells are responsible for the development of colitis in a murine colitis model [21]. Leppkes et al indicated that RORγt-expressing Th17 cells play a critical role in intestinal inflammation [22]. Fuss et al. demonstrated that Th17 cell-inducing IL-23 was highly expressed in active Crohn’s patients [23]. However, recent reports have indicated that IL-17A plays a protective role in T cell-mediated intestinal inflammation [24] and a clinical trial showed that treatment with anti-IL-17A antibodies was ineffective for IBD patients [25]. As such, the exact mechanisms for the Th17 cells contributing to the development of inflammatory diseases still need to be further explored.

5-FU, an analogue of uracil, is commonly used as a chemotherapeutic drug for treating various kinds of tumors, primarily through inhibition of TS [26-29]. Due to its structural similarity to uracil, 5-FU and its metabolites are readily taken up by many cells. Once inside the cell, 5-FU can either bind to TS or otherwise be incorporated into the dNTP pool [27]. From the nuclear dNTP pool, 5-FU may induce DNA damage during replication, and hinder DNA repair [27]. 5-FU can induce apoptosis in cells over time as a result [28, 30]. In colorectal cancer (CRC), 5-FU’s efficiency has been linked to cancer cells being strongly affected by thymidine starvation and DNA damage due to higher rates of replication [17, 31]. As part of the FOLFOXIRI treatment regimen, patients may receive 1000mg/m² of 5-FU over 24 hrs to 3200 mg/m² over 48 hours, and similar amount in the older FOLFIRI regimen [32, 33]. 5-FU may also be provided at 425mg/m² as part of adjuvant therapy [34]. Clinically, it is apparent that cancer treatment with 5-FU results in the unfortunate side effect of broad immune suppression [23, 27]. However, the mechanism(s) by which 5-FU modulates immune cells have not been fully understood.

In our present study, we demonstrate that low dose 5-FU selectively suppresses Th17 and Th1 cell differentiation without major effects on Treg, Th2 cells. This effect is not seen with high dose 5-FU, suggesting clinical usage and low doses of 5-FU may have different immunomodulatory effects. These results highlight the importance of anti-inflammatory functions of low dose 5-FU by selectively suppressing Th17 and Th1 immune responses both in vitro and in vivo.

**RESULTS**

**Low dose 5-FU selectively suppresses Th17 and Th1 cell differentiation**

5-FU is a first-line chemotherapeutic drug for treating CRC, which can result in the side effect of immunosuppression. However, the exact mechanism by which 5-FU suppresses immune responses remains unclear. To investigate the effects of 5-FU on immune cell function, we first focused on T helper cells. Naïve CD4+ T cells from C57BL/6 mice were primed in vitro for 3 days under Th0, Th17, Th1, Th2, or Treg polarizing conditions in the presence of 5-FU at different concentrations. Interestingly, the frequency of IL-17- and IFN-γ-producing cells (IL-17+ cells from 16.9% to 6.0%; IFN-γ+ cells from 33.1% to 18.1%) decreased following 5-FU treatment in a dose-dependent manner, suggesting that 5-FU may have a selective effect (Figure 1A). These observations correlated with reduced IL-17 and IFN-γ production by Th17 cells treated with 5-FU as determined by ELISA (Figure 1C). Interestingly, Th2, Treg, Th9, and Th22 differentiation were not noticeably affected in T cell cultures treated with 5-FU at that lower dosage (Figure 1B, 1C, 1D, Supplementary Figure 1A, 1B, 1C, 1D). Furthermore, qPCR experiments showed low dose 5-FU significantly suppressed mRNA expression of Th17 or Th1-associated genes including IL-17, RORγt, IFN-γ, and T-bet (Figure 1D).

To rule out the possibility that the reduced Th17 and Th1 cell differentiation was due to abnormal cell death caused by 5-FU, we analyzed CD4+ T cells from spleens as well as lymph nodes of C57BL/6 mice and tumor cell lines. Using Annexin V and PI staining for cell death, we tested a range of concentrations of 5-FU on naïve T cells and tumor cells. T cells were sensitive to 5-FU and as the concentration causing clear T cell death is 2.5 µM, while the concentration of 5-FU inducing tumor cell death is 20 µM (Supplementary Figure 2A, 2B). Since 5-FU just caused minimal cell death in naïve T cells up to a concentration of 1 µM, we set that as our working dose in our subsequent investigations (Supplementary Figure 2A). Notably, this dose is much lower than that used clinically, and did not lead to tumor cell death (Supplementary Figure 2B). Furthermore, 5-FU had no significant effect on the expression of IL-10 (Supplementary Figure 3). Thus, the decreased Th17 and Th1 cell differentiation induced by 5-FU was not due to the alterations on IL-10 levels.
5-FU alters DNA binding activity in T$_{H17}$ and T$_{H1}$ cells

