Screening key microRNAs for castration-resistant prostate cancer based on miRNA/mRNA functional synergistic network
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ABSTRACT

High-throughput methods have been used to explore the mechanisms by which androgen-sensitive prostate cancer (ASPC) develops into castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC). However, it is difficult to interpret cryptic results by routine experimental methods. In this study, we performed systematic and integrative analysis to detect key miRNAs that contribute to CRPC development. From three DNA microarray datasets, we retrieved 11 outlier microRNAs (miRNAs) that had expression discrepancies between ASPC and CRPC using a specific algorithm. Two of the miRNAs (miR-125b and miR-124) have previously been shown to be related to CRPC. Seven out of the other nine miRNAs were confirmed by quantitative PCR (Q-PCR) analysis. MiR-210, miR-218, miR-346, miR-197, and miR-149 were found to be over-expressed, while miR-122, miR-145, and let-7b were under-expressed in CRPC cell lines. GO and KEGG pathway analyses revealed that miR-218, miR-197, miR-145, miR-122, and let-7b, along with their target genes, were found to be involved in the PI3K and AKT3 signaling network, which is known to contribute to CRPC development. We then chose five miRNAs to verify the accuracy of the analysis. The target genes of each miRNA were altered significantly upon transfection of specific miRNA mimics in the C4–2 CRPC cell line, which was consistent with our pathway analysis results. Finally, we hypothesized that miR-218, miR-145, miR-197, miR-149, miR-122, and let-7b may contribute to the development of CRPC through the influence of Ras, Rho proteins, and the SCF complex. Further investigation is needed to verify the functions of the identified novel pathways in CRPC development.

INTRODUCTION

Prostate cancer (PC) is the most commonly diagnosed malignancy and the second leading cause of cancer-related death in men in western countries [1–3]. The incidence and mortality rates of PC have increased dramatically in Asia in the last decade [4–6]. Patients who are not suitable for radical therapy are often treated with androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) and initially have a good response. However, nearly all of these patients eventually progress from androgen-sensitive prostate cancer (ASPC) into castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC), which no longer responds to ADT and is characterized by local relapse and distant metastasis. Although alternative strategies such as chemotherapy and radiotherapy have some effect on these cases, they do not significantly improve survival [1, 7, 8].
Mechanism studies indicate that androgen receptor (AR)-related signal pathways play important roles in CRPC development [9]. However, under castration, other pathways such as growth factors [10–14], Bcl-2 [15], and the Akt pathway [14, 16] can stimulate PC growth. This indicates that the process from ASPC to CRPC involves sophisticated networks including multiple genes and pathways. New methods, other than those currently provided that focus on single genes, are required to investigate interactions between multiple signalling pathways [17–23].

MicroRNAs (miRNAs), a class of small non-coding RNAs approximately 22 nucleotides in length, are known to play important regulatory roles through protein translation inhibition and/or targeting mRNA cleavage [24, 25]. MiRNAs are involved not only in normal cellular growth, differentiation, and apoptosis [26–28], but also in cancer development and progression [29–32]. Several genome-wide miRNA expression profile studies have been used to identify PC-specific miRNA signatures [30, 33–35]. In these studies, it has been found that miRNAs are differentially expressed in ASPC as compared to CRPC cell lines [33, 34]. MiRNAs contribute to CRPC development by regulating Bcl-2, AKT, or mTORs [36–38]. However, how miRNAs regulate these pathways is largely unknown.

In this study, we used a novel method called miRNA activity analysis (MIAA), which combines gene expression data with miRNA/mRNA interaction data, to identify condition-specific miRNA activity and to predict miRNA expression status and involved pathways in CRPC development. MIAA was first used to identify CRPC-related miRNA activity. We found that several miRNAs have an expression difference between CRPC and ASPC cell lines. Additionally, some of the related pathways have not yet been reported. The schematic pipeline including procedures for data analysis is presented in Figure 1.

