Potentiation of the anticancer effects of everolimus using a dual mTORC1/2 inhibitor in hepatocellular carcinoma cells
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ABSTRACT

There is lots of evidence to support the critical involvement of mTOR signaling in the carcinogenesis of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). However, it has not been determined how the roles of individual mTORC1 and mTORC2 inhibitors played in the HCC therapeutics. We thus compared the effects of everolimus, Ku0063794, and a combination of the two therapies on HCC cells, using various in vitro studies (HepG2, Hep3B, and Huh7 cells), ex vivo culturing of HCC tissues obtained from patients, and the in vivo mouse xenograft model of HCC cells. Our in vitro, ex vivo, and in vivo experiments consistently demonstrated that everolimus and Ku0063794 combination therapy was superior to individual monotherapies, as manifested by higher reduction of proliferation, migration, and invasion of HCC cells, and the higher inhibition of EMT process as well. Although individual monotherapies could not inhibit SIRT1 (positive regulator of EMT) expression, the combination therapy significantly inhibited SIRT1 expression. However, overexpression of SIRT1 mitigated the EMT-inhibiting effect of the combination therapy, suggesting that the combination therapy inhibits the EMT by way of suppressing SIRT1 expression. Therefore, when considering everolimus as an anti-HCC agent, the improved anticancer effects provided by combining it with an inhibitor of both mTORC1 and mTORC2 should be recognized.

INTRODUCTION

The prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) remains poor, with the majority of patients presenting with advanced disease and/or unable to be rendered disease-free with surgical treatment. Sorafenib is the only drug approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of advanced HCC [1, 2]; however, its broader use is limited by its adverse effects and acquired resistance. As many as 28.9% of patients with Child-Pugh A liver cirrhosis discontinue sorafenib because of adverse events, such as hand-foot skin reactions, skin rash, diarrhea, fatigue, and hair loss [3]. Therefore, many investigators are attempting to develop novel therapeutic strategies to compensate for the shortcomings of sorafenib and to broaden its therapeutic range as well.

Mammalian targets of the rapamycin (mTOR) signaling pathway have received considerable attention because of its critical role in cell growth control. An increasing amount of literature supports the critical involvement of mTOR signaling in HCC carcinogenesis [4–8]. It was demonstrated that mTOR activation by single-gene knockout of liver-specific Tsc1 led to development of HCC [9]. Subsequent preclinical models...
showed a positive relationship between mTOR activation and HCC recurrence [5–7]. In the clinical practice, mTOR hyperactivity was observed in up to 45% patients with HCC, and patients with mTOR hyperactivity showed a tendency toward less differentiated tumors, early recurrence, and poor prognosis [7, 8].

mTOR is a serine/threonine kinase that includes two distinct complexes, mTORC1 and mTORC2 [10, 11]. Where mTORC1 controls cell proliferation by phosphorylating S6K1 and 4E-BP1, mTORC2 controls it by phosphorylating Akt [10, 12–17]. Overexpression of downstream mTORC1 effectors (S6K1 and 4E-BP1) leads to poor cancer prognosis [18]. Hyperactivity of Akt has been considered as the major causative factor in many types of cancer because Akt promotes cellular survival by inhibiting apoptosis [10, 19]. Therefore, targeting either mTORC1 or mTORC2 has been spotlighted as one of the major anticancer strategies.

Everolimus is a macrolide immunosuppressant derived from rapamycin that binds to FK binding protein (FKBP). The everolimus-FKBP complex binds and inhibits the action of mTORC1 [20–23]. In the in vivo preclinical studies, everolimus prevented HCC progression and potentially improved survival in the rodent models of HCCs [5–7], and thus phase 2 and 3 clinical trials are currently underway [24]. However, since everolimus only targets mTORC1 of the two mTOR complexes, there has been controversy on whether everolimus confer sufficient anticancer activities or not [10]. Unlike everolimus, Ku0063794 is a highly specific small-molecule inhibiting both mTORC1 and mTORC2. Therefore, in this study, we were intended to determine the most effective application of mTOR inhibitors for HCC treatment by comparing the therapeutic potential of everolimus, Ku0063794, and a combination of the two drugs.