The data above prompted us to probe for the molecular basis for which 5-FU modulates T$_{H17}$ cell differentiation. Since many studies have shown that several transcription factors including RORγt, STAT3, and IRF4 are important for T$_{H17}$ cell differentiation[23], we hypothesized that low dose 5-FU might affect the expression of these transcription factors. To address this, naïve CD4$^+$ T cells from C57BL/6 mice were primed in vitro for 3 days under T$_{H0}$ or T$_{H17}$ polarizing conditions. Western blotting experiments showed that the protein expression of RORγt was significantly reduced in the cells treated with low dose 5-FU (Figure 2A). However, the levels of STAT3 and IRF4 protein were comparable in the presence or absence of low dose 5-FU (Figure 2A). In addition, ChIP analysis demonstrated that the binding of RORγt to the promoter region of IL-17 gene was significantly reduced (Figure 2B). Since STAT3 is important for RORγt expression, we next analyzed the effects of 5-FU on STAT3 activation. Western blotting showed that 5-FU did not affect the levels of STAT3 expression (Figure 2A, 2C) or nuclear translocation (Figure 2C), or STAT3 phosphorylation (Figure 2C). However, ChIP experiments showed that the binding of STAT3 to the promoter region of RORγt gene was significantly reduced (Figure 2D, 2E), suggesting that 5-FU inhibits STAT3-mediated activation, leading to the suppression of T$_{H17}$ cell differentiation.

To investigate the molecular mechanism by which 5-FU affects T$_{H1}$ cell differentiation, we first examined the effects of 5-FU on the expression of STAT4 and T-bet, key transcription factors for T$_{H1}$ cells. Western blotting showed that 5-FU had no clear effect on STAT4 expression (Figure 3A). However, T-bet protein expression was significantly reduced in T$_{H1}$ cells in the presence of 5-FU (Figure 3A),

---

**Figure 1:** Low dose 5-FU selectively suppresses T$_{H17}$ and T$_{H1}$ cell differentiation while has no major effects on T$_{H2}$ and Treg cell differentiation. A. Naïve CD4$^+$ T cells from C57BL/6 mice were differentiated under T$_{H17}$ and T$_{H1}$ polarizing conditions respectively in the presence of 5-FU (0.5, 1.0 µM) for 3 days and analyzed through flow cytometry. B. Naïve CD4$^+$ T cells from C57BL/6 mice were differentiated under T$_{H2}$ and Treg polarizing conditions respectively in the presence of 5-FU (1.0 µM) for 3 days and analyzed through flow cytometry. C. Supernatants from cells cultured in (A) and (B) analyzed via ELISA. D. Cells cultured as in (A) and (B) for 48 hours; mRNA expression of the indicated genes was determined by qPCR. *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$ versus cells cultured without 5-FU.
suggesting that 5-FU inhibits T-bet expression leading to the suppression of T_{H1} cell development. Since STAT4 is important for T-bet expression, we next questioned if 5-FU influences STAT4 activation. ChIP experiments showed that the binding of STAT4 to the promoter region of T-bet gene was significantly reduced with 5-FU treatment (Figure 3B, 3C), suggesting that 5-FU inhibits STAT4 DNA binding activity resulting in the suppression of T-bet expression and T_{H1} cell development.

We then proceeded to analyze whether 5-FU modulates posttranslational modification of RORγt or T-bet protein resulting in proteasome-mediated protein degradation. To test this, we co-transfected T7-RORγt, T-bet and HA-tagged ubiquitin overexpression plasmids into 293T cells added with 5-FU, 5-FU treatment had no significant effect on RORγt or T-bet protein degradation or ubiquitination (Figure 4A, 4B, 4C), suggesting that 5-FU is not involved in the control of RORγt and T-bet protein stability and ubiquitination.