**Figure 1: Schematic of the data analysis pipeline.** Gene expression profiles from three microarray datasets and miRNA-mRNA interaction data were combined as input data for further analysis with miRNA activity analysis (MIAA). After implementation of MIAA, three outlier miRNA lists were obtained. Subsequently, 11 outlier miRNAs were selected for follow-up by confirming their expression discrepancies in different PC cell lines by Q-PCR. These experimentally validated miRNAs are referred as “real” key miRNAs. Finally, target genes of these outlier miRNAs were further analyzed by GO annotation, KEGG and GeneGo pathway enrichment analysis, and miRNA-mRNA interaction network construction.
RESULTS

Differentially expressed miRNAs between ASPC and CRPC

In the MIAA study, when the Z-score threshold was set as 0.1, a total of 643 miRNAs were found to be differentially expressed in the three selected datasets. We then considered the top 5% (34 of 643, 5.3%) of miRNAs that had the highest Z-score as potential “real” key miRNAs. Among these 34 extracted miRNAs, 14 miRNAs showed an expression difference between any two datasets and 11 miRNAs showed a difference in all three datasets (Supplementary Table S1 and Figure S1). Therefore, we selected these 11 miRNAs as the most interesting miRNAs for further analysis. Among them, two miRNAs (miR-125b and miR-124) have been reported to be involved in the development of CRPC [33, 39], while the other nine miRNAs have not yet been reported to have expression differences between ASPC and CRPC. Thus, we decided to use a quantitative reverse transcription PCR (Q-PCR) assay to detect the expression status of these nine miRNAs in different PC cell lines. Another two extracted miRNAs (miRNA-585 and miRNA-149) which had the highest Z-score and were expressed in two datasets were also tested.

The majority of the selected miRNAs were experimentally verified to have expression differences between ASPC and CRPC

The expression of the 11 selected miRNAs, miR-1, miR-210, miR-218, miR-155, miR-145, miR-122, miR-197, miR-346, let-7b, miR-149, and miR-585, were then experimentally verified by Q-PCR in the ASPC cell line LNCaP versus the CRPC cell lines C4–2, CWR22Rv1, PC-3, and DU145.

As the results in Figure 2 show, 8 of the 11 tested miRNAs (73%) were confirmed to have an expression difference between the ASPC and CRPC cell lines. Among

Figure 2: Experimental verification of expression differences for the screened miRNAs between ASPC and CRPC cell lines. The expression status of 8 miRNAs, miR-210, miR-197–3p, miR-149–5p, miR-346, miR-218–5p, let-7b-5p, miR-145–5p, and miR-122–5p, was determined in LNCap, C4–2, CWR22Rv1, PC-3, and DU-145 human PC cell lines. The expression status of these miRNAs was normalized against U6 snRNA expression. Data are represented as the mean ± SD of three biological and three technical replicates. * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001.
these eight miRNAs, miR-210, miR-218, miR-346, miR-197, and miR-149 were up-regulated, while miR-122, miR-145, and let-7b were down-regulated in the CRPC cell lines. Expression of the other three (miRNA-1, miRNA-155, and miRNA-585) did not show statistically significant differences (data not shown). Along with miR-125b and miR-124, which were confirmed in published data [33, 39], 10 candidate miRNAs that were predicted by our MIAA model have been shown to have expression differences between ASPC and CRPC cell lines. However, except for miR-125b and miR-124, how these eight miRNAs are involved in pathways that contribute to CRPC transformation has not yet been reported.

Integrative analysis revealed that novel miRNAs are involved in biological networks related to CRPC transformation

We performed Gene Ontology (GO) analysis, pathway enrichment analysis, and miRNA-mRNA interaction network construction analysis to investigate how these MIAA-derived and Q-PCR confirmed key miRNAs affect CRPC development.

The GO annotation results are summarized at three different levels, and the 10 most highly enriched items for each domain are presented in Figure 3. We found that these miRNAs were correlated with several CRPC-associated biological processes [40].

Meanwhile, we utilized the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) and MetaCore™ to map these outlier miRNAs to KEGG and GeneGo pathways (see Supplementary Table S2 and Table S3). The top 15 highly enriched KEGG pathways, which included AKT signaling, the cell cycle, and apoptosis pathways, were plotted (Figure 4, left panel).