RESULTS

Effects of everolimus, Ku0063794, and their combination on cell proliferation and the EMT of HCC cell lines

We first investigated the effects of everolimus and Ku0063794 on the proliferation and epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT) of HCC cell lines. We examined two HCC cell lines, HepG2 (wild-type p53) and Hep3B (deleted p53) cells, with different p53 statuses. Everolimus modestly decreased the proliferation of both HCC cell lines according to the dose and duration, and the differences in the proliferation were only significant in higher concentrations (1, 10, and 20 μM) (Figure 1A, 1B). We then performed western blot analyses to determine the effects of everolimus on EMT markers (Figure 1C, 1D). It was found that Everolimus could not inhibit the EMT as manifested by a lower expression of E-cadherin and a higher expression of Snail in both HepG2 and Hep3B cells. Ku0063794 exhibited similar effects as everolimus in both proliferation assay and western blot analyses of EMT markers: The anti-proliferative effect of Ku0063794 appears to be slightly better than that of everolimus (Figure 1E, 1F). In addition, Ku0063794 could not inhibit the EMT completely, especially in HepG2 cells, as manifested by a lower expression of E-cadherin and a higher expression of Snail (Figure 1G, 1H).

Next, we investigated the combination effects of everolimus and Ku0063794 on HCC cells (HepG2 and Hep3B cells). Whereas the monotherapies required higher concentrations to inhibit HCC proliferation, even lower concentrations of combination therapy could significantly reduce HCC cell proliferation (P-values < 0.05) (Figure 2A, 2B). In contrast to individual monotherapies, the combination therapy significantly inhibited the EMT of HCC cells, as manifested by higher expression of E-cadherin and lower expression of Snail (P-values < 0.05) (Figure 2C, 2D).

Finally, we directly compared the effects of everolimus, Ku0063794, and their combination therapy on the expression of EMT markers in HCC cells (Figure 2E, 2F). The combination therapy most significantly inhibited the EMT of HCC cells than the monotherapies, as manifested by the highest expression of E-cadherin and the lowest expression of N-cadherin, Snail, and vimentin (P-values < 0.05). We also have shown that Huh7 cells (well differentiated HCC cells) showed the similar results as HepG2 and Hep3B cells (Supplementary Figure S1). In addition, we reaffirmed that the combination therapy inhibits the EMT process more than individual monotherapies after investigating other EMT-related proteins, such as matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2), tissue inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinase-1 (TIMP-1), and α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) (Supplementary Figure S2).

Effects of everolimus, Ku0063794, and their combination on cell migration and invasion of HCC cells

To determine the effects of everolimus, Ku0063794, and their combination on cell migration of HepG2 cells, we conducted a wound-healing assay (Figure 3A top). It was found that individual monotherapies significantly reduced the migration of HepG2 cells (P-values < 0.05); however, the combination therapy even significantly reduced the migration of HepG2 cells, even when it was compared to the individual monotherapies (P-values < 0.05).