Figure 2: 5-FU alters STAT3 DNA binding activity in T_{H17} cells. A. Naïve CD4^+ T cells from C57BL/B6 mice cultured in T_{H17} polarizing conditions in the presence of 5-FU (1.0 µM) for 3 days prior to western blotting for RORγt and other indicated proteins. B. The same cells were cultured for 60 hours, followed by ChIP assay. 3 µg of anti- RORγt antibody or isotype-matched IgG control antibody were used in the immunoprecipitation step. qPCR was used to quantify the amount of precipitated DNA with primers flanking the RORγt binding the CNS2 of the IL-17 promoter region. C. 293T cells transfected with STAT3 plasmid for 40 hours in the presence of 5-FU (1 µM). The cytosolic fraction and nuclear fraction proteins were analyzed via western blotting. Cells were cultured as in (A), with western blotting for STAT3 protein phosphorylation and STAT3 protein expression at the times indicated. Cells treated as in (A) analyzed via ChIP assay. 3 µg of anti-STAT3 antibody or isotype-matched IgG as control antibody were used in the immunoprecipitation step. qPCR was used to quantify the amount of precipitated DNA with primers flanking the STAT3 binding site of the RORγt and the CNS2 of the IL-17 (E) promoter region. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 versus cells cultured without 5-FU.
5-FU inhibits TS expression in TH17 and TH1 cells

Since it is well-known that 5-FU can bind directly to TS, we next investigated if that binding played a role in 5-FU’s selection against TH1 and TH17 cells. Western blotting showed that protein expression of TS varies significantly between T helper phenotypes, with TH17 cells having the highest expression (Figure 5A). TS expression increased in all subtypes over the course of polarization, and decreased in all phenotypes in the presence of 5-FU (Figure 5B). Interestingly, 5-FU presence had no significant effect on TS expression in tumor cells (Figure 5C) and macrophages (Figure 5D). To understand the function of TS on T helper cell differentiation, we knocked down TS by transfecting TS siRNA in naïve T cells and then stimulating them under TH17, TH1, TH2, or Treg polarizing conditions. The results showed that knockdown of TS significantly suppressed TH17 (IL-17+ cells from 13.8% to 9.3%) and TH1 (IFN-γ+ cells from 33.5% to 22.1%) cell polarization without apparent effects on TH2 or Treg cell development (Figure 6A, 6B, 6C, 6D, 6E). ChIP experiments suggest TS may affect STAT3 DNA binding activity (Figure 6F, 6G). This result further demonstrates that TS itself has a role in controlling TH17 differentiation, ruling out the possibility that 5-FU was merely incorporating itself into DNA to induce damage.

Taken together, the results suggest that low dose 5-FU selectively suppresses TH17 and TH1 cell differentiation through inhibition of TS expression.

Given our previous results, we then performed further experiments to clarify the role of TS in TH17 and

![Figure 3: 5-FU alters STAT4 DNA binding activity in TH1 cells. A. Naïve CD4+ T cells from C57BL/6 mice were differentiated under TH1 polarizing conditions in the presence of 5-FU (1.0 µM) for 3 days and were analyzed by western blotting. **p < 0.01 versus cells not added with 5-FU. Naïve CD4+ T cells from C57BL/6 mice were cultured under TH1-polarizing conditions in the presence of 5-FU (1.0 µM) for 60 hours, followed by ChIP assay. 3 µg of anti-STAT4 antibody or isotype-matched IgG as control antibody were used in the immunoprecipitation step. qPCR was used to quantify the amount of precipitated DNA with primers flanking the STAT4 binding site of the T-bet B, and the IFN-γ C, promoter region. *p < 0.05 versus cells cultured without 5-FU.](image-url)
T_h1 cells. In particular, we sought to understand if the suppression of T_h17 and T_h1 cells that correlated with decreased expression of TS was due to the loss of TS enzymatic activity. We isolated CD4⁺ T cells and polarized them with or without 5-FU in the presence or absence of thymidylate. Since thymidylate is a product of TS activity, we reasoned that it may compensate for loss of TS enzymatic activity. Our results indicate that thymidylate is indeed capable of rescuing T_h17 (IL-17⁺ cells from 8.9% to 15.3%) and T_h1 (IFN-γ⁺ cells from 20.2% to 30.7%) polarization suppressed by 5-FU (Figure 7A, 7B). These results were further confirmed via qPCR and ELISA (Figure 7A, 7B). Interestingly, T_h2 and Treg populations were not significantly affected by thymidylate (Figure 7C, 7D). As such, it is clear that the enzymatic role played by TS is a vital component of T_h1 and T_h17 polarization.