In the 20 most highly enriched pathways from the GeneGo Database (Figure 4, right panel), we found that these outlier miRNAs involved pathways, including AKT signaling, the cell cycle (including the Skp1-cullin-F-box ubiquitin ligase (SCF) complex), and proteins related to the G1/S transition (including Ras and Rho proteins), were enriched in a series of cancers, especially in PC. Seven pathways (including the cell cycle and AKT signaling pathway) have previously been reported to contribute to CRPC development [40–44] (Supplementary Table S4). Thus, we believe that the other 13 novel pathways could also be potential key pathways that contribute to CRPC development. However, their functions have not yet been reported and need further clarifying.

The AKT pathway is correlated with PC progression, so we first chose the AKT pathway as our target to construct an miRNA-mRNA interaction network to investigate how miRNAs regulate their target gene. The results showed that miR-218, miR-197, miR-145, miR-122, and let-7b, along with their target genes, fit well into the PI3K and AKT3 signal networks, which are parts of the AKT signal pathway (Figure 5A). We then constructed two novel pathways, which consisted of miR-218, miR-197, miR-145, miR-122, let-7b, and miR-149. Our results showed that they may also contribute to the development of CRPC through their target gene Ras, Rho proteins (Figure 5B), and the SCF complex (Figure 5C). However, how these outlier miRNAs regulate Rho proteins and the SCF complex, which in turn regulate PC progression, has not yet been studied.
transfection of miR-218, miR-122, miR-197, or let7b, their target proteins were altered significantly (Figure 6B–6E), results that are in accordance with the constructed map in Figure 5.

**DISCUSSION**

The mechanism of the development of castration-resistance has been proposed to be largely related to AR itself or AR-regulated genes. As for the AR gene, the mechanism may be via gene amplification, mutations, epigenetic modification of AR activity, splice variants, or co-activators/co-repressors that stimulate CRPC cell growth [45]. As for AR-regulated genes, abnormal expression of these genes under castration could stimulate CRPC cell growth by modifying cell proliferation, the cell cycle, or apoptosis [46]. Current strategies to fight CRPC either focus on targeting AR itself or its downstream genes [47]. A third potential strategy could be targeting the miRNAs noted in this study, which serve as bridges between AR and downstream target genes or non-AR pathways including Akt pathways. However, the key
Figure 5: Examples of key miRNAs and their related pathways. Three enriched GeneGo pathways from Figure 4 were retrieved and reconstructed, and the miRNA-mRNA regulation pairs were also appended. A. The AKT signaling pathway. The target genes and their related network of miR-218, miR-197, miR-145, miR-122, and let-7b were found to consist of PI3K and the AKT3 signaling network, which is part of the AKT signaling pathway. B. Influence of Ras and Rho proteins on the G1/S Transition. C. The role of the SCF complex in cell cycle regulation. MiR-218, miR-145, miR-197, miR-149, miR-122, and let-7b were indicated to influence the function of Ras and Rho proteins and the SCF complex and thus could contribute to the development of CRPC. D. The legend for the symbols included in these pathway maps.
miRNAs that are responsible for the development of ASPC into CRPC are still largely unclear.

The ideal method for screening CRPC-related miRNAs is to perform miRNA microarray analysis using two prostate tissue samples, one from ASPC and the other from CRPC, from the same patient. However, such tissue samples are difficult to obtain. Thus, published studies usually use ASPC vs. CRPC cell lines for miRNA microarray analysis [33, 34]. However, these studies cannot fully reflect the miRNA changes in PC patients since PC tumors are heterogenic. Although several microarray analyses have focused on differential gene expression between different human ASPC and CRPC samples, the results derived from these assays, especially from genes presumed to be down- or up-regulated, can be quite cryptic and require intensive follow-up studies to confirm the function of candidate genes one by one with traditional experiments. Therefore, using new methods that can predict the function of candidate genes prior to experimental analysis may save time and effort by indicating what should be prioritized for further study. These considerations prompted us to screen key miRNAs correlated with CRPC using bioinformatics methods.