Next, we performed transwell invasion assay to determine the effects of these regimens on cell invasion of HepG2 cells (Figure 3A bottom). Although individual monotherapies significantly reduced the invasion of HepG2 cells (P-values < 0.05), the combination therapy significantly reduced the invasion of HepG2 cells, even when it was compared to the individual monotherapies (P-values < 0.05). We have attained the similar results
Figure 1: Effects of everolimus and Ku0063794 on cell proliferation and EMT of HCC cells. (A, B) Cell proliferation assay showing proliferation of HepG2 (A) and Hep3B (B) cells according to the dose and duration of everolimus. Everolimus decreased the proliferation of both HCC cells in a dose- and time-dependent manner, especially in higher concentrations. (C, D) [Top] Western blot analyses showing the expression of EMT markers (E-cadherin and Snail) in HepG2 (C) and Hep3B (D) cells according to the increasing concentration of everolimus. [Bottom] Relative densities of EMT markers which had been quantified using Image J software and normalized to that of β-actin in each group. Everolimus could not inhibit the EMT as manifested by a lower expression of E-cadherin and a higher expression of Snail. (E, F) Cell proliferation assay showing proliferation of HepG2 (E) and Hep3B (F) cells according to the dose and duration of Ku0063794. Ku0063794 decreased the proliferation of both HCC cells in a dose- and time-dependent manner, especially in higher concentrations. (G, H) [Top] Western blot analyses showing the expression of EMT markers (E-cadherin and Snail) in HepG2 (G) and Hep3B (H) cells according to the increasing concentration of Ku0063794. [Bottom] Relative densities of EMT markers in each group. Ku0063794 could not inhibit the EMT completely, especially in HepG2 cells, as manifested by a lower expression of E-cadherin and a higher expression of Snail. Each data point represents the mean ± SD of three independent experiments. *P < 0.05 vs. control, †P < 0.05 vs. control.
Figure 2: Effects of the combination therapy of everolimus and Ku0063794 on cell proliferation and EMT of HCC cells. 

(A, B) Cell proliferation assay showing proliferation of HepG2 (A) and Hep3B (B) cells according to the dose and duration of everolimus and Ku0063794 combination therapy. The combination therapy significantly decreased the proliferation of both HepG2 and Hep3B cells in a dose- and time-dependent manner.

(C, D) [Top] Western blot analyses showing the expression of EMT markers (E-cadherin and Snail) in HepG2 (C) and Hep3B (D) cells according to the increasing concentration of everolimus and Ku0063794 combination therapy. [Bottom] Relative densities of EMT markers in each group. The combination therapy dose-dependently inhibited the EMT of both HCC cells, as manifested by higher expression of E-cadherin and lower expression of Snail.

(E, F) [Top] Western blot analyses showing the expression of EMT markers in HepG2 (E) and Hep3B (F) cells according to everolimus, Ku0063794, and their combination therapies. [Bottom] Relative densities of EMT markers in each group. Although each monotherapy could not inhibit the EMT completely, the combination therapy significantly inhibited the EMT of both HCC cells, which was manifested by higher expression of E-cadherin and lower expression of N-cadherin, Snail, and vimentin. Each data point represents the mean ± SD of three independent experiments. *P < 0.05 vs. control, †P < 0.05 vs. control.
from the experiments using Hep3B (Figure 3B) and Huh7 cells (Supplementary Figure S3).

**SIRT1 overexpression assay to determine the effects of combination therapy depending on SIRT1 expression**

SIRT1 protein is a positive regulator of EMT and tumor metastasis; higher SIRT1 expression relates to tumor progression, and lower SIRT1 expression relates to tumor regression [25]. We investigated the effects of everolimus, Ku0063794, and their combination on the expression of SIRT1 (Figure 4A). Western blot analyses of HepG2 cells showed that, at most concentrations, everolimus or Ku0063794 monotherapies could not inhibit SIRT1 expression. However, everolimus and Ku0063794 combination therapy inhibited the SIRT1 expression in a dose-dependent manner (P-values < 0.05).

To determine the effects of the combination therapy of everolimus and Ku0063794 on the EMT according to SIRT1 expression, we generated SIRT1-overexpressing HepG2 cells. SIRT1-overexpressing HepG2 cells were induced by transfecting HepG2 cells with pcDNA-SIRT1 (Figure 4B). The control group was transfected with empty pcDNA3.1 vector. Western blot analyses indicated that the combination therapy significantly inhibited the EMT process, which was manifested by higher expression of E-cadherin and lower expression of Snail in the control HepG2 cells (P-values < 0.05); however, the combination therapy could not inhibit EMT in SIRT1-overexpressing HepG2 cells (Figure 4C). These results suggest that the combination therapy inhibits EMT of HepG2 cells by way of inhibiting SIRT1.