5-FU modulates T_h17 cell differentiation in vivo

T_h17 cells are believed to play critical roles in the pathogenesis of various autoimmune/inflammatory diseases, including multiple sclerosis (MS), inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), and rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Previous reports have indicated a correlation between T_h17 activity and IBD pathogenesis. To further assess the effects of 5-FU on T_h17 cell development in vivo, we performed adoptive T cell transfer colitis experiments using CD4⁺CD45Rbhi cells from C57BL/6 mice to induce colitis in Rag1⁻/⁻ mice. Mice in the treatment group received low dose 5-FU twice a week for 8 weeks while the control group was treated with PBS. While the Rag1⁻/⁻ mice reconstituted with naïve CD4⁺ T cells lost weight continuously, treatment with 5-FU significantly

Figure 4: The suppression of 5-FU on T_h17 and T_h1 has no relation to proteasome proteolytic pathway. A. 293T cells were co-transfected with HA-tagged ubiquitin (HA-Ub) and T7-tagged RORγt plasmids in the presence of cycloheximide (CHX) and 5-FU (1 µM) for various time intervals and the cell lysates were collected and RORγt protein expression was analyzed by western blotting. B. 293T cells were co-transfected with HA-UB and T-bet plasmids in the presence of CHX and 5-FU (1 µM) for various time intervals and the cell lysates were collected and T-bet protein expression was analyzed by western blotting. C. 293T cells were transfected with T7-tagged RORγt and HA-Ub overexpression plasmids for 36 hrs. The cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-T7 antibody and immunoblotted with anti-HA antibody. The results are representative of three independent experiments.
improved their condition (Figure 8A). Parallel histologic studies of colonic sections from Rag1−/− mice treated with 5-FU revealed fewer inflammatory cell infiltration and significantly lower pathological scores[35] compared to mice treated with PBS (Figure 8B, 8C). In addition, mice treated with 5-FU had significantly lower percentages of IL-17 cells and lower expression of T H 17 and T H 1 signature gene expression than PBS treated mice (Figure 8D, 8E).

To investigate the toxicity of high and low doses of 5-FU on intestinal mucosa, C57BL/6 mice were treated with either high dose 5-FU (50 mg/kg), low-dose 5-FU (10 mg/kg), or PBS as described above. As reported previously, high dose 5-FU significantly induced damage to intestinal mucosa; however, low dose 5-FU had no significant toxicity on intestinal mucosa (Supplementary Figure 4A, 4B), further confirming the different effect of high and low dose 5-FU on intestinal mucosa.

DISCUSSION

Chemotherapeutic drugs that are commonly used to treat cancer affect not only tumor cells but also immune cells, having a crucial impact on antitumor responses and disease outcome [27]. Although chemotherapeutics combat tumors and lead to their regression, the effects of chemotherapeutic drugs on the tumor microenvironment and the immune cells are not fully understood. In the present study, we found that low dose 5-FU selectively suppresses T H 17 and T H 1 cell differentiation without major effect on Treg, T H 2, T H 9, T H 22 cells, suggesting high and low dose 5-FU may have different effects on immune responses. Furthermore, we found that 5-FU inhibits STAT3 binding to the promoter regions of RORγt leading to the suppression of RORγt and IL-17 expression. In addition, 5-FU also suppresses the binding of STAT4 to
the promoter region of T-bet, resulting in the modulation of T_{H}1 cells. Low dose 5-FU significantly suppresses TS expression in T_{H}17 and T_{H}1 cells but it has no effect on TS expression in tumor cells and macrophages. Knockdown of TS in T cells resulted in the suppression of T_{H}17 and T_{H}1 cell differentiation and thymidylate can rescue T_{H}17 and T_{H}1 polarization suppressed by 5-FU. Finally, low dose 5-FU targeting TS is effective in ameliorating colitis development by suppressing T_{H}17 and T_{H}1 cell development in a T cell transfer colitis model. These results suggest that low dose 5-FU selectively suppressing T_{H}17 and T_{H}1 immune responses and highlight the potential of the anti-inflammatory activity of low dose 5-FU.