In this study, we retrieved gene expression information from three DNA microarray datasets and extracted the top 5% significantly changed miRNAs for further study. Our results showed that 11 miRNAs were differentially expressed between ASPC and CRPC cell lines. Two of the 11 retrieved miRNAs, miRNA-125b [35, 48] and miRNA-124 [49], have been shown to be up-regulated in CRPC cell lines. Nine miRNAs which have not been investigated in CRPC previously were confirmed to have expression differences between ASPC and CRPC cell lines in our experiments. The fact that miR-218, miR-149, and miR-145 have been found to be differentially expressed in a number of tumors [50, 51] suggests that they may have important roles in the development of CRPC.

Using GO analysis [52], we found that these validated miRNAs were correlated with several CRPC-associated biological processes, such as the cell cycle and AKT signaling [6, 16, 41]. We then applied pathway analysis and regulatory network analysis using the KEGG database, which contains the current knowledge on gene and molecular interactions. We found that these outlier miRNAs involved pathways that were enriched in PC: the cell cycle [53, 54] and MAPK signaling pathways [55] may play crucial roles in the development of CRPC, and some cell cycle-related genes, such as cyclin G1, cyclin D1, and cyclin D3, can be regulated by miRNA-122 and let-7b [54, 56], which provide additional evidence that the results of our MIAA analysis are truly correlated with CRPC development.

Since miR-145 is predicted to be a key miRNA and is down-regulated in CRPC, theoretically it should be a tumor suppressor in CRPC. A western blot assay showed that miR-145 targets c-MYC and CDKN1A, which is consistent with the constructed map. C-MYC is an overexpressed oncogene in PC [57] and has been reported to promote the progression of CRPC [58]. Interestingly, although this finding is consistent with the constructed pathway map, CDKN1A induces cell cycle arrest, which is contrary to our hypothesis, and a previously study showed that miR-145 induces cell cycle arrest in PC [59]. Another study showed that miR-145 does inhibit CDKN1A, but also induces major pro-apoptosis molecules. Consequently, the response of the anti-proliferation effect of miR-145 is mainly due to apoptosis rather than cell cycle arrest [60]. This finding reflects the sophisticated miRNA/mRNA interaction network in CRPC.

On the other hand, Ras has been reported to contribute to the castration-resistance transformation of PC [61]. Along with its oncogenic role in other cancers, Ras was once regarded as a therapeutic target of interest. However, no agent has yet been developed to target it [62]. Modulating upstream miRNAs to target Ras might be a better choice. Rho is involved in AR activation and cell invasion in PC [63–67]. The SCF complex is involved in the cell cycle, apoptosis, and signaling pathways in several cancers [68, 69]. Our analysis results also indicated that miR-218, miR-197, miR-145, miR-122, let-7b, and miR-149 might contribute to the development of CRPC through their target genes Ras, Rho, and the SCF complex. However, how these miRNAs regulate the

Figure 6: Experimental spot checking of key miRNAs in CRPC cells. Among the 8 key miRNAs, miR-145, miR-218, miR-122, miR-197, and let7b were selected to check their roles in CRPC. C4–2 cells were transfected with either miRNA mimics or negative control. Western blot analyses revealed that upon transfection of miRNA mimics, their target proteins were altered (increased or decreased) significantly A–E.
function of these targets in CRPC development is still largely unknown. Our findings suggest that these should be followed up in further experiments.

In summary, we used the MIAA method to extract miRNAs that correlated with CRPC. We confirmed several miRNAs that have expression discrepancies between ASPC and CRPC cell lines. We also investigated several novel pathways potentially involved in CRPC development. We believe that these predicted novel pathways should be prioritized for further study in the development of CRPC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Retrieval of PC gene expression microarray data

Publicly available datasets were retrieved from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) as raw data files. In order to locate microarray results from PC samples, “prostate cancer” and “DNA microarray” were used as search keywords. Then, we chose data derived from ASPC vs. CRPC samples. In total, three datasets, summarized in Table 1, could be used for further study [70–72].