Immunofluorescences of E-cadherin and Snail were also performed to clarify the relationship between the combination therapy and SIRT1 expression (Figure 4D). The combination therapy significantly inhibited the EMT process, as manifested by higher expression of E-cadherin and lower expression of Snail in the control HepG2 cells (P-values < 0.05); however, the combination therapy could not inhibit EMT in SIRT1-overexpressing HepG2 cells (Figure 4C). Taken altogether, our results suggest that everolimus and Ku0063794 combination therapy inhibits the EMT process by lowering SIRT1 expression. We have attained the similar results from the experiments using SIRT1-overexpressing Hep3B and Huh7 cells, respectively (Supplementary Figures S4 and S5).

**Effects of everolimus, Ku0063794, and their combination on the expression of SIRT1 and EMT markers in the ex vivo model of HCCs**

To validate the clinical applicability of our experiments, we performed comparative western blotting analysis of paired surgical specimens (HCC tissues and non-cancerous liver tissues from the same patients) obtained from 6 patients undergoing hepatectomy due to HCC. We first compared the expression of SIRT1 and EMT markers in the paired surgical specimens (Figure 5A). Compared to the noncancerous liver tissues obtained from the same patients, the HCC tissues exhibited higher expression of SIRT1. In addition, the HCC tissues exhibited remarkable EMT process, manifested by lower expression of an epithelial marker (E-cadherin) and higher expression of mesenchymal markers (vimentin and Snail).

Next, we investigated the effects of everolimus, Ku0063794, and their combination on SIRT1 expression and EMT markers in the ex vivo culture of HCC tissues obtained from patients undergoing hepatectomy (paired normal liver and HCC tissues from each patient, n = 10) (Figure 5B). Compared to individual monotherapies, the combination therapy significantly reduced the expression of SIRT1, and inhibited the EMT process, as manifested by higher expression of E-cadherin and lower expression of Snail (P-values < 0.05).

**Effects of everolimus, Ku0063794, and their combination on the growth of HepG2 xenograft tumors**

Our in vitro and ex vivo experiments strongly suggested that everolimus and Ku0063794 combination therapy had anticancer effects against HCC cells. Therefore, we examined the potential of everolimus and Ku0063794, individually or in combination, to inhibit the growth of HepG2 cells in a nude mouse xenograft tumor model. After everolimus (0.5 mg/kg/day) and Ku0063794 (1 mg/kg/day) were administrated intraperitoneally every day for 3 weeks, mice were sacrificed and the tumors were collected. Images of the tumors before and after necropsy showed that tumor shrinkage was more prominent in mice treated with combination therapy than in the mice treated with the individual monotherapies (Figure 6A). Mice treated with combination therapy exhibited a statistically significant reduction in tumor volume compared with mice treated with the individual monotherapies (P < 0.05) (Figure 6B). In addition, a more considerable reduction in tumor weight was observed in mice treated with combination therapy relative to mice treated with individual monotherapies (P < 0.05) (Figure 6C). The average body weight of mice in each treatment group did not vary significantly throughout the experiment (Figure 6D). These results indicated that everolimus and Ku0063794 combination therapy has the potential of reducing the growth of HepG2 xenografts in nude mouse without causing any observable side effects.

**DISCUSSION**

We set out our study to determine whether Ku0063794 would be a more effective inhibitor of HCC progression than everolimus. Unexpectedly, we found...
the potentiated anticancer effects by combining these two mTOR inhibitors. Our in vitro, ex vivo, and in vivo experiments consistently demonstrated that everolimus and Ku0063794 combination therapy was superior to individual monotherapies, as manifested by higher reduction of proliferation, migration, and invasion of HCC cells, and the higher inhibition of EMT process as well. SIRT1 protein is a positive regulator of EMT and tumor metastasis. Although individual monotherapies could not inhibit SIRT1 expression, the combination therapy significantly inhibited SIRT1 expression in a dose-dependent manner. However, overexpression of SIRT1 mitigated the EMT-inhibiting effect of the combination therapy, suggesting that the combination therapy inhibits