5-FU is an antimetabolite that kills rapidly proliferating cells at clinically used doses by inhibiting TS, which limits thymidine availability for DNA synthesis [27, 29, 30]. Since 5-FU can induce cell death of many
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**Figure 6: Knockdown of the TS suppresses T_{H}17 and T_{H}1 cell differentiation.** A. Naïve CD4+ T cells from C57BL/6 mice were transfected with TS siRNA or control siRNA and differentiated under T_{H}17 polarizing conditions for 72 hours. Cell lysates were analyzed by western blotting. The cells were cultured for 72 hours and then were re-stimulated with PMA/ionomycin for 5 hours, stained for intracellular IL-17, and analyzed by flow cytometry, and the supernatants were analyzed for IL-17 by ELISA. **p < 0.001 versus cells transfected with control siRNA.** B. Cells treated as in (A) for 48 instead of 72 hours; mRNA expression of indicated genes was determined by qPCR. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.001 versus cells transfected with control siRNA.** C. Naïve CD4+ T cells from C57BL/6 mice were transfected with TS siRNA or control siRNA and differentiated under T_{H}1 polarizing conditions for 72 hours and analyzed by flow cytometry and the supernatants were analyzed for IFN-γ by ELISA. **p < 0.001 versus cells transfected with control siRNA.** D. Cells as in (C) cultured for 48 hours; mRNA expression of indicated genes was analyzed by qPCR. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 versus cells transfected with control siRNA.** E. Naïve CD4+ T cells from C57BL/6 mice were transfected with TS siRNA or control siRNA and differentiated under T_{H}2 and Treg polarizing conditions for 72 hours and analyzed by flow cytometry. Cells treated as in (A) analyzed via ChIP assay. 3 µg of anti-STAT3 antibody or isotype-matched IgG as control antibody were used in the immunoprecipitation step. qPCR was used to quantify the amount of precipitated DNA with primers flanking the STAT3 binding site of the RORγt F. and the CNS2 of the IL-17 G. gene promoter region. **p < 0.01, **p < 0.001 versus cells transfected with control siRNA.
tumor cell lines, 5-FU alone or in combination with other drugs is used to treat cancer in a variety of tissues including breast, cervix, colon, stomach, head and neck [36], etc. However, in addition to killing tumor cells, clinic dose of 5-FU can also eliminate other proliferating cells in the bone marrow rapidly resulting in immune suppression. Various reports have shown that 5-FU can induce cell death of immune cells including T cells, B cells and myeloid-derived suppressor cells. These results indicate that 5-FU is toxic to immune cells at certain concentrations. However, it is still not clear what kinds of effects 5-FU will induce at lower concentrations. In the present study, we demonstrate that 5-FU at low doses not induce T cell death, yet selectively suppresses Th17 and Th1 cell differentiation. Taken together, the results suggest that low dose 5-FU may play a useful anti-inflammatory role by selectively suppressing Th17 and Th1 cells.

As mentioned previously, 5-FU is a small molecule with two well-defined mechanistic pathways: being able to irreversibly inhibit TS as well as substituting as uracil to prevent effective replication, transcription and translation [27]. Our results strongly suggest that 5-FU’s ability to inhibit TS is at least responsible for its selective suppression of Th17 and Th1 cell differentiation at low doses. After all, we demonstrate that TS is highly expressed by those two T effector cell types, and that such expression is conducive to their development. These results, however, do not preclude the uracil-mimic function of 5-FU from also being significant. Previous reports have demonstrated that different T helper subtypes may have different rates of metabolism, transcription, and translation [17, 18, 31, 37-40]. In particular, T effectors such as Th17 and Th1 were shown to have higher metabolism and transcription than Tregs. Given that more active cell types would also be more susceptible to mimic-induced instability, it is also possible that 5-FU may be

**Figure 7: 5-FU inhibition is rescued by thymidine.** A. Naïve CD4+ T cells from C57BL/6 mice were differentiated under Th17 polarizing conditions in the presence of 5-FU (1.0 µM) and thymidine (1.0 µM) for 3 days and then were re-stimulated with PMA/ionomycin for 5 hours, stained for intracellular IL-17, and analyzed by flow cytometry as shown. Supernatants from the cells were saved and tested via ELISA. The cells cultured for 48 hours and mRNA expression of the indicated genes was determined by qPCR. B. Repetition of (A) using Th1 polarizing conditions. C. Repetition of (A) and (B), except using Th2 polarizing conditions. D. Repetition of (A), (B), and (C) with Treg polarizing conditions instead. **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 versus cells without thymidine.
selecting against $T_{H17}$ and $T_{H1}$ cells through that manner to some extent. While it is theoretically possible that $T_{H17}$ and $T_{H1}$ cells have higher rates of 5-FU incorporation into their DNA, such an explanation seems unlikely given the unremarkable nature of the promoters of key $T_{H17}$ and $T_{H1}$ proteins as compared to $T_{H2}$ and Treg gene promoters. It may also be possible that high TS expression is indicative of the higher overall metabolism within $T_{H17}$ and $T_{H1}$ cells, since TS is in the salvage pathway, and not the classical purine catabolism pathway.