Screening of key miRNAs with MIAA

MIAA is a simple but effective method previously developed to combine miRNA outlier activities with a disease diagnosis [31, 73, 74]. In the present study, it was applied to the analysis of gene expression discrepancies between the selected ASPC and CRPC datasets. The general procedures of MIAA were carried out as follows: (a) the human miRNA-mRNA target network was reconstructed by integrating the miRNA-mRNA binding data from 4 experimentally validated datasets (miRecords, Tarbase, miR2Disease, and miRTarbase) and 3 computationally predicted datasets (HOCTAR, ExprTargetDB, and starBase); (b) outlier genes were detected from the 3 abovementioned gene expression datasets; (c) a conditional sub-network was constructed for the intersection between outlier genes and the whole human miRNA-mRNA targeted network; and (d) the probability of outlier activity for each candidate miRNA was calculated using the following formula: Z-score = α/β, where α represents the number of outlier genes exclusively targeted by a specific miRNA and β represents the number of all outlier genes targeted by the same specific miRNA (α, β > 1).

All Z-score values of involved miRNAs were calculated. Only those miRNAs that presented with a higher Z-score than 0.1 were included as potential interesting outlier miRNAs. The outliers ranking in the top 5% were extracted as candidate miRNAs since numerous miRNAs were found to have expression differences in ASPC vs. CRPC cell lines.

Cell culture

Five human PC cell lines, including one ASPC line (LNCaP) and four CRPC lines (C4-2, CWR22Rv1, PC-3, and DU-145), were used in this study. The cell lines were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA) and maintained in RPMI-1640 media (Gibco) with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco), 1% streptomycin-penicillin (Invitrogen), and 1% L-glutamine (Invitrogen) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% carbon dioxide.

Q-PCR

Total RNA from cultured cells was extracted by TRizol reagent (Invitrogen, China). RNA (500 ng) was subjected to reverse transcription using the PrimeScript® RT reagent kit (TaKaRa, China). MiRNA stem-loop primers were purchased from Guangzhou Ribo Bio Company. Q-PCR was performed using SYBR® Premix Ex Taq™ (TaKaRa, China) on an Applied Biosystems 7500 real-time PCR machine (ABI). The relative expression of each miRNA was normalized against U6 snRNA. Each reaction was run at least in triplicate. Fold expression change was calculated according to the 2-ΔΔCt method [75].

Functional synergistic analysis of key miRNAs

Genes targeted by these experimentally validated key miRNAs were retrieved first, followed by GO and pathway analysis to explore the function of these genes in the transformation from ASPC into CRPC. DAVID was used for GO annotation and KEGG pathway analysis at three levels: molecular function, biological process, and cellular component. The top ten highly enriched items for each domain are presented.
Another pathway source, MetaCore™, was used for GeneGo pathway mapping analysis. The significantly mapped pathways (P-Value < 0.05) were further confirmed via an NCBI PubMed literature exploration and miRNA-mRNA interaction network construction analysis. Finally, interesting miRNA-mRNA signal networks were investigated.

miRNA mimics and transfection

For transient transfection, cells at 50% confluence were transfected with miRNA mimics or control mimics (Qiagen) using Lipofectamine3000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Western blot analysis

Cells were washed with PBS and lysed in RIPA buffer. Proteins were separated on an SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to PVDF membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA). The membranes were blocked in 5% non-fat milk in PBST for 1 h at room temperature and then incubated with diluted primary antibodies against GAPDH (Santa Cruz, #sc-166574), c-MYC (Cell Signaling, #9402S), CDKN1A (Cell Signaling, #2947P), β-Actin (Cell Signaling, #4970L) TOB1 (Proteintech, #14915–1-AP), RAC1 (Proteintech, #24072–1-AP), FOXO3 (Cell Signaling, #12829S), or ANAPC1(Proteintech, #21748–1-AP) overnight at 4°C. The blots were incubated with HRP conjugated secondary antibody for 1 h at room temperature, washed, and developed in the ECL system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

Statistical analysis

Graphpad Prism 5.0 software was used for data analysis. Data are presented as mean ± SD. Statistical analyses were performed using Student’s t-test. The values were considered as statistically significant if the P-value was less than 0.05.
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