Figure 3: Effects of everolimus, Ku0063794, and their combination on cell migration and invasion of HCC cells. (A) [Top] Wound-healing assay (magnification, × 200, scale bar 50 μM) showing that everolimus and Ku0063794 combination therapy significantly inhibited the migration of HepG2 cells as compared to the individual monotherapies ($P < 0.05$). The wound area was photographed under phase-contrast microscopy before and 24 h after treatment, and cell migration was determined as [(initial area - final area)/initial area] × 100. [Bottom] Transwell invasion assay (magnification, × 100, scale bar 20 μM) showing that everolimus and Ku0063794 combination therapy significantly inhibited the invasion of HepG2 cells as compared to the individual monotherapies ($P < 0.05$). (B) [Top] Wound-healing assay (magnification, × 200) showing that everolimus and Ku0063794 combination therapy significantly inhibited the migration of Hep3B cells as compared to the individual monotherapies ($P < 0.05$). [Bottom] Transwell invasion assay (magnification × 100) showing that everolimus and Ku0063794 combination therapy significantly inhibited the invasion of Hep3B cells as compared to the individual monotherapies ($P < 0.05$). Each data point represents mean ± SD of three independent experiments. *$P < 0.05$ vs. control.
the EMT in part by way of suppressing SIRT1 expression. Taken together, the data from our study suggest that the combination of everolimus and Ku0063794 potentiates the anticancer effects on HCCs through a decrease in EMT, which is prompted by SIRT-1 downregulation.

Everolimus is an mTORC1 inhibitor derived from rapamycin. Large-scale randomized controlled trials have demonstrated that everolimus prolongs the survival of patients with solid cancers, such as advanced breast cancer, renal cell carcinoma, and several kinds of neuroendocrine...
tumor [26–29]. Researchers revealed several essential anticancer mechanisms of everolimus. First, everolimus stops the cell cycle late in G1 and thus can induce G1 cell-cycle arrest of proliferating cancer cells. The cell cycle arrest by everolimus is mediated by suppression of 4E-BP1 which is known to be the critical regulator of tumor proliferation [30]. Second, everolimus inhibits expression of anti-apoptotic proteins by activating the c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) pathway [31, 32]. Third, everolimus directly induces autophagy by dephosphorylating autophagy-related gene 13 (Atg13), abandoning its ability to bind to ULK1, which is an essential step of autophagosome biogenesis [33]. Activation of autophagy by everolimus protect against DNA damage and thus can contribute to inhibit cancer progression.

Our research is especially important because it provides a way of promoting the response rate of everolimus. Several preclinical studies using in vitro and in vivo experimental models demonstrated significant anticancer activity of everolimus against HCC cells [5, 7, 33]. However, reviews of clinical studies identified that a major drawback in the clinical application of everolimus appears to be its lower response rate [24]. A phase I/II trial of everolimus for HCC showed that the response rate of everolimus was only 4% [34]. Therefore, clinical application of everolimus essentially requires the way of promoting its response rate. Our study demonstrated the combining everolimus with Ku0063794 provided enhanced anticancer effects against HCC cells in terms of higher inhibition of proliferation, migration, and invasion of HCC cells. Furthermore, although everolimus could not inhibit the EMT process, the combination therapy strongly inhibited the EMT process of HCC cells. We thus think that combining everolimus with an mTORC2 inhibitor, such as Ku0063794, would be a preferred way of promoting the response rate of everolimus.

One possible explanation for the lower response rate of everolimus is that everolimus inhibits mTORC1, but not mTORC2. It has been proposed that everolimus resistance develops due to compensatory activation of mTORC2 signaling during treatment with everolimus [35]. Therefore, in this study, we compared the anticancer effects of everolimus and Ku0063794 (an inhibitor of both of mTORC1 and mTORC2). Ku0063794 is known to inhibit the phosphorylation of both S6K1 and 4E-BP1 (the downstream substrates of mTORC1) and Akt on Ser473 (the target of mTORC2) [36]. Unexpectedly, we found that the anticancer effect of Ku0063794 was not significantly better than that of everolimus; similar to everolimus, Ku0063794 failed to suppress the EMT of HCC cells. We thus think that combining everolimus with an mTORC2 inhibitor, such as Ku0063794, would be a preferred way of promoting the response rate of everolimus.