However, given the significant shift in TS expression that followed from the addition of 5-FU to $T_{H17}$ and $T_{H1}$ cells, TS is the more likely responsible for changes within those cells. If the selective effect of 5-FU on $T_{H1}$ and $T_{H17}$ cells was primarily a result of induced RNA and DNA damage, the rate of apoptosis would be much higher than observed. After all, other studies have demonstrated that 5-FU’s tumorcidal and general immunosuppressive abilities are a result of induced cell death [41-43]. The clinical dosage used in such studies, however, is over 10 times greater than that of our estimated threshold. At such high levels, large amount of 5-FU will enter the nuclear dNTP pool, and directly interfere with replication/transcription/translation. The inhibiting effect of 5-FU on TS, while also present, would be completely overshadowed. It may well be that at low levels of 5-FU, the molecule preferentially binds to TS over joining the dNTP pool. Our future experiments will further confirm this hypothesis.

It is well-known that STAT3 is critical for RORγt and IL-17 gene expression. In the present study, we demonstrated that 5-FU significantly suppressed inhibited

---

**Figure 8: Low dose 5-FU modulates $T_{H17}$ cell differentiation in vivo.** CD4+/CD45Rbhi T cells were purified from C57BL/6 mice and 5 x 10^5 cells were injected (i.p.) into recipient Rag1⁻/⁻ mice. Mice were treated with PBS or 5-FU (at 10 mg/kg body weight) every three days. Body weight change was monitored every week for 8 weeks. 

**A.** Changes in body weight of Rag1⁻/⁻ mice ($n$ = 5-6 mice per group) after transfer were recorded. ***$p < 0.001$ versus recipients of PBS treated group. 

**B.** Morphology of intestines and disease scores, *$p < 0.05$ versus recipients of PBS group; 

**C.** sections of colons with colitis from Rag1⁻/⁻ mice ($n$ = 5-6 mice in each group) 8 weeks after naïve T cell transfer as described above. Scale bar, 100 µM. 

**D.** The percentage of IL-17-producing cells from mesenteric lymph nodes of Rag1⁻/⁻ mice in PBS and 5-FU treated group. **$p < 0.01$ versus recipients of PBS treated group. 

**E.** Related mRNA expression of colon was determined by qPCR. *$p < 0.05$, versus recipients of PBS treated group.
STAT3 DNA binding activities in \( \text{T}_{\text{H}}^{17} \) cells. In addition, knocking-down TS in T cells significantly reduced STAT3 DNA binding activity. The results suggest that 5-FU-TS axis is clearly involved in the development of \( \text{T}_{\text{H}}^{17} \) cells. However, it is still not clear how 5-FU-TS axis regulate STAT3 activation. Our future study will focus on how the 5-FU-TS axis regulates STAT3 protein expression, phosphorylation and translocation.

Recently, several reports demonstrated that 5-FU selectively depletes myeloid derived suppressor cells (MDSC), a population of immature myeloid cells that can suppress T cell function [44-47]. Bruchard et al has shown that high dose 5-FU activates NLRP3-dependent inflammasome activity in MDSCs resulting in the production of IL-1\( \beta \) which can induce secretion of IL-17 by CD4\(^+\) cells.[47]. Interestingly, we found that low dose 5-FU (10 mg/kg) did not induce inflammasome activation in MDSCs leading to induction of IL-1\( \beta \) compared with high dose (50 mg/kg) in the same tumor-bearing mouse model (Supplementary Figure 5A, 5B, 5C, 5D). In addition, low dose 5-FU was not able to induce inflammasome activation in macrophages and dendritic cells (Supplementary Figure 5E). Our future studies will address the difference at the molecular levels between clinical usage and low dose of 5-FU in the modulation of immune cells.