Figure 5: Effects of everolimus, Ku0063794, and their combination on the expression of SIRT1 and EMT markers in the ex vivo model of HCCs. (A) [Left] Western blot analyses showing the expression of SIRT1 and EMT markers in both normal liver and HCC tissues obtained from the same patients (n = 6) undergoing hepatectomy. [Right] Relative densities of these markers were quantified using Image J software. The HCC tissues exhibited higher expression of SIRT1 and mesenchymal markers (vimentin and Snail), and lower expression of an epithelial marker (E-cadherin). (B) Western blot analyses showing effects of everolimus, Ku0063794, and their combination on the expression of SIRT1 and EMT markers (E-cadherin and Snail) in the ex vivo model of HCC tissues obtained from patients undergoing hepatectomy. Compared to individual monotherapies, the combination therapy significantly reduced the expression of SIRT1, and inhibited EMT, as manifested by higher expression of E-cadherin and lower expression of Snail. Each data point represents the mean ± SD of three independent experiments. *P < 0.05 vs. control.
HCC cells. However, we found that combining everolimus with Ku0063794 generates synergistic anticancer effects against HCC cells which are greater than the sum of the two drugs.

In this study, we showed that everolimus and Ku0063794 combination therapy more effectively decreased the EMT than individual monotherapies. During EMT, epithelial cells acquire the characteristics of mesenchymal cells, such as lack of polarization, increased motility and invasiveness, decreased cell-cell junctions, and chemotherapeutic resistance [37, 38]. Thus, EMT is essential for the initial and overall rate-limiting steps of HCC invasion and metastasis [37]. SIRT1 is a nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD)-dependent histone deacetylase, and represents as a positive regulator of the EMT. SIRT1 regulates a variety of physiological processes, including stress responses, metabolism, apoptosis, calorie restriction and aging [39–41] Because SIRT1 allows the histones to wrap DNA more tightly, it favors tumor progression by inhibiting the expression of tumor suppressor genes in malignant cells. Byles et al. [25], proposed a possible mechanism by which the SIRT1 expression suppresses EMT. After it is activated, SIRT1 is recruited to the E-cadherin promoter, thereby leading to the deacetylation of histone H3, the reduction of Pol II binding, and ultimately the suppression of E-cadherin transcription. In this study, we found that although individual monotherapies could not inhibit SIRT1 expression, the combination therapy significantly inhibited SIRT1 expression in a dose-dependent manner. Therefore, we think that the higher suppression of SIRT1 by combination therapy provides potent anticancer effects.

Figure 6: Effects of everolimus and Ku0063794, and their combination on tumor growth in a nude mouse xenograft model. Five independent mice were used for each group (total number of mice = 20). After everolimus (0.5 mg/kg/day) and Ku0063794 (1 mg/kg/day) were administered intraperitoneally every day for 3 weeks, mice were sacrificed and the tumors were collected. (A) Morphological images of the mice with xenograft HepG2 cells and tumors in each group. Image of tumors before and after necropsy showed that tumor shrinkage was more prominent in mice treated with combination therapy than in mice treated with the respective monotherapies. (B) Tumor volumes were calculated according to the formula: volume = length × width²/2. Note that a significant reduction in tumor volumes was detected in mice treated with combination therapy compared with those treated with the individual monotherapies (P < 0.05). (C) Final tumor weight at 3 weeks. A considerably greater reduction in tumor weight was observed in mice treated with combination therapy compared to mice treated with the respective monotherapies (P < 0.05). (D) Body weight changes during the experiment. The average body weight of mice in each group did not vary significantly over the course of the experiment. *P < 0.05.
by strongly inhibiting EMT. Further studies are required to elucidate the fine mechanism underlying this phenomenon.

Previous studies showed a positive correlation between the expression levels of SIRT1 protein and HCC grades; SIRT1 tends to be expressed higher in advanced stages of HCC (grades 3–4) [42]. Higher expression levels of SIRT1 protein were also observed in nine HCC cell lines (HKC1-4, HKC1-2, SNU-449, SNU-423, SK-Hep-1, PLC5, Huh7, Hep3B, and HepG2) [43]. SIRT1 is expressed in human HCC carcinoma tissues at a higher level than in adjacent nontumor liver tissues [43]. Furthermore, it was reported that patients with SIRT1-positive HCC have a lower 10-year survival rate than those with SIRT1-negative HCC [42, 44]. The downstream targets of SIRT1 include p53 [45, 46], telomerase [43], YAP (Yes-associated protein) [47], and PTEN/PI3K/Akt [48, 49] signaling, all of which can promote HCC progression. Thus, SIRT1 inhibition is believed to exert anticancer effects against HCC by way of inhibiting these tumor promoting signaling pathways as well as inhibiting EMT.

In conclusion, we showed that combining everolimus with Ku0063794 is superior to either individual monotherapy in terms of more effectively inhibiting the proliferation, migration, invasion, and the EMT process of HCC cells. In addition, although individual monotherapies could not inhibit SIRT1 expression completely, the combination therapy significantly inhibited SIRT1 expression in a dose-dependent manner. The SIRT1 overexpression study revealed that overexpression of SIRT1 mitigates anti-proliferative and EMT-inhibiting abilities of the combination therapy, suggesting that the combination therapy inhibits EMT of HCC cells by way of inhibiting SIRT1. Taken together, the anticancer effects of everolimus could be potentiated by combining treatment with Ku0063794, the inhibitor of both mTORC1 and mTORC2. Therefore, when applying everolimus in HCC treatment, the improved anticancer effects provided by strongly inhibiting EMT. Further studies are required to elucidate the fine mechanism underlying this phenomenon.

Cell proliferation assay

Cell proliferation was measured using an EZ-Cytox Cell Proliferation Assay kit (Itsbio, Seoul, Republic of Korea) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, HepG2, Hep3B, and Huh7 cells were plated on 96-well plates and cultured overnight (1 × 10^4 cells per well). The cells were treated with either everolimus and/or Ku0063794 at different concentrations (0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2.5 and 5 μM) for 24 h or 48 h, respectively and then the reagent from the EZ-Cytox Cell Proliferation Assay kit was applied to each well. Absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader (model 680; Bio-Rad, CA).

Western blotting analysis

Cells (HepG2, Hep3B, and Huh7 cells) and liver tissues were lysed using the EzRIPA Lysis kit (ATTO Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and quantified using Bradford reagent (Bio-Rad, CA). Proteins were visualized by Western blot analysis using primary antibodies (see below; 1:1000 dilution) at 4°C overnight and then with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:2000 dilution) for 1 h at 25°C. The primary antibodies included the antibodies against E-cadherin, N-cadherin, Snail, vimentin, sirtuin 1 (SIRT1), matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2), tissue inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinase-1 (TIMP-1), α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA), and β-actin, all of which were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA). Specific immune complexes were detected using the Western Blotting Plus Chemiluminescence Reagent (Millipore, Bedford, MA).

Migration and invasion assays

Cell migration was analyzed using the in vitro wound healing assay. Cells were grown to confluence in 48-well plates and changed to serum-free medium for an additional 24 h. Cell monolayers were scraped with a micropipette tip and treated with either everolimus and/or Ku0063794. The wound area was photographed under phase-contrast microscopy before and 24 h after treatment, and the percentage of wound closure was determined as: [(initial area - final area)/initial area] × 100 [50].