As our results demonstrate, 5-FU is effective at selecting against \( \text{T}_{\text{H}}^{17} \) and \( \text{T}_{\text{H}}^{1} \) cell development \textit{in vivo} as well, leading to significant improvement in the condition of mice in colitis models. Given the increasing prevalence and paucity of treatment options for IBD, it may serve as a useful option to consider. 5-FU has the advantage of already being fairly well characterized in terms of potential toxicological effects and of being abundantly available. As such, one might also consider additional possibilities for the use of 5-FU in treating other immune disorders as well. For instance, dysregulated \( \text{T}_{\text{H}}^{17} \) and \( \text{T}_{\text{H}}^{1} \) immune responses have also been implicated to be of importance in MS and RA, and it may be that 5-FU could similarly ameliorate these conditions through \( \text{T}_{\text{H}}^{17} \) and \( \text{T}_{\text{H}}^{1} \) suppression. Other cell types that are mainly dependent on TS expression may also be suppressed with 5-FU treatment. Overall, our results demonstrate the potential therapeutic value of using a lower dosage of a broad immunosuppressant to uncover its selective ability and report a difference in the importance of the TS pathway among T helper cells that may also be exploitable through other strategies.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Mice

C57BL/6J and \( \text{Rag}^{1-/-} \) mice were obtained from Jackson laboratory and maintained in the barrier facility at the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai. To induce LLC tumor-bearing mice model, 5 x 10\(^8\) cells LLC cells were injected subcutaneously into mice. The animal study protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees of Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai and Medical College of Georgia.

### Reagents and antibodies

5-FU (F6627) and Thymidine (T1895) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The following Flow cytometry antibodies were purchased from BD-Biosciences (USA), and conjugated to FITC, PE, Cy5, PE-Cy7, PE-Cy7, PerCP-Cy5.5, PerCP-eFluor 710, eFluor 450 or APC: CD45RB (C363.16A), CD4 (L3T4), CD25 (PC61.5), CD44(M1/70), IL-17 (TC11-18H10), IFN-\( \gamma \) (XMG1.2), FOXP3 (FJK-16S) and isotype controls. Antibodies for ROR\( \gamma \) (B2D), Gr1 (RB6-8C5) and IL-4 (11B11) were purchased from eBioscience. FITC Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kit I (2393683) was purchased from BD Phamingen. Anti-ROR\( \gamma \), anti-T-bet (MBL), anti-Thymidylate Synthase, anti-STAT3, anti-pSTAT3, anti-STAT4 (Cell Signaling), anti-Caspase 1 (Millipore), anti-HA and anti-\( \beta \)-actin (Sigma) antibodies for western blotting were used according to the manufacturers’ instructions. Secondary antibodies were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.

### CD4\(^+\) T cell preparation and differentiation \textit{in vitro}

Naive CD4\(^+\) T cells (CD62L\(^+\)CD44\(^hi\)) were prepared by fluorescence-activated cell sorting from spleens and lymph nodes of C57BL/6 mice. The sorted cells were primed for 96 hrs with anti-CD3 (1 \( \mu \)g/ml; 145-2C11; BD Biosciences) and soluble anti-CD28 (2 \( \mu \)g/ml; 37.51; BD Biosciences). Cells stimulated under neutral conditions were defined as \( \text{T}_{\text{H}}^{0} \) cells. Cells were stimulated to differentiate into \( \text{T}_{\text{H}}^{1} \) cells by supplementation with IL-12 plus anti-IL-4 or into \( \text{T}_{\text{H}}^{2} \) cells by supplementation with IL-4 and anti-IFN-\( \gamma \). For \( \text{T}_{\text{H}}^{17} \) cell differentiation, cells were stimulated with transforming growth factor-\( \beta \) (5 ng/ml), IL-6 (20 ng/ml) and IL-23 (10 ng/ml; all from R&D Systems) and into Treg cells by supplementation with transforming growth factor-\( \beta \) (15 ng/ml).
Preparation of bone marrow derived macrophages

Bone marrow (BM) cells were isolated from tibias and femurs of C57BL/6 mice and the cells were cultured in complete DMEM supplemented with GM-CSF (10 ng/ml). On day 6 or 7, bone marrow derived macrophages (BMDMs) were harvested and then seeded in fresh complete DMEM medium at a density of 2 x 10^6 cells/ml for experiments.

Intracellular staining and flow cytometry

For T cells, cells were stimulated with PMA and ionomycin for 5 hrs in the presence of brefeldin A prior to intracellular staining. Cells were fixed with IC Fixation Buffer (BD Bioscience), incubated with permeabilization buffer, and stained with antibodies. For macrophages, bone marrow derived macrophages were activated with LPS (200 ng/ml) plus IFN-gamma (10 ng/ml) overnight and brefeldin A was added to the culture for 5 hrs prior to intracellular staining. Flow cytometry was performed on a FACS Calibur (BD Biosciences).