Invasion assays were conducted using the CytoSelect 24-Well Cell Invasion Assay Kit (Cell Biolabs, San Diego, CA). Briefly, 300 μL of HepG2, Hep3B, and Huh7 cells (1 × 10^4 cells/ml) in serum-free medium was plated into the CytoSelect basement membrane chamber, respectively, and 500 μL of 10% FBS-containing RPMI
medium was added to the lower well of the invasion plate; both upper and lower chambers contained either everolimus and/or Ku0063794. The chambers were then incubated for 48 h at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere, non-migratory cells were removed, and migrated cells were stained, dissociated from the membrane, and their absorbance was measured at 560 nm using the microplate reader (model 680; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA).

**Immunofluorescence**

HepG2, Hep3B, and Huh7 cells were cultured on Lab-Tek chamber slides (Thermo Fisher Scientific). After 24 h, the cells were treated with everolimus and Ku0063794. Cells were washed three times with phosphate buffered solution (PBS), fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min, and permeabilized with 0.3% Triton X-100 for 10 min. After blocking with 0.2% bovine serum albumin for 1 h at 25°C, the slides were incubated with antibodies against E-cadherin and Snail (1:100 dilution) at 4°C overnight. The slides were washed and incubated with Alexa Fluor 488- or Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:200 dilution) for 1 h at 25°C; the nuclei were counter-stained with DAPI-containing VECTASHIELD Mounting Medium (Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA) for 1 min. Slides were examined under laser scanning microscopy (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) [50].

**SIRT1 overexpression assay**

HepG2, Hep3B, and Huh7 were plated in 6-well plates (2 × 10⁶ cells/well) and transiently transfected with 1 μg pcDNA-SIRT1 per well mixed with the Lipofectamine transfection reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After 5 h of incubation, the medium was changed to DMEM/F12 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and the cells were incubated at 37°C in a CO₂ incubator for 48 h before harvest.

**Assessment of EMT-related markers using human HCC tissues**

We used two kinds of human HCC tissues: 1) cryopreserved HCC tissues (paired normal liver and HCC tissues from same patients, n = 6), and 2) ex vivo culturing of post-harvest HCC tissues (paired normal liver and HCC tissues from same patients, n = 10). Using the former tissues, western blot analyses were performed to determine the expression of SIRT1 and EMT markers in the patient-derived HCC tissues.

Using the latter tissues, western blot analyses were performed to determine the effects of each regimen on the expression of SIRT1 and EMT markers in the patient-derived HCC tissues. Briefly, human liver specimens containing HCC tissues were obtained during hepatectomy for patients with HCC. The ethics committee at our institution approved the use of the tissue specimens for research. To establish an ex vivo human cancer organ model, the tissue (diameter, 3–4 mm) was washed three times, dissected in cold PBS (Invitrogen) containing 2× penicillin/streptomycin mixture (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and cultured in 24-well culture plates with serum-free DMEM/F12 containing 2× penicillin/streptomycin and either 0.5 μM everolimus and/or 1.0 μM Ku0063794. The plates were then placed in a humidified incubator and were maintained in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Thereafter, the tissue samples were collected for western blot.

**In vivo xenograft model**

BALB/c nude mice (6 week) were used for comparative modeling of subcutaneous tumor growth. HepG2 cells (5 × 10⁶) were subcutaneously injected into each mouse. The mice were weighed twice a week. Fourteen days after tumor cell injection, all mice had measurable tumors. Mice were then randomly grouped (n = 5 per group) and treated intraperitoneally with normal saline (control), everolimus (0.5 mg/kg in 100 μL normal saline, 3 times a week), Ku0063794 (1 mg/kg in 100 μL normal saline, 3 times a week), and a combination of both agents for 14 days. Tumor size was measured twice weekly via caliper, and tumor volume was calculated using the formula length × width² × 0.5236 [15]. After the completion of treatment, all mice were euthanized.

**Statistical analysis**

All data were analyzed using the SPSS 11.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) and are presented as the mean ± SD. All in vitro experiments were repeated a minimum of three times. Statistical comparison between the mean values of two groups was performed using the Mann-Whitney U-test. To compare three or more groups, the Kruskal-Wallis test was used. Probability (P) values of < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.
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