Transfection and luciferase reporter assay

For each transfection, 2.0 µg of plasmid was mixed with 100 µl of DMEM (without serum and antibiotics) and 4.0 µl of Lipofectamine™ 2000 reagent. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 20 minutes and added to 12-well plates containing cells and complete medium. The cells were incubated for 30 hrs and harvested using reporter lysis buffer (Promega) for determination of luciferase activity. Cells were co-transfected with a β-galactosidase reporter plasmid to normalize experiments for transfection efficiency.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay

The ChIP procedure was performed using an assay kit following the manufacturer’s instruction (EMD Millipore). Briefly, T_h17 and T_h1 cells were cross-linked by 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at 37°C. Nuclei were prepared and subjected to sonication to obtain DNA fragments. Chromatin fractions were precleared with protein A-agarose beads followed by immunoprecipitation overnight at 4°C with 3 µg of anti-STAT3 (Santa Cruz), anti-ROR gamma(t) (eBioscience), anti-STAT4 (Santa Cruz), or control antibody. Cross-linking was reversed at 65°C for 4 hrs, followed by proteinase K digestion. DNA was purified and subjected to qPCR. The input DNA was diluted 200 times prior to PCR amplification. The input and immunoprecipitated DNA were amplified by qPCR using primers encompassing the STAT3 or STAT4 binding sites of the mouse RORγt or T-bet promoter regions.

Thymidylate synthase SiRNA

Thymidylate Synthase Accell SMART pool small interfering RNA (siRNA) was transfected into cells according to manufacturer's (Dharmacon, Thermo Scientific) protocol. Briefly, Thymidylate Synthase Accell SMART pool siRNA was introduced to murine T cells in the Accell delivery medium. Delivery efficiency and siRNA specificity were tested by using Accell green (FITC) nontargeting control siRNA, GAPDH-specific siRNA, and an Accell nontargeting control siRNA (Dharmacon).

Cytokine ELISA

Supernatants from cell cultures were collected after activation under various conditions and secreted cytokines in the supernatants were measured by ELISA kits with purified coating and biotinylated detection antibodies: anti-IL-17, anti-IFN-γ (R & D systems), anti-IL-9, anti-IL-22 ,anti-IL-1β (e Bioscience) and anti-IL-4 (BD Bioscience).

RNA isolation and quantitative real-time RT-PCR (qPCR)

Total RNA was extracted using an RNeasy plus kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA) and cDNA was generated with an oligo (dT) primer and the Superscript II system (Invitrogen, USA) followed by analysis using iCycler PCR with SYBR Green PCR master Mix (Applied Biosystems). Results were normalized based on the expression of ubiquitin. The sequences of primers are shown in Supplementary Table 1.

T cell-transfer colitis

T cell transfer colitis was performed as previously described[48, 49]. Briefly, purified CD4^+CD45RB^hi T cells from WT mice were injected intraperitoneally into Rag1^−/− recipients (5 x 10^5 cells per mouse in 200 µl sterile PBS per injection). Mice were weighed every week throughout the course of experiments. The degree of inflammation in the epithelium, submucosa and submuscularis propria was scored separately as described previously[48].

Statistical analysis

The results are shown as means ± SD and statistical analysis was performed using Student's t-Test. Where more than two groups were compared, one way- ANOVA with Bonferroni’s correction were performed. p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We express our thanks to Dr. Feng Hong for technical support and Mr. Navapreet Tung for critical reading of the manuscript.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author contributions

JW and HX initiated the project. HX supervised the project. JW did most of the experiments. LP, RZ, ZZ, KC, MC, FX, DC, GL, JY, JY, HZ, MZ, AS, YH, CC, LL and KL performed experiments. YC, GB, SC, BJ gave important advice throughout the study. JW, BJ and HX wrote the manuscript.

GRANT SUPPORT

We H.X was supported by the NIH funding (P01 DK072201, R56AI091871 and RO1AI104688), Guangzhou Pilot Project of Clinical and Translational Research Center (early gastrointestinal cancers, No.7415696196402) and Guangdong Provincial Bioengineering Research Center of Gastroenterology.

REFERENCES

20. Sundrud MS, Koralov SB, Feuerer M, Calado DP, Kozhaya AE, Rhule-Smith A, Lefebvre RE, Unutmaz


25. Fitzpatrick LR. Inhibition of IL-17 as a pharmacological approach for IBD. International reviews of immunology. 2013; 32(5-6):544-555